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## Primary Contact



## Organization Information

## Name:

ANOKA, CITY OF
Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

Organization Type:

## Organization Website:

Address:

* | ANOKA | Minnesota | 55303 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |

Anoka
763-576-2700
Phone:*

Fax:
PeopleSoft Vendor Number
0000020920A2

## Project Information

## Project Name

Primary County where the Project is Located
Cities or Townships where the Project is Located:

Anoka Rum River Regional Trail 4th Ave BNSF Railroad Crossing and Trail Connection

Anoka
Anoka

Brief Project Description (Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.)

The City of Anoka is seeking funds to construct a 10 foot wide trail for nearly 0.2 miles on the west side of 4th Avenue (County Road 31), a major collector, between Johnson Street and Pierce Street (County Road 30). Today, there is no sidewalk or trail along the west side of the road. As part of these improvements, the railroad crossing at 4th Avenue will be retrofitted to accommodate the trail. This will include upgrading the railroad crossing bed and installing pedestrian crossing gates. The City of Anoka, Anoka County, and Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) have been collaborating to ensure the improvements are consistent with the BNSF design standards.

One of the key benefits of the proposed improvements will be pedestrian/bicycle access to the Rum River Trail and/or to access the Anoka Northstar Station. Currently, pedestrians/bicyclists traveling along the Rum River Trail to the Anoka Northstar Station must travel in the road approximately 700 feet to Pierce Street to safely access a crossing. Trail users who chose to continue south into Anoka's Central Business District must also travel in the road to reach a pedestrian crossing over Highway 10 (Principal Arterial). The trail gap along 4th Avenue has created circuitous and unsafe routes for pedestrians and bicyclists accessing this crossing. The proposed improvements will help safely channel pedestrians and bicyclists between the regional trail, Anoka Northstar Station, and Anoka's Central Business District.

Furthermore, it is important to recognize the project's benefits beyond the Rum River Trail connection to the Anoka Northstar Station. The 4th Avenue corridor is approximately one-mile in length between the project limits and Anoka's Central Business District. Located directly along this corridor includes neighborhoods of concentrated
poverty and race, two homeless shelters including one for veterans, religious institutions, affordable housing, a senior housing complex, the Anoka County Government Center, the Anoka County Courts Administration, Anoka County Corrections campus, Rum River Human Services Center, and the Anoka Northstar Station. Combined, these neighborhoods, land uses and governmental services create a unique corridor that require alternative modes of transportation. Providing a trail on the west side of 4th Avenue will complete a gap in the local and regional transportation system.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)
DESCRIPTION - will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding. See MnDOT's TIP description guidance.

Pleasant Street/Pierce Street, 4th Ave CSAH 30/BNSF railroad crossing

Include both the CSAH/MSAS/TH references and their corresponding street names in the TIP Description (see Resources link on Regional Solicitation webpage for examples).

Project Length (Miles) 0.2
to the nearest one-tenth of a mile

## Project Funding

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount
\$556,000.00
Match Amount
\$150,000.00
Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total
Project Total
\$706,000.00
For transit projects, the total cost for the application is total cost minus fare revenues.
Match Percentage 21.25\%
Minimum of $20 \%$
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total
Source of Match Funds
City of Anoka local funds
A minimum of 20\% of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the $20 \%$ minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2026, 2027
Select 2024 or 2025 for TDM and Unique projects only. For all other applications, select 2026 or 2027.

Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information

| County, City, or Lead Agency | City of Anoka |
| :---: | :---: |
| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55303 |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | 04/01/2026 |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | 10/30/2026 |
| Name of Trail/Ped Facility: | Rum River Trail |
| (i.e., CEDAR LAKE TRAIL) |  |
| TERMINI:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work) |  |
| From: <br> (Intersection or Address) | Johnson Street and 4th Ave |
| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | Pleasant Street/Pierce Street and 4th Ave |
| DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION; INCLUDE NAME OF ROADWAY IF MAJORITY OF FACILITY RUNS ADJACENT TO A SINGLE CORRIDOR |  |
| Or At: |  |
| Miles of trail (nearest 0.1 miles): | 0.2 |
| Miles of trail on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (nearest 0.1 miles): | 0.2 |
| Is this a new trail? | Yes |
| Primary Types of Work | Grading, aggregate base, bituminous surfacing, restoration, railroad crossing signal and surfacing, ped ramps and miscellaneous construction |
| Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF, SIDEWALK, SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS, BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC. |  |
| BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE) |  |
| Old Bridge/Culvert No.: | N/A |
| New Bridge/Culvert No.: | N/A |
| Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name): | N/A |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

The proposed project is consistent with the 2040 TPP goals, objectives and strategies. More specifically, the proposed project aligns with the following TPP pedestrian and bicycle goals, objectives and strategies. Examples include:

1. Goal B: Safety and Security (page 2.5) Objective A, Strategy B6
2. Goal C: Access to Destinations (page 2.10)Objective A, Objective D, Objective E, Strategy C1, Strategy C2, Strategy C4, Strategy C15, Strategy C16, Strategy C17
3. Goal D: Competitive Economy (page 2.26) Objective A, Objective B, Strategy D3
4. Goal E: Healthy Environment (page 2.30) Objective A, Objective B, Objective C, Objective D, Strategy E3, Strategy E4, Strategy E5, Strategy E6, Strategy E7
5. Goal F: Leveraging Transportation Investments to Guide Land Use (page 2.35) - Objective A, Objective C, Strategy F6
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
6. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages: Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement because of their innovative nature.

> The proposed improvements were identified as a project goal in the City of Anoka's approved 2040 Comprehensive plan. The third goal in the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Chapter is to provide a system of accessible multi-use trails and corridors that offer pedestrians access to significant environmental features, public facilities, neighborhoods, and business districts. Construction of the 4th Avenue Rail Crossing for the Rum River Trail is project 1 under this goal (page 142 of the city's comprehensive plan.).
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
4.The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible. Unique project costs are limited to those that are federally eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Applicant is a public agency (e.g., county, city, tribal government, transit provider, etc.) or non-profit organization (TDM and Unique Projects applicants only). Applicants that are not State Aid cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below in Table 1. For unique projects, the minimum award is $\$ 500,000$ and the maximum award is the total amount available each funding cycle (approximately \$4,000,000 for the 2020 funding cycle).
Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities: \$250,000 to \$5,500,000
Pedestrian Facilities (Sidewalks, Streetscaping, and ADA): \$250,000 to \$2,000,000
Safe Routes to School: \$250,000 to \$1,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA. The plan must be completed by the local agency before the Regional Solicitation application deadline. For the 2022 Regional Solicitation funding cycle, this requirement may include that the plan is updated within the past five years.

The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has a completed ADA transition plan that covers the public Yes right of way/transportation.

Date plan completed:
05/08/2020
chrome-
extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/view
Link to plan:

The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public right of way/transportation.

Date self-evaluation completed:
Link to plan:
Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link
Upload as PDF
10. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 6/27/2017. Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
14.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Projects

1.All projects must relate to surface transportation. As an example, for multiuse trail and bicycle facilities, surface transportation is defined as primarily serving a commuting purpose and/or that connect two destination points. A facility may serve both a transportation purpose and a recreational purpose; a facility that connects people to recreational destinations may be considered to have a transportation purpose.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Multiuse Trails on Active Railroad Right-of-Way:
2.All multiuse trail projects that are located within right-of-way occupied by an active railroad must attach an agreement with the railroad that this right-of-way will be used for trail purposes.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

Check the box to indicate that the project is not in active railroad
right-of-way.
Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities projects only:
3.All applications must include a letter from the operator of the facility confirming that they will remove snow and ice for year-round bicycle and pedestrian use. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency has a resource for best practices when using salt. Upload PDF of Agreement in Other Attachments.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Upload PDF of Agreement in Other Attachments.
Safe Routes to School projects only:
4.All projects must be located within a two-mile radius of the associated primary, middle, or high school site.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.All schools benefitting from the SRTS program must conduct after-implementation surveys. These include the student travel tally form and the parent survey available on the National Center for SRTS website. The school(s) must submit the after-evaluation data to the National Center for SRTS within a year of the project completion date. Additional guidance regarding evaluation can be found at the MnDOT SRTS website.

Check the box to indicate that the applicant understands this requirement and will submit data to the National Center for SRTS within one year of project completion.

