Application

10353-2018 Roadway Expansion
10764 - Lexington Parkway connection between Shepard Road and West Seventh Street (TH 5), in the City of St. Paul (proposed extension of CSAH 51)

Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:
Submitted Date:
Submitted
07/13/2018 8:45 AM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Joseph |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Senior Planner |  |  |  |
| Department: | Ramsey County Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | joseph.lux@co.ramsey.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive |  |  |  |
| * | Arden Hills | Min |  | 55112 |
|  | City | State |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 651-266-7114 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 651-266-7110 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional So Elements | ation - Rc | ss Includin | Multimodal |

## Organization Information

Name:
RAMSEY COUNTY
Jurisdictional Agency (if different):

| Organization Type: | County Government |
| :--- | :--- |
| Organization Website: |  |
| Address: | DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS |
|  | 1425 PAUL KIRKWOOD DR |


| * | ARDEN HILLS | Minnesota <br> State/Province | 55112 <br> Postal Code/Zip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| County: | City |  |  |
| Phone:* | Ramsey |  |  |

Fax:
PeopleSoft Vendor Number
0000023983A30

## Project Information

| Project Name | Lexington Parkway Extension |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary County where the Project is Located | Ramsey |
| Cities or Townships where the Project is Located: | Saint Paul |
| Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): | City of Saint Paul |


|  | This project will provide a Class A Minor Arterial |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Augmenter route between Shepard Road, a |
|  | Principal Arterial now under the jurisdiction of the |
|  | City, and West 7th Street (TH 5), a Class A Minor |
|  | Arterial Reliever and provide a continuous arterial |
|  | route from the Mississippi River, through Ramsey |
|  | County, and into Anoka County, via Lexington |
|  | Parkway and Lexington Avenue (CSAH 51. It will |
|  | provide a needed pedestrian and bike connection |
|  | from the existing southerly terminus of Lexington |
| Brief Project Description (Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.) | Parkway to the Mississippi River National |
|  | Recreation Area and Crosby Lake Regional Park. A realignment of Lexington Parkway north of West |
|  | 7th Street is now in the design process for |
|  | construction in 2019. This project will follow that up |
|  | by removing an existing gap in the system and |
|  | connecting the arterial system along the existing |
|  | Elway Street right of way. This connection will |
|  | assist in shifting traffic from West 7th Street to |
|  | Shepard Road, thus assisting the future operation |
|  | of the Riverview Corridor Rapid Transit. |
| (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words) |  |
| TIP Description Guidance (will be used in TIP if the project is selected for funding) | Lexington Parkway Extension |
| Project Length (Miles) | 0.29 |
| to the nearest one-tenth of a mile |  |

## Project Funding

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this project?

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount
Match Amount
\$383,855.00
Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total
Project Total \$1,919,275.00
Match Percentage 20.0\%
Minimum of 20\%
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total

A minimum of $20 \%$ of the total project cost must come from non-federal sources; additional match funds over the $20 \%$ minimum can come from other federal sources

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2022
Select 2020 or 2021 for TDM projects only. For all other applications, select 2022 or 2023.
Additional Program Years:
2021
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information: Roadway Projects

County, City, or Lead Agency
Functional Class of Road
Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET
Road/Route No.
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53

Name of Road

Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE

| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55116 |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Approximate) Begin Construction Date | $05 / 10 / 2022$ |
| (Approximate) End Construction Date | $10 / 28 / 2022$ |

From:
(Intersection or Address)
To:
(Intersection or Address)
DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION
Or At

Primary Types of Work

Examples: GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT BASE, BIT SURF,
SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER,STORM SEWER,
SIGNALS, LIGHTING, GUARDRAIL, BIKE PATH, PED RAMPS,
BRIDGE, PARK AND RIDE, ETC.
BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:
New Bridge/Culvert No.:
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

Ramsey County
Class A Minor Arterial- Augmenter
MSAS- future transfer to CSAH

169

Currently Elway Street; future Lexington Parkway, CSAH 51

55116
05/10/2022
10/28/2022

Shepard Road

West Seventh Street (TJ5)

Grading, Aggregate Base, Curb and Gutter, Storm Sewer, Traffic Signals, Bituminous Surfacing

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2015), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2015), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project.