## Specific Roadway Elements

## CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES <br> Cost

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost)
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$25,000.00

Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) \$25,000.00

Roadway (aggregates and paving) \$35,000.00

Subgrade Correction (muck) $\$ 0.00$

Storm Sewer \$0.00
Ponds $\$ 0.00$

Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) \$25,000.00
Traffic Control
\$3,500.00
Striping \$0.00
Signing \$0.00
Lighting \$0.00
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping \$5,000.00
Bridge \$0.00
Retaining Walls
Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... \$350,000.00
Roadway Contingencies ..... \$50,000.00
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$578,500.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... \$90,000.00
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$20,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... \$5,000.00
Wayfinding ..... \$2,500.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... \$10,000.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$127,500.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, ..... $\$ 0.00$ fare collection, etc.)
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Transit Operating Costs

| Number of Platform hours | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subtotal | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 706,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 706,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Measure A: Project Location Relative to the RBTN

Select one:
Tier 1, Priority RBTN Corridor
Tier 1, RBTN Alignment
Tier 2, RBTN Corridor
Tier 2, RBTN Alignment
Yes
Direct connection to an RBTN Tier 1 corridor or alignment
Direct connection to an RBTN Tier 2 corridor or alignment
OR
Project is not located on or directly connected to the RBTN but is part of a local system and identified within an adopted county, city or regional parks implementing agency plan.

Upload Map
1649708017995_Map \#1 Project to RBTN Orientation.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure A: Population Summary

Existing Population Within One Mile (Integer Only) 19500
Existing Employment Within One Mile (Integer Only) 12711

Upload the "Population Summary" map

1649708077681_Map \#2 Population_Employment Summary.pdf

## Measure A: Engagement

i.Describe any Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, disabled populations, youth, or older adults within a $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. Describe how these populations relate to regional context. Location of affordable housing will be addressed in Measure C.
ii.Describe how Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults, and residents in affordable housing were engaged, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process.
iii. Describe the progression of engagement activities in this project. A full response should answer these questions:

This project is located in census tracts that are ABOVE the regional average for population in poverty or population of color. The City of Anoka is predominately White (80\%), 7\% Black and 5\% Hispanic according to the 2020 US Census. The census tract where the northern end of the proposed trail segment will be located is more diverse with 76\% White and 10\% Black, and 5\% Hispanic. Additionally in the City of Anoka where the project location is $8.9 \%$ of the population is below the poverty level, and the median household's income is $\$ 60,890$. A substantial portion of the population (18.7\%) is over the age of 65.

Response:
This trail gap has been identified by the City of Anoka for more than a decade. Its need was particularly felt with the completion of the Rum River Trail and the opening of the nearby Northstar Rail Station. The recent 2040 Comprehensive Planning efforts confirmed that pedestrian and bicycle connectivity is a primary goal of residents. A wide net was cast in order to engage with a representative swath of the community when the 2040 Comprehensive Planning process was underway. The city held a large open house event for guiding the comprehensive planning process with attendance estimated at 300 people. Following the open house, the city conducted an online survey asking questions on multiple subjects including parks, trails and open spaces, housing, transportation, and retail/economic development. The trail project was included in the draft materials available to the public for comment. The online survey received 210 responses. For 9 weeks following the initial survey, the city asked a question of the week on follow up topics; survey response averaged 158 responses ( 97 lowest, 357 highest) weekly.

The city utilized a variety of outlets for advertising for the initial open house and follow up meetings, which included direct mailings to more than 1000 businesses, city of Anoka facebook page (10,000+ followers), city website, e-subscribe direct email contacts, city newsletter distribution to 8000 residents and businesses, placement of posters in retail and restaurant establishments, advertisement and article in the City's official newspaper, and information interviews on QCTV local cable access channel. Additional engagement was conducted through an open house in conjunction with the Highway 10 project and bridge replacement projects that impacted the rum river trail alignment. Effort was made to ensure that as many people from as many areas of the community as possible would be informed about the process and about their opportunities to engage with it, especially those who may historically have had less access to public participation opportunities.

## Measure B: Equity Population Benefits and Impacts

Describe the projects benefits to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Benefits could relate to:
This is not an exhaustive list. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to Equity populations residing or engaged in activities near the project area, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting Equity populations specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.
Acknowledge and describe any negative project impacts to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Describe measures to mitigate these impacts. Unidentified or unmitigated negative impacts may result in a reduction in points.
Below is a list of potential negative impacts. This is not an exhaustive list.

The 4th Avenue corridor is approximately one-mile in length between the project limits and Anoka's Central Business District. Located directly along this corridor includes neighborhoods of concentrated poverty and race, two homeless shelters (e.g., Stepping Stone Emergency Housing and Hope 4 Youth), veteran housing (e.g. Haven for Heroes), religious institutions, affordable housing, senior housing (e.g., Homestead of Anoka), Anoka County Government Center, Anoka County Courts Administration, Anoka County Corrections campus, Rum River Human Services Center, and the Anoka Northstar Station. Combined, these neighborhoods, land uses and governmental services create a unique corridor that require alternative modes of transportation.

The proposed improvements will eliminate a 0.2mile gap that limits safe pedestrian and bicycle movement throughout the community. It will also reduce the confusion that pedestrians and bicyclists currently experience when the Rum River Trail abruptly ends mid-block on the west side of the road. Trail users are then required to travel on the roadway through an intersection and over railroad tracks before the trail starts again. The proposed improvements will also close a gap in the transit user's "first-and-last" mile experience between the Anoka Northstar Station and their final destination (e.g., Anoka's Central Business District). The "first-and-last" mile connection is critical given the number of transit users traveling between the Northstar Station and the vast range of housing options, jobs, services, and recreational amenities along the 4th Avenue corridor.

There are no known long term negative externalities associated with the project. The project will not displace businesses or residents, but merely provide positive outcomes by enhancing

# the local and regional trail network with the Anoka Northstar Station and Anoka?s Central Business District. 


#### Abstract

Construction impacts will be minimal, if any, from a dust and noise perspective. Construction will not result in the closure of any access points or removal of existing pathways. The appropriate construction mitigation measures will be put in place to address any potential issues that could disrupt local businesses and residents during construction.


(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

## Measure C: Affordable Housing Access

Describe any affordable housing developmentsexisting, under construction, or plannedwithin $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. The applicant should note the number of existing subsidized units, which will be provided on the Socio-Economic Conditions map. Applicants can also describe other types of affordable housing (e.g., naturally-occurring affordable housing, manufactured housing) and under construction or planned affordable housing that is within a half mile of the project. If applicable, the applicant can provide self-generated PDF maps to support these additions. Applicants are encouraged to provide a self-generated PDF map describing how a project connects affordable housing residents to destinations (e.g., childcare, grocery stores, schools, places of worship).
Describe the projects benefits to current and future affordable housing residents within $1 / 2$ mile of the project. Benefits must relate to affordable housing residents. Examples may include:
This is not an exhaustive list. Since residents of affordable housing are more likely not to own a private vehicle, higher points will be provided to roadway projects that include other multimodal access improvements. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to residents of affordable housing, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting residents of affordable housing specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.

There are a significant number of subsidized and naturally occurring affordable housing units within the $1 / 2$ mile buffer of the project area, in addition to other forms of supportive housing services. Nearly all of the homes within a $1 / 2$ mile of the project area have an estimated value of $\$ 243,500$ or Less. Currently a total of 175 publicly subsidized rental housing units are located in census tracts within 1/2 mile of the project area. A large part of Anoka's income diversity is due to the provision of housing stock that meets the needs of low-income populations. For example, 32 percent of the total housing units are affordable to households with an income between 31 and 50 percent of the average median income, and 48 percent of the housing stock is rental. The two census tracts that are part of the proposed trail addition have group quarters population percentages at $5.5 \%$ and $4.3 \%$ as compared to all of Anoka County where $1 \%$ of the population is in group quarters or $2.4 \%$ in the state of Minnesota.

The proposed project will provide many benefits to the surrounding communities including increasing social cohesion by linking neighborhoods of concentrated poverty and race to jobs, services, and amenities. The 4th Avenue corridor is approximately one-mile in length between the project limits and Anoka's Central Business District. Located directly along this corridor includes neighborhoods of concentrated poverty and race, two homeless shelters (e.g., Stepping Stone Emergency Housing and Hope 4 Youth), Veteran's Housing (Haven for Heroes), religious institutions, affordable housing, senior housing (e.g., Homestead of Anoka), Anoka County Government Center, Anoka County Courts Administration, Anoka County Corrections campus, Rum River Human Services Center, and the Anoka Northstar Station. Combined, these neighborhoods, land
uses and governmental services create a unique corridor that requires alternative modes of transportation. Connectivity between different forms of supportive and alternative housing types and the many services and amenities provided within and by the City is essential. Making the transportation options as convenient, safe, and desirable as possible will only help to strengthen that essential connectivity and increases everyone's potential to thrive.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

## Measure D: BONUS POINTS

Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty:
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color (Regional Yes
Environmental Justice Area):
Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area):

Upload the Socio-Economic Conditions map used for this measure.
Yes

1649771243999_Map \#3 Socio-Economic Conditions.pdf

Measure A: Gaps closed/barriers removed and/or continuity between jurisdictions improved by the project

PART 1: Qualitative assessment of project narrative discussing how the project will close a bicycle network gap, create a new or improved physical bike barrier crossing, and/or improve continuity and connections between jurisdictions.
Specifically, describe how the project would accomplish the following: Close a transportation network gap, provide a facility that crosses or circumvents a physical barrier, and/or improve continuity or connections between jurisdictions.
Bike system gap improvements include the following:

- Providing a missing link between existing or improved segments of a local transportation network or regional bicycle facility (i.e., regional trail or RBTN alignment);
- Improving bikeability to better serve all ability and experience levels by:
- Providing a safer, more protected on-street facility or off-road trail;
-Improving safety of bicycle crossings at busy intersections (e.g., through signal operations, revised signage, pavement markings, etc.); OR
-Providing a trail adjacent or parallel to a highway or arterial roadway or improving a bike route along a nearby and parallet lower-volume neighborhood collector or local street.
Physical bicycle barrier crossing improvements include grade-separated crossings (over or under) of rivers and streams, railroad corridors, freeways and expressways, and multi-lane arterials, or enhanced routes to circumvent the barrier by channeling bicyclists to existing safe crossings or grade separations. Surface crossing improvements (at-grade) of major highway and rail barriers that upgrade the bicycle facility treatment or replace an existing facility at the end of its useful life may also be considered as bicycle barrier improvements. (For new barrier crossing projects, distances to the nearest parallel crossing must be included in the application to be considered for the full allotment of points under Part 1).
Examples of continuity/connectivity improvements may include constructing a bikeway across jurisdictional lines where none exists or upgrading an existing bicycle facility treatment so that it connects to and is consistent with an adjacent jurisdictions bicycle facility.

Today, there are no sidewalks or trails along the west side of 4th Avenue. This has resulted in a number of safety and connectivity issues for pedestrians/bicyclists wishing to access the Anoka Northstar Station. For example, pedestrians/bicyclists traveling along the Rum River Trail to the Anoka Northstar Station (via 4th Avenue) must travel in the road (approximately 700 feet) to Pierce Street to safely access a crossing. Trail users who choose to continue south into Anoka's Central Business District must also travel in the road to reach a pedestrian crossing over Highway 10 (Principal Arterial). The trail gap along 4th Avenue has created circuitous, confusing, and unsafe routes for pedestrians and bicyclists accessing this crossing. The proposed improvements will help safely channel pedestrians and bicyclists between the project area and Anoka's Central Business District.

Response:
The proposed improvements will also close a gap in the transit user's "first-and-last" mile experience between the Anoka Northstar Station and their final destination (e.g., Anoka?s Central Business District). The "first-and-last" mile connection is critical given the number of transit users traveling between the Northstar Station and the vast range of housing options, jobs, services, and recreational amenities along the 4th Avenue corridor.

Closing this gap is critical in helping achieve the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (RBTN). As seen in the RBTN Evaluation and Major Barriers map, the project is an RBTN Tier 2 Alignment that connects two RBTN Tier 1 search corridors. This project creates a north-south pedestrian and bicycle connection to some of Anoka's most frequented destinations. The proposed project connects the Anoka Northstar Station with the

> Anoka Community Corrections campus, Anoka County Government Center, and the Anoka Central Business District. Several multi-family housing developments and two homeless shelters are located directly along the 4th Avenue corridor. The project also provides an important pedestrian connection to the Rum River and Mississippi Regional Trail (MRT). The proposed project will provide an opportunity for an increasing number of residents to travel safely to their places of work, entertainment, and residence.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
PART 2: Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvements and Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossings DEFINITIONS:
Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvements include crossings of barrier segments within the Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvement Areas as updated in the 2019 Technical Addendum to the Regional Bicycle Barriers Study and shown in the RBBS online map (insert link to forthcoming RBBS Online Map). Projects must create a new regional barrier crossing, replace an existing regional barrier crossing at the end of its useful life, or upgrade an existing barrier crossing to a higher level of bike facility treatment, to receive points for Part 2. Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossings include all existing and planned highway and bicycle/pedestrian bridge crossings of the Mississippi, Minnesota and St. Croix Rivers as identified in the 2018 update of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Projects must create a new major river bicycle barrier crossing, replace an existing major river crossing at the end of its useful life, or upgrade the crossing to a higher level of bike facility treatment, to receive points for Part 2.
Projects that construct new or improve existing Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossings or Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossings will be assigned points as follows: (select one)

Tier 1
Tier 1 Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvement Area segments \& any Major River Bicycle Barrier Crossings
Tier 2
Tier 2 Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvement Area segments
Tier 3 Yes
Tier 3 Regional Bicycle Barrier Crossing Improvement Area segments
Non-tiered
Crossings of non-tiered Regional Bicycle Barrier segments
No improvements
No Improvements to barrier crossings
If the project improves multiple regional bicycle barriers, check box.

## Multiple

Projects that improve crossing of multiple regional bicycle barriers receive bonus points (except Tier 1 \& MRBBCs)

## Measure B: Project Improvements

Response:
The primary goal of the proposed project is to provide an off-street trail for pedestrians and bicyclists to safely channel between the Rum River Trail (west of 4th Avenue), Anoka North Star Station and Anoka's Central Business District. Other project goals include the reduction in pedestrian/bicycle exposure, improve pedestrian/bicycle access and mobility, eliminate circuitous routes over Highway 10, and encourage walking/biking.

The proposed project will establish a 10 foot wide, ADA-compliant trail on the west side of 4th Avenue. The proposed trail will provide enough room for pedestrians to move comfortably. The project will include improved curb ramps along the corridor, as well as the installation of a buffer (ranging between two and ten feet) between the trail and the road, creating an added level of safety and comfort for users. These features will increase the safety of pedestrians and bicyclists since they will no longer have to travel in the roadway in order to reconnect with the Rum River Trail.

The project will also include a pedestrian/bicycle crossing at the railroad tracks, which is owned and operated by Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF). The railroad tracks serve the Northstar Commuter Rail (six trains a day), while moving large volumes of freight (approximately 54 trains a day). The railroad crossing will be retrofitted to accommodate the trail by upgrading the railroad crossing signal and installing crossing arms. The City of Anoka has been working with Anoka County and BNSF to ensure these improvements are consistent with their design standards.

Crash data from MNCMAT indicates that 12 incidents have been recorded with in the project area over the past 10 years. One of those incidents involved a woman pushing four infants in a stroller
at a crossing in the study area which was struck by a motorized vehicle. Other incidents, while not directly involving pedestrians and bicyclists, point to the importance of this new alignment in reducing the potential for hazardous interaction between motorized and non-motorized traffic at and around this juncture by providing an alternative more direct option route for the trail.

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements

The proposed project is a low-cost/high-benefit solution that will more safely integrate all modes of transportation (i.e., vehicles, pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users and trains) along the 4th Avenue corridor. The proposed project will establish a 10foot wide, ADA-compliant trail on the west side of 4th Avenue. The proposed trail will provide enough room for pedestrians to move comfortably. The project will include improved curb ramps along the corridor, as well as the installation of a buffer (ranging between two and ten feet) between the trail and the road, creating an added level of safety and comfort for users. These features will dramatically increase the safety of pedestrians and bicyclist since they will no longer need to travel directly in the roadway alongside motorized vehicles to continue along the Rum River Trail. Another important benefit is that the proposed improvements will help channel pedestrians and bicyclists between regional destinations (e.g., Anoka Northstar Station, Anoka's Central Business District, Rum River Trail, Anoka County Government Center, and Anoka County Community Corrections), and multiple transit stops, while overcoming physical barriers (e.g., Highway 10 and railroad lines).

The proposed project will achieve the following multimodal goals:

- Provide an off-street trail for pedestrians and bicyclists to safely channel between the Rum River Trail, Anoka North Star Station and Anoka's Central Business District.
- Reduce pedestrian and bicycle exposure, while improving pedestrian and bicycle access and mobility.
- Support and enhance the RBTN network.


# - Promote and encourage walking and biking. <br> - Address a 0.2 mile gap in the Rum River Trail and remove trail users off the road between Pierce Street and Johnson Street. <br> - Enhance transit ridership along the Northstar Commuter Rail <br> - Eliminate circuitous pedestrian and bicyclists' routes over Highway 10. <br> - Safely channel pedestrians and bicycle over the BNSF railroad lines. 

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

## 1.Public Involvement (20 Percent of Points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful. The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, how the potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. The focus of this section is on the opportunity for public input as opposed to the quality of input. NOTE: A written response is required and failure to respond will result in zero points.

Multiple types of targeted outreach efforts (such as meetings or online/mail outreach) specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project need.

100\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

50\%
At least online/mail outreach effort specific to this project with the general public has been used to help identify the project need.

No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach Yes related to a larger planning effort.

25\%
No outreach has led to the selection of this project.
0\%
Describe the type(s) of outreach selected for this project (i.e., online or in-person meetings, surveys, demonstration projects), the method(s) used to announce outreach opportunities, and how many people participated. Include any public website links to outreach opportunities.

The city held a large open house event for guiding the 2040 comprehensive planning process.
Attendance was estimated at 300 people. Following the open house, the city conducted an online survey asking questions on multiple subjects including parks, trails and open spaces, housing, transportation, and retail/economic development. The online survey received 210 responses. For 9 weeks following the initial survey, the city asked a question of the week on follow up topics; survey response averaged 158 responses ( 97 lowest, 357 highest) weekly. An additional open house opportunity occurred in conjunction with the Highway 10 and bridge replacement projects that impacted the Rum River Trail alignment.

The city utilized a variety of outlets for advertising for the initial open house and follow up meetings, which included direct mailings to more than 1000 businesses, city of Anoka Facebook page (10,000+ followers), city website, e-subscribe direct email contacts, city newsletter distribution to 8,000 residents and businesses, placement of posters in retail and restaurant establishments, advertisement and article in the City's official newspaper, and information interviews on QCTV local cable access channel.

Layout includes proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries. A basic layout should include a base map (north arrow; scale; legend;* city and/or county limits; existing ROW, labeled; existing signals;* and bridge numbers*) and design data (proposed alignments; bike and/or roadway lane widths; shoulder width;* proposed signals;* and proposed ROW). An aerial photograph with a line showing the projects termini does not suffice and will be awarded zero points. *If applicable

Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties/MnDOT. If a MnDOT trunk highway is impacted, approval by MnDOT must have occurred to receive full Yes points. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

100\%
A layout does not apply (signal replacement/signal timing, standalone streetscaping, minor intersection improvements). Applicants that are not certain whether a layout is required should contact Colleen Brown at MnDOT Metro State Aid colleen.brown@state.mn.us.

100\%
For projects where MnDOT trunk highways are impacted and a MnDOT Staff Approved layout is required. Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted local jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties), and layout review and approval by MnDOT is pending. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

75\%
Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50\%
Layout has been started but is not complete. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

25\%
Layout has not been started
0\%
Attach Layout
1649780620615_an717Preliminary Plans (8-8-17).pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Additional Attachments
1649780620606_Letters of Support- Construction.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## 3.Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and Yes project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of no historic properties affected is anticipated.

100\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of no adverse effect anticipated

Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.

0\%
Project is located on an identified historic bridge
4.Right-of-Way (25 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit either not required or all have been acquired

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels identified

25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels not all identified

## 0\%

5.Railroad Involvement (15 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable)

100\%

## Signature Page

Please upload attachment in PDF form
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

Yes

50\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.

0\%

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): | $\$ 706,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 706,000.00$ |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 0.00$ |

Cost Effectiveness$\$ 0.00$

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4th Ave One-page Project Summary.pdf | Project Summary Anoka Rum River Regional Trail 4th Ave BNSF Railroad Crossing and Trail Connection | 1.2 MB |
| 4th Ave Site Photos.pdf | Photos of project area existing conditions along 4th Ave | 587 KB |
| Agreement with Rail Road Regarding Right-of-way.pdf | Email agreement with BNSF Rail Road Regarding Right-of-way | 603 KB |
| Anoka Housing Costs.pdf | Map of housing costs in the City of Anoka | 689 KB |
| Crash Study 4th Avenue 2012-2021.pdf | Crash Study last 10 years data | 1.4 MB |
| LOS - City of Anoka.pdf | Letter of support from the City Manager of the City of Anoka | 215 KB |
| LOS - snow and ice clearing.pdf | Letter of Support from City of Anoka Public Services Maintenance Supervisor on snow and ice clearing | 214 KB |
| LOS CSAH 31 Multiuse Trail.pdf | Letter of support from the Anoka County Transportation Division Manager/ County Engineer | 168 KB |
| LOS Parks.pdf | Letter of Support from the Anoka County Parks Director | 147 KB |
| Map \#4 Transit Connections.pdf | Map \#4 Transit Connections | 3.3 MB |
| ProjectAreaLandUse1.pdf | Relevant land uses and transit connections around project area | 12.1 MB |




## Socio-Economic Conditions

Total of publicly subsidized rental housing units in census tracts within $1 / 2$ mile: 175

Project located in census tract(s) that are ABOVE the regional average for population in poverty or population of color.
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## Anoka County

TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
Highway

March 17, 2022

Mr. Ben Nelson, Assistant City Engineer
City of Anoka
2015 First Avenue North
Anoka, MN 55303
RE: Letter of Support for Rum River Corridor Improvements
(CSAH 31) in the City of Anoka
Dear Mr. Nelson:
Anoka County supports the City of Anoka's funding request through the Metropolitan Council's 2022 Regional Solicitation for the Rum River Trail Corridor Improvements along County State Aid Highway (CSAH 31).

As proposed, the project would fill a gap in the City's existing multi-use trail network along the west side of CSAH 31, as well as incorporate safety features at the existing at-grade BNSF railroad crossing. As the agency with jurisdiction over CSAH 31, Anoka County will continue to work with the City of Anoka on the development of final plans to improve the corridor for all modes of transportation.

Anoka County believes the proposed improvements will greatly improve the safety and reliability of the existing trail corridor and promote multi-modal transportation opportunities via the Northstar Commuter Rail Station, located adjacent to the proposed project along the east side of CSAH 31.

Sincerely,

## Goseph Macpherson

Joseph J. MacPherson, P.E.
Transportation Division Manager / County Engineer

April 11, 2022

To whom it may concern:

Please accept this letter of support on behalf of the City of Anoka Rum River Regional Trail $4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue BNSF Railroad Crossing and Trail Connection project for consideration of funding from the 2022 Regional Solicitation program for funding years 2026/2027.

The City of Anoka is committed to providing the safest possible routes of travel for the greatest number of people, which includes safe pedestrian travel on city sidewalks and trails within city limits/jurisdiction. The City fully supports efforts to secure grant funding for improvements to the Rum River Regional Trail and RBTN Tier II corridor with the construction of an at grade railroad crossing for pedestrians and bicyclists. The Rum River Regional Trail is an essential multimodal trail providing access to the Rum River Library, Northstar Rail Station, downtown Anoka, and connection to the Mississippi River Trail. The Rum River Trail is considered to be both a local and regional asset. The proposed project will greatly improve the user experience, connectivity, and safety for bicyclists, pedestrians, and recreational trail users.

As indicated herein, the City of Anoka is in support of the proposed project to further improve the safety and experience of trail users. Furthermore, the City has planned and budgeted for its designated share of funding for this project, and upon successful application is prepared to allocate the funds necessary to complete the engineering required to ensure that the project is ready for construction when grant funding is available in 2026/2027, earlier as allocated.

Regards,


Greg Lee
City Manager

Direct: 763-576-2711
glee@ci.anoka.mn.us

## Anoka Rum River Regional Trail 4th Ave BNSF Railroad Crossing and Trail Connection

## CITY OF ANOKA



Project Location


Existing Conditions
(4th Avenue at Johson Street-facing southbound)


Existing Conditions
(4th Avenue north of Pierce Street-facing northbound)

| Project Location: | The City of Anoka |
| :--- | :--- |
| Requested Award <br> Amount: | $\$ 556,000$ |
| Total Project Cost: | $\$ 706,000$ |

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The City of Anoka is seeking funds to construct a 10 foot wide trail (approximately 0.2 miles) on the west side of 4th Avenue (County Road 31) between Johnson Street and Pierce Street (County Road 30). Today, there is no sidewalk or trail along the west side of the road. This has resulted in a number of safety and connectivity issues for pedestrians/bicyclists wishing to access the Anoka Northstar Station. For example, pedestrians/bicyclists traveling along the Rum River Trail to the Anoka Northstar Station (via 4th Avenue) must travel in the road (approximately 700 feet) to Pierce Street to safely access a crossing. Trail users who chose to continue south into Anoka's Central Business District must also travel in the road to reach a pedestrian crossing over Highway 10 (Principal Arterial). Additionally, the Minnesota Department of Transportion will be constructing a permanent bike line addition to the new 4th Ave bridge on the west side. This will create additional need for the trail alignment to continue on the west side and not cross 4th Ave to cross on a sidewalk or ride against traffic in the northbound shoulder. The trail gap along 4th Avenue has created circuitous and unsafe routes for pedestrians and bicyclists accessing this crossing and needs to be addressed.

## PROJECT BENEFITS:

» Reduce pedestrian and bicycle exposure, while improving access and mobility.
» Support and enhance the RBTN network.
» Address a 0.2 mile gap in the Rum River Trail and remove trail users off the road between Pierce Street and Johnson Street.
» Enhance transit ridership along the Northstar Commuter Rail
» Eliminate circuitous pedestrian and bicyclists routes over Highway 10.
»Safely channel pedestrians and bicycle over the BNSF railroad lines.

## Anoka Rum River Regional Trail 4th Ave BNSF Railroad Crossing and Trail Connection

SITE PHOTOS - EXISTING CONDITIONS


$4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (Southbound) at Johnson Street

$4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (Northbound) at railroad crossing

$4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (Northbound) at Pierce Street

$4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue (Northbound) south of the Railroad crossing

```
From: Lisa LaCasse
To: Natalie Strait; Rita Trapp
Subject: FW: Anoka, MN DOT 082923X 4th Ave Met Council TAB 2022 Grant Application
Date: Monday, March 28, 2022 1:43:45 PM
Attachments: 15 At Grade Trails Parallel Rdwys.pdf
    Pathway and Sidewalk Desiqn Criteria MUTCD.pdf
```

From: Fiorini, Alexander James [Alexander.Fiorini@BNSF.com](mailto:Alexander.Fiorini@BNSF.com)
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2022 12:43 PM
To: Lisa LaCasse [LLaCasse@ci.anoka.mn.us](mailto:LLaCasse@ci.anoka.mn.us)
Cc: Fiorini, Alexander James [Alexander.Fiorini@BNSF.com](mailto:Alexander.Fiorini@BNSF.com)
Subject: RE: Anoka, MN DOT 082923X 4th Ave Met Council TAB 2022 Grant Application

Caution: This email originated outside our organization; please use caution.

Lisa,

Please see the attached letter that describes BNSF's position on trails. Although we will work with the city to reach an acceptable design, we do not support these projects unless the project will eliminate one or more at-grade crossings.

Thanks,

```
Alex Fiorini, PE*
Manager Public Projects
o 763.782.3476 м 612.391.9572
Alexander.fiorini@bnsf.com
*Licensed in ND
```

From: Lisa LaCasse [LLaCasse@ci.anoka.mn.us](mailto:LLaCasse@ci.anoka.mn.us)
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2022 3:40 PM
To: Scott, Richard D [Richard.Scott2@BNSF.com](mailto:Richard.Scott2@BNSF.com)
Subject: City of Anoka - Met Council TAB 2022 Grant Application

## EXTERNAL EMAIL

Rich Scott
BNSF Railway
Mngr. Public Projects: MN, ND \& SD

The city of Anoka is seeking grant funding from the Metropolitan Council Transportation Advisory Board Regional Solicitation for Transportation Projects for 2022 (FY 2026/2027) in the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities category. It is the City's intention to construct a 10' wide trail on the west side of $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave (County Road 31) between Johnson Street and Pierce Street in Anoka. There is an existing at-grade pedestrian crossing on the east side of $4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue utilizing a 5' sidewalk. Currently, there is no sidewalk or trail on the west side of $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave which has created safety and connectivity issues for pedestrians and cyclists using the Rum River Regional Trail. As part of these improvements, the city is proposing to upgrade the railroad crossing bed and install pedestrian crossing gates on the west side of $4{ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave.

The proposed upgraded crossing would provide a vital link and connection for the Rum River Regional Trail thus allowing trail users to stay on the west side of $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave, not having to cross $4^{\text {th }}$ Ave, or to mix with the pedestrian traffic or vehicular traffic to cross the rail line. This is the second time the city has applied for funding for this trail section and upgraded crossing. The city has secured a letter of support from the Anoka County Highway Department and Park Department for this project. City and County staff met on site with BNSF staff in 2017 prior to creating the proposed preliminary plans which are attached above for your review. At that time, we had received verbal consent for the project from BNSF.

I understand that it is not customary for BNSF to write letters of support for grant applications and/or for proposed at-grade crossing projects. I am wondering if a BNSF would be willing to provide a letter of acknowledgement supporting the legitimacy of the project and confirming that as long as the project adheres to the strict safety, design and engineering standards of BNSF for at-grade crossing that BNSF will be willing to work with the city of Anoka to complete this project?

Grant applications are due April 14, 2022. If possible, it would be greatly appreciated to receive a response or letter prior to that date so it may be attached to our grant application.

If you have any questions or would like to discuss this request further please feel free to contact me at 763-576-2984 or by email

Thank you for consideration of this request -

Lisa LaCasse
Public Services Administrator
City of Anoka

## Owner-Occupied Housing by Estimated Market Value

## Anoka



Owner-Occupied Housing Estimated Market Value, 2016

1 in $=0.67$ miles
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{METROPOLOLITAN}}$
$\square$ City and Township Boundaries
Streets
Lakes and Rivers
$\square$ County Boundaries

Crash Detail Report - Short Form
Grant Study for Lisa LaCasse

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 00450877 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { 04-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0030 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { MEASURE } \\ 0.197 \end{array}$ |  | ROUTE NAME PLEASANT ST |  |  | ROUTE ID |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY } \\ & \text { 2-Anoka } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY } \\ & \text { Anoka } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# VEH } \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# KILL } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 05 / 09 / 17 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIIME } \\ & \text { 17:20 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Tue } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.206643 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM X } \\ & 469711.6 \end{aligned}$ | UTM Y $5005977.7$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE |  | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFUL <br> Motor Vehicle In Transport |  |  |  |  | LIGHT COND <br> Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  |  | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Passenger Car | Pickup |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Eastbound | Northbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Moving Forward | Turning Left |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 42 F | 24 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 00606985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { 04-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0030 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.209 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME PLEASANT ST |  |  | ROUTE ID <br> 0400006594470030-I |  | COUNTY 2-Anoka | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { VEH } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 06 / 26 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TIME } \\ 15: 35 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Tue } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.206646 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LONG } \\ \hline-93.385434 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UTM X } \\ & 469731.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5005977.9 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Rear End |  | CRASH SE <br> B - Minor | EVERITY Injury |  | FIRST Moto | HARMF <br> Vehicl | $\mathrm{n} \text { T }$ | port |  | LIGHT CON <br> Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Passenger Car | Sport Utility Vehicle |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Westbound | Westbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Vehicle Stopped or Stalled in | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 64 M | 29 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Unknown |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action | Operated Motor Vehicle: Care |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 00418269 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { 04-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0030 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.212 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NAME } \\ & \text { PLEASANT ST } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ROUTE ID |  | COUNTY | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT 4TH AVE |  |  | \# VEH $2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { KILL } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 01 / 20 / 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { 06:40 } \end{aligned}$ | DAY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.206647 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { LONG } \\ & -93.385376 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM X } \\ & 469736.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5005978.0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE Other |  | CRASH SE <br> N - Prop | Damag | Only | FIRST Moto | HARMF Vehicl |  | sport |  | LIGHT CON Dark (Str | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITION } \\ & \text { ghts On) } \end{aligned}$ | WEATHER PRIMARY Snow |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Pickup | Passenger Van (Seats Installt |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Eastbound | Southbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Moving Forward | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 18 M | 60 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Asleep or Fatigued | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | Ran Stop Sign | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |



| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ 11020518 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0030 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.213 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME4TH AVENUE |  |  | ROUTE ID <br> 0400006594470030-I |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY } \\ & \text { 2-Anoka } \end{aligned}$ | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \# \text { VEH } \\ 2 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 07 / 10 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TIME } \\ & \text { 20:08 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DAY } \\ \text { Fri } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.206647 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LONG } \\ -93.385371 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UTM X } \\ 469736.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5005978.0 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Angle |  | CRASH S <br> N - Prop | EVERIT <br> Damag | Only | FIRST Moto | HARMF <br> Vehicle | $\mathrm{n} \operatorname{Tr}$ | port |  | LIGHT CON <br> Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle | Sport Utility Vehicle |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Northbound | Westbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Moving Forward | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 54 M | 69 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | Failure to Yield Right-of-Way | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |

OFFICER SKETCH | NARRATIVE |
| :--- |
| VEH.\#1 WAS TRAVELING NB 4TH AVENUE. VEH.\#2 WAS TRAVELING WB |
| PIERCE STREET. DRIVER OF VEH.\#2 SAID SHE STOPPED AT SIGN AND |
| PRCEEDED INTO INTERSECTION AND WAS STRUCK BY VEH.\#1 WHICH |
| DID NOT STOP. DRIVER OF VEH.\#1 TOLD ME HE DID NOT STOP AND |
| WAS ISSUED CITATION. |

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 10931720 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { 04-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.604 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME4 AVE |  |  | ROUTE ID$0400006594470031-\mid$ |  | COUNTY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CITY } \\ & \text { Anoka } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT W |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \# \text { V VEH } \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \# \text { KILL } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 01 / 26 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { TIME } \\ 15: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Sun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.206640 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { LONG } \\ -93.385369 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UTM X } \\ & 469737.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5005977.3 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Sideswipe |  | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFUL <br> Motor Vehicle In Transport |  |  |  |  | LIGHT CONDITION Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Snow |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | VAN OR MINIVAN | Passenger Car |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Eastbound | Northbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Moving Forward | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 25 M | 49 M |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action | Failure to Yield Right-of-Way |  |  |



| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ 11018715 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { 04-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.604 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME GRANT STREET |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ROUTE ID } \\ \text { O400006594470031- } \end{array}$ |  | COUNTY <br> 2-Anoka | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { VEH } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATE } \\ 04 / 13 / 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TIME } \\ \text { 07:34 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Mon } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LAT } \\ 45.206640 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LONG } \\ \hline-93.385369 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UTM X } \\ 469737.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5005977.3 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Pedestrian |  | CRASH SE <br> C - Possib | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EVERIT } \\ & \text { ible Inj } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { FIRST } \\ \text { Pede } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | HARMF <br> trian |  |  |  | LIGHT CON <br> Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Pedestrian |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle | PEDESTRIAN |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Westbound |  |  |  |
| Manuever | Moving Forward |  |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 46 F | 33 M |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal |  |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |


| OFFICER SKETCH |  | NARRATIVE <br> UNIT 1 HAD STOPPED AT STOP SIGN ON W/B GRANT ST AT 4TH AVENUE. UNIT 1 DRIVER SAID SHE LOOKED BOTH WAYS AND THEN BEGAN TO PROCEED TO TURN LEFT TO GO SOUTH ON 4TH AVENUE WHEN SHE STRUCK UNIT 2 (PEDESTRIAN) THAT WAS IN THE CROSSWALK GOING SOUTHBOUND. UNIT 2 PERSON TRANSPORTED. UNIT 1 SAID SHE WAS LOOKING FOR CARS AND MUST HAVE NOT SEEN HIM. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Crash Detail Report - Short Form



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 00861214 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.604 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME 4TH AVE |  |  | ROUTE ID <br> 0400006594470031-I |  | COUNTY <br> 2-Anoka | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { VEH } \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 11 / 04 / 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TIME } \\ & 16: 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | DAY <br> Wed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.206645 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UTM X } \\ 469737.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5005977.7 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE Pedestrian |  | CRASH SE <br> C - Possib | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EVERITY } \\ & \text { ible Inju } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { FIRST } \\ \text { Pedes } \end{array}$ | HARMF <br> trian |  |  |  | LIGHT CON Daylight | TION | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Pedestrian | Pedestrian | Pedestrian |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle |  |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Southbound |  |  |  |
| Manuever | Turning Left | Walk/Cycle Across Traffic (X- | Walk/Cycle Across Traffic (X-i | Walk/Cycle Across Traffic (X- |
| Age/Sex | 37 M | 37 F | 1 F | 1 F |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |
| Contributing Factor 1 | Failure to Yield Right-of-Way | No Improper Action | No Improper Action | No Improper Action |



| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ 00861108 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.605 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME4TH AVE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ROUTE ID } \\ & \text { 0400006594470031-I } \end{aligned}$ |  | COUNTY | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { VEH } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | DATE 11/04/20 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TIME } \\ \text { 06:55 } \end{array}$ | DAY <br> Wed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.206665 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LONG } \\ \hline-93.385368 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM X } \\ & 469737.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5005980.0 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Angle |  | CRASH S <br> N - Prop | EVERIT <br> Damag | Only | FIRST Moto | HARMF Vehicle | Tra | port |  | LIGHT CON <br> Sunrise |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


| Unit Type | Unit 1 <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport | Unit 2 <br> Motor Vehicle in Transport | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle | Sport Utility Vehicle |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Westbound | Southbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Moving Forward | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 60 F | 40 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | Unknown | Unknown |  |  |



| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ 00609540 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.699 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME 4TH AVE |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ROUTE ID } \\ & \text { 0400006594470031-I } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY } \\ & \text { 2-Anoka } \end{aligned}$ | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# VEH } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 07 / 08 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TIME } \\ \text { 06:11 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Sun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.207992 \end{aligned}$ | $-93.385254$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UTM X } \\ 469746.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006127.4 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Rear End |  | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFULMotor Vehicle In Transport |  |  |  |  | LIGHT CON <br> Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle | Passenger Car |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Northbound | Northbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Vehicle Stopped or Stalled in | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 47 F | 52 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 10782291 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { 04-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.700 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME 4th Ave. |  |  | ROUTE ID <br> 0400006594470031-I |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY } \\ & \text { 2-Anoka } \end{aligned}$ | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTERSECT } \\ & 1 \text { F S BN RR } \end{aligned}$ | Tracks |  | \# VEH $3$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# KILL } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | DATE $12 / 12 / 12$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIIME } \\ & \text { 06:50 } \end{aligned}$ | DAY Wed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.207997 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM X } \\ & 469746.8 \end{aligned}$ | UTM Y $5006127.9$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Rear End |  | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFUL <br> Motor Vehicle In Transport |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LIGHT COND } \\ & \text { Dark (Str Li } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tion } \\ & \text { hts On) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | WEATHER PRIMARY Cloudy |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |
| Vehicle Type | Passenger Car | Pickup | Sport Utility Vehicle |  |
| Direction of Travel | Southbound | Southbound | Southbound |  |
| Manuever | VEH STOPPED IN TRAFFIC | VEH STOPPED IN TRAFFIC | Moving Forward |  |
| Age/Sex | 55 M | 53 F | 38 M |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action | No Clear Contributing Action | ILLEGAL/UNSAF SP |  |


| OFFICER SKETCH NO OFFICER SKETCH WAS FOUND. | NARRATIVE <br> VEH.\#1 \#2 AND \#3 ALL S/B 4TH AVE. JUST SOUTH OF THE BN RR TRACKS. VEH.\#1 STOPPED FOR TRAFFIC IN FRONT OF HIM \#2 ALSO STOPPED, VEH.\#3 RAN INTO THE BACK OF \#2 FORCING IT INTO \#1. |
| :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ 00323134 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & 04-C S A H \end{aligned}$ | ROUTE NUM 0031 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.702 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME4TH AVE |  |  | ROUTE ID0400006594470031-I |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY } \\ & \text { 2-Anoka } \end{aligned}$ | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \# \text { KILL } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 01 / 25 / 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIIME } \\ & \text { 08:20 } \end{aligned}$ | DAY <br> Mon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.208033 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LONG_- } \\ & \hline-93.385253 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM X } \\ & 469746.9 \end{aligned}$ | UTM Y 5006132.0 | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE Single Vehic | un Off Road | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFUL Curb |  |  |  |  | LIGHT CONDITION Daylight |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WEATHER PRIMARY } \\ & \text { Rain } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Passenger Car |  |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Not on Roadway |  |  |  |
| Manuever | Turning Left |  |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 24 M |  |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal |  |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | Swerved or Avoided Due to V |  |  |  |

OFFICER SKETCH | NARRATIVE |
| :--- |
| VEHICLE \#1 WAS TRANELING INSIDE A ROUNDABOUT TO ENTER A |
| PARKING RAMP WHEN SLID TOWARD THE CURB AND DAMAGING THE |
| RIM ON THE FRONT RIGHT TIRE. NO INJURIES |

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ 11019620 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \end{aligned}$ | MEASURE0.709 |  | ROUTE NAME4 AVE |  |  | ROUTE ID <br> 0400006594470031－I |  | COUNTY 2－Anoka | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT W |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { VEH } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATE } \\ 05 / 28 / 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { 10:51 } \end{aligned}$ | DAY <br> Thu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.208127 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM X } \\ & 469747.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006142.4 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Single Vehic | un Off Road | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N－Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFUL Overturn／Rollover |  |  |  |  | LIGHT CONDITION Dark（Str Lights On） |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


| Unit Type | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Passenger Car |  |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Southbound |  |  |  |
| Manuever | Moving Forward |  |  |  |
| Age／Sex | 50 F |  |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal |  |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |  |


| OFFICER SKETCH | NARRATIVE <br> UNIT 1 WAS SB ON 4TH AVE CROSSING THE BNSF RAILROAD TRACKS． WHILE CROSSING，THE FRONT RIGHT WHEEL SNAPPED OFF AT THE AXEL．THE CAR WAS DAMAGED IN THE FRONT RIGHT WHEEL WELL AND HAD TO BE TOWED．IT DID NOT APPEAR TO BE CAUSED BY MALFUNCTIONING TRACKS． |
| :---: | :---: |


| INCIDENT ID ROUTE SYS <br> 10778061 04－CSAH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.744 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NAME } \\ & \text { 4TH AVENUE } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ROUTE ID <br> 0400006594470031－I |  | COUNTY <br> 2－Anoka | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT WITH 25 F S JOHNSON ST |  | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { VEH } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 06 / 07 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TIME } \\ & 15: 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Thu } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.208610 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LONG } \\ \hline-93.385291 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UTM X } \\ & 469744.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006196.1 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Single Vehicle Run Off Road | CRASH SE <br> N－Prop | EVERITY <br> Dama | Only | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIRS } \\ & \text { Road } \end{aligned}$ | HARMF way Sig | or | Structure |  | LIGHT COND Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle |  |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | SOUTHWEST |  |  |  |
| Manuever | Turning Left |  |  |  |
| Age／Sex | 64 F |  |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal |  |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 |  |  |  |  |


| OFFICER SKETCH <br> SIGN IS ON A REAISED CONCRETE <br> MEDIAN SEPERATING TWO LANES OF TRAVEL <br> LANES OF TRAVE <br> ॥山川い\｜いいいいいい |  | NARRATIVE <br> UNIT 1 HAD TAKEN A LEFT TURN FROM WESTBOUND JOHNSON ST TO SOUTHBOUND 4TH AVENUE WHEN A PIECE OF WOOD MOVED IN THE CAR DISTRACTING THE DRIVE．SHE THEN COLIDDED WITH A METAL SIGN PROTRUDING FROM A CONCRETE MEDIAN．YELLOW TAG COMPLETED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Crash Detail Report - Short Form

| INCIDENT ID <br> 10852069 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { 04-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | ROUTE NUM <br> 0031 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { MEASURE } \\ 0.749 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NAME } \\ & 4 \text { AVE } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | ROUTE ID  <br> $0400006594470031-I$ 2 |  | COUNTY <br> 2-Anoka | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT W |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# VEH } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 05 / 28 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & 17: 45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Tue } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.208683 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM X } \\ & 469744.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006204.1 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE Other |  | CRASH SE <br> N - Prop | EVERITY <br> Dama | e Only | FIRST Motor | HARMF <br> Vehicle | $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | sport |  | LIGHT CON Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Cloudy |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Passenger Car | Passenger Car |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Southbound | Westbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Turning Left | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 28 M | 16 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |


| OFFICER SKETCH |  | NARRATIVE <br> UNIT 1 SB 4 AVE APPROACHING GRANT ST. UNIT 2 STOPPED AT THE STOPSIGN WB GRANT ST AT 4 AVE. AS UNIT 2 STARTED TO MAKE A LEFT TURN TO GO SB 4 AVE UNIT 2 THEN STRUCK UNIT 1 AS IT WAS MAKING A LEFT TURN. DRIVER OF UNIT 1 BELIEVED UNIT 2 DID NOT STOP FOR THE STOPSIGN. DRIVER OF UNIT 2 SAID SHE STOPPED FOR THE STOPSIGN AND BELIEVED IT WAS CLEAR TO PROCEED. DRIVER OF UNIT 2 SAID SHE DID NOT SEE UNIT 1, POSS. DUE TO A SMALL TREE THAT WAS OBSTRUCTING THE SB 4 AVE TRAFFIC. DRIVER OF UNIT 2 BELIEVED UNIT 1 WAS TRAVELING FAST. DRIVERS TOOK PHOTOS OF THE DAMAGE TO THEIR VEH'S WITH THEIR CELL PHONES. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ 11017238 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.844 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME4 AVE N |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ROUTE ID } \\ \text { 0400006594470031-I } \end{array}$ |  | COUNTY <br> 2-Anoka | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INTERSECT } \\ & 30 \text { F S GRAI } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { VEH } \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATE } \\ 02 / 03 / 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TIME } \\ & \text { 14:52 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DAY } \\ \text { Tue } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LAT } \\ 45.210059 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LONG } \\ \hline-93.385280 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UTM X } \\ 469745.8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006357.0 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Single Vehic | un Off Roa | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFUL <br> Roadway Sign or Sign Structure |  |  |  |  | LIGHT CONDITION <br> Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Snow |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle |  |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | SOUTHWEST |  |  |  |
| Manuever | Turning Left |  |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 17 M |  |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal |  |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 |  |  |  |  |



Crash Detail Report - Short Form

| INCIDENT ID 10939573 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ 0031 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.856 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME GRANT STREET |  |  | ROUTE ID <br> 0400006594470031-I |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { COUNTY } \\ & \text { 2-Anoka } \end{aligned}\right.$ | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT W |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \# \text { VEH } \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 12 / 16 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TIME } \\ & \text { 10:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Tue } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LAT } \\ 45.210233 \end{array}$ | \|LONG | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UTM X } \\ & 469746.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006376.3 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Rear End |  | CRASH SE <br> N - Prop | EVERIT <br> Dama | Only | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { FIRST } \\ & \text { Motor } \end{aligned}\right.$ | HARMF <br> Vehicle | $\mathrm{n} \operatorname{Tr}$ | sport |  | LIGHT CON Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle | Pickup |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Westbound | Westbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Slowing | Slowing |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 53 F | 34 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |  |



| INCIDENT ID 11022685 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | MEA |  | GRANT ST |  |  | ROUTE ID0400006594470031-I |  | COUNTY <br> 2-Anoka |  | CITY <br> Anoka |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT WITH |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { VEH } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# KILL } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 10 / 23 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TIME } \\ \text { 16:45 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Fri } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.210233 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { UTM X } \\ 469746.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006376.3 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Angle |  | CRASH S <br> N - Prop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VERIT } \\ & \text { Jama } \end{aligned}$ | Only | FIRS Moto | ARMF Vehicle | $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{Tr}$ | port |  | LIGHT CON <br> Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Rain |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle | Passenger Car |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | SOUTHWEST | Southbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Turning Left | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 67 M | 50 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | Failure to Yield Right-of-Way | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |


| OFFICER SKETCH |  | NARRATIVE <br> VEHICLE 2 SOUTH ON 4TH AVE AT GRANT STREET. VEHICLE 1 <br> TURNING LEFT FROM GRANT STREET ONTO NB 4TH AVE. DRIVER OF VEHICLE 1 FAILED TO YEILD RIGHT OF WAY TO DRIVER OF VEHICLE 2. DRIVER OF VEHICLE 1 HIT VEHICLE 2 IN THE LEFT REAR PANEL BEHIND THE TIRE CAUSING THE BUMPER TO BE REMOVED. BUMPER HELD ON AT THE RIGHT REAR SIDE. DRIVER OF VEHICLE 1 ADVISED HE DIDN'T SEE THE OTHER VEHICLE AS THE COLOR OF THE VEHICLE MATCHED THE COLOR OF THE ROAD WAY. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Crash Detail Report - Short Form

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ & 11022686 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE SYS } \\ & \text { O4-CSAH } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0031 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.856 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME 4TH AVENUE |  |  | ROUTE ID <br> $0400006594470031-I$ |  | COUNTY | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTERSECT |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { VEH } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \# \text { \# KILL } \\ 0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DATE } \\ 10 / 23 / 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TIME } \\ & \text { 15:37 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { DAY } \\ \text { Fri } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAT } \\ & 45.210233 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { LONG } \\ -93.385279 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM X } \\ & 469746.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006376.3 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Angle |  | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFUL <br> Motor Vehicle In Transport |  |  |  |  | LIGHT CONDITION Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Rain |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | Sport Utility Vehicle | Passenger Car |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Westbound | Northbound |  |  |
| Manuever | Turning Left | Moving Forward |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 16 F | 52 F |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal | Apparently Normal |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | Failure to Yield Right-of-Way | No Clear Contributing Action |  |  |



| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { INCIDENT ID } \\ 10777553 \end{array}$ | ROUTE SYS 05-MSAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ROUTE NUM } \\ & 0137 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MEASURE } \\ & 0.014 \end{aligned}$ |  | ROUTE NAME <br> Johnson St |  |  | ROUTE ID0500023939640137-I |  | COUNTY <br> 2-Anoka | CITY <br> Anoka |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { INTERSECT } \\ & 80 \text { F E } 4 \mathrm{Av} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { VEH } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \# \text { KILL } \\ 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATE } \\ & 05 / 13 / 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TIME } \\ & 14: 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAY } \\ & \text { Sun } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LAT } \\ 45.208682 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | -93.385001 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { UTM X } \\ & 469767.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UTM Y } \\ & 5006203.9 \end{aligned}$ | WORK ZONE TYPE NOT APPLICABLE |
| BASIC TYPE <br> Single Vehic | un Off Road | CRASH SEVERITY <br> N - Prop Damage Only |  |  | FIRST HARMFULRoadway Sign or Sign Structure |  |  |  |  | LIGHT COND <br> Daylight |  | WEATHER PRIMARY Clear |


|  | Unit 1 | Unit 2 | Unit 3 | Unit 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit Type | Motor Vehicle in Transport |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Type | VAN OR MINIVAN |  |  |  |
| Direction of Travel | Eastbound |  |  |  |
| Manuever | Moving Forward |  |  |  |
| Age/Sex | 37 F |  |  |  |
| Physical Cond | Apparently Normal |  |  |  |
| Contributing Factor 1 | Inattentive/Distraction (Talkin! |  |  |  |


| OFFICER SKETCH | NARRATIVE <br> UNIT 1 EB JOHNSON FROM 4 AV. DRIVER WAS LOOKING FOR ITEM, <br> RAN OFF OF ROADWAY ONTO SHOULDER AND STRUCK SIGN. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| RAN |  |

Crash Detail Report - Short Form

April 11, 2022

To whom it may concern:

Please accept this letter of support on behalf of the City of Anoka Rum River Regional Trail $4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue BNSF Railroad Crossing and Trail Connection project for consideration of funding from the 2022 Regional Solicitation program for funding years 2026/2027.

The City of Anoka is committed to providing the safest possible routes of travel for the greatest number of people, which includes safe pedestrian travel on city sidewalks and trails within city limits/jurisdiction. The City fully supports efforts to secure grant funding for improvements to the Rum River Regional Trail and RBTN Tier II corridor with the construction of an at grade railroad crossing for pedestrians and bicyclists. The Rum River Regional Trail is an essential multimodal trail providing access to the Rum River Library, Northstar Rail Station, downtown Anoka, and connection to the Mississippi River Trail. The Rum River Trail is considered to be both a local and regional asset. The proposed project will greatly improve the user experience, connectivity, and safety for bicyclists, pedestrians, and recreational trail users.

As indicated herein, the City of Anoka is in support of the proposed project to further improve the safety and experience of trail users. Furthermore, the City has planned and budgeted for its designated share of funding for this project, and upon successful application is prepared to allocate the funds necessary to complete the engineering required to ensure that the project is ready for construction when grant funding is available in 2026/2027, earlier as allocated.

Regards,


Greg Lee
City Manager

Direct: 763-576-2711
glee@ci.anoka.mn.us

April 11, 2022

To whom it may concern:

The City of Anoka is committed to providing the safest possible winter travel for the greatest number of people, which includes safe pedestrian travel on city sidewalks and trails within city limits/jurisdiction.

The City of Anoka Public Services Department is responsible for the clearing and removal of snow and ice control on the City's streets, trails and publicly owned parking lots. Per city policy, following snow accumulation of 2" or more, the snow is cleared from the City's local trails and the regional trail sections located within its jurisdiction. Current staffing levels allow for sidewalk and trail clearing to occur in concert with street plowing operations.

De-icing of sidewalks and trails, is done sparingly to minimize environmental impacts because many miles of trails within city jurisdiction are located adjacent to either the Rum or Mississippi Rivers. Spot deicing will occur when a hazardous situation is present due to freeze/thaw cycles or unseasonable rain events.

The City maintains a fleet of various pieces of equipment capable of clearing snow from pedestrian trails including pickup trucks with $8^{\prime}$ articulating $V$ plows, MT trackless machines with ' $V$ ' plow and rotary plow attachments, and John Deere tractors with front end attached brooms or blowers.

As indicated herein, the City of Anoka Public Services Department currently provides year round maintenance of the Rum River Regional Trail. Furthermore, the City of Anoka is in support of the proposed project to further improve the safety and experience of all trail users.

Regards,


Direct: 763-576-2922
jholmes@ci.anoka.mn.us

## ANOKA CITY HALL

## Anoka County

TRANSPORTATION DIVISION
Highway

March 17, 2022

Mr. Ben Nelson, Assistant City Engineer
City of Anoka
2015 First Avenue North
Anoka, MN 55303
RE: Letter of Support for Rum River Corridor Improvements
(CSAH 31) in the City of Anoka
Dear Mr. Nelson:
Anoka County supports the City of Anoka's funding request through the Metropolitan Council's 2022 Regional Solicitation for the Rum River Trail Corridor Improvements along County State Aid Highway (CSAH 31).

As proposed, the project would fill a gap in the City's existing multi-use trail network along the west side of CSAH 31, as well as incorporate safety features at the existing at-grade BNSF railroad crossing. As the agency with jurisdiction over CSAH 31, Anoka County will continue to work with the City of Anoka on the development of final plans to improve the corridor for all modes of transportation.

Anoka County believes the proposed improvements will greatly improve the safety and reliability of the existing trail corridor and promote multi-modal transportation opportunities via the Northstar Commuter Rail Station, located adjacent to the proposed project along the east side of CSAH 31.

Sincerely,

## Goseph Macpherson

Joseph J. MacPherson, P.E.
Transportation Division Manager / County Engineer

Parks and
Recreation Office
763-324-3300
Park
Maimenance
$763-324-3326$
Park Services
763-324-3'125
Natural Resources
763-32-1-3413
Bunker Beach
Water Park
763-324-33 10
Chomonix
Golf Course 763-32-1-3-13"-

Wargo
Nature Center
763-324-3350

March 14, 2022

Lisa Lacasse, Public Services Administrator
City of Anoka
2105 First Avenue N Anoka,
MN 55303

Re: Letter of Support, $4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Trail Construction Project

Dear Lisa:

The purpose of this letter is to inform the City of Anoka that the Anoka County Parks Department is in full support of the City's 2022 Regional Funding Solicitation Application FY 2024/25, for bicycle and pedestrian facilities improvements to the trail and railroad crossing near $4^{\text {th }}$ Avenue in the City of Anoka.

As you are aware, this segment of trail is part of the Rum River Regional Trail and the proposed improvements will not only positively impact the local and regional community, but also provide a safer pedestrian and bicycle trail between the Mississippi River and Central Anoka County Regional Trails. Anoka County also believes that the residents of the Metropolitan Region and multi-modal commuters will benefit utilizing the trail as it connects to the Anoka Northstar Rail Station, downtown Anoka, Anoka High School, and multiple other communities and businesses.

It is a pleasure to support trail projects designed for the betterment of the community and the Twin Cities Region.

Sincerely,




## Legend
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## Land Use


 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { City Parks } & 0 & 0.07 & 0.13 & 0.2 \text { Miles }\end{array}$