Objectives: Operate regional transportation system to efficiently and cost-effectively connect people and freight to destinations

## Strategies: A2

Goal: Safety and security (2.7)

Objectives: Reduce crashes and improve safety and security for all mods of passenger, travel and freight transport

Strategies: B6
List the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages:

Goal: Access to destinations (2.8)

Objectives: Increase availability of multi-modal travel options.

Strategies: C1, C2, C6, C9, C10, C12, C16

Goal: Healthy Environment (2.12)

Objectives: Increase availability and attractiveness of other travel-modes and promote connectivity between communities and amenities for people.

Strategies: E3, E6, E7

# Goal: Leveraging transportation investments to guide land use (2.14) 

Objectives: Focus regional growth in areas hat support full range of multi-modal travel. Encourage local land use design to integrate highways, streets, transit, walking and bicycling.

Strategies: F3, F4, F5, F6, F7, F9

3. The project or the transportation problem/need that the project addresses must be in a local planning or programming document. Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by the Minnesota Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses.

List the applicable documents and pages:

## 2018-2022 Ramsey County Transportation Improvement Program (9, 29, 30)

4.The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed below.
Roadway Expansion: $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 7,000,000$
Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization Modernization and Spot Mobility: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management): \$250,000 to \$7,000,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/ Replacement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8.The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have, or be substantially working towards, completing a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA.

The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has an adopted ADA transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation. Date plan adopted by governing body

The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people Yes and is currently working towards completing an ADA transition plan that covers the public rights of way/transportation.

The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public rights of way/transportation.

Date self-evaluation completed

The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and is working towards completing an ADA self-evaluation that covers the public rights of way/transportation.

Date of anticipated plan completion/adoption
(TDM Applicants Only) The applicant is not a public agency subject to the self-evaluation requirements in Title II of the ADA.
10.The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 6/27/2017.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
12. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
14.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1.All roadway and bridge projects must be identified as a principal arterial (non-freeway facilities only) or A-minor arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement
Roadway Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility projects only:
2.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
3.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a principal arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must have a sufficiency rating less than 80 for rehabilitation projects and less than 50 for replacement projects. Additionally, the bridge must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Roadway Expansion, Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility, and Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
7. All roadway projects that involve the construction of a new/expanded interchange or new interchange ramps must have approval by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Interchange Planning Review Committee prior to application submittal. Please contact Michael Corbett at MnDOT ( Michael.J.Corbett@state.mn.us or 651-234-7793) to determine whether your project needs to go through this process.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Specific Roadway Elements

## CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST <br> ESTIMATES <br> Cost

\$90,000.00
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$182,717.00

Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) \$256,775.00

Roadway (aggregates and paving) \$244,295.00

Subgrade Correction (muck) $\$ 0.00$

Storm Sewer \$198,430.00

Ponds $\$ 0.00$

Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) \$173,010.00

Traffic Control \$67,320.00

Striping \$36,232.00

Signing
Lighting
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... \$65,344.00
Bridge ..... $\$ 0.00$
Retaining Walls ..... $\$ 0.00$
Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... $\$ 400,000.00$
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Roadway Elements ..... \$19,950.00
Totals ..... \$1,734,073.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... \$176,430.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$8,772.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$185,202.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
Number of Platform hours ..... 0
Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Subtotal ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 1,919,275.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 1,919,275.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Congestion on adjacent Parallel Routes:

Adjacent Parallel Corridor ..... I-35E
Adjacent Parallel Corridor Start and End Points:
Start Point: Shepard Road
End Point: West Seventh Street
Free-Flow Travel Speed: ..... 54
The Free-Flow Travel Speed is black number
Peak Hour Travel Speed:37
The Peak Hour Travel Speed is red number.
Percentage Decrease in Travel Speed in Peak Hour Compared toFree-Flow:$31.48 \%$
Upload Level of Congestion Map: 1528126458530_Congestion Map.pdf
Principal Arterial Intersection Conversion Study:

Proposed at-grade project that reduces delay at a Medium Priority Intersection:
(60 Points)
Proposed at-grade project that reduces delay at a Low Priority Intersection:
(50 Points)
Proposed interchange project that reduces delay at a Medium Priority Intersection:
(40 Points)
Proposed interchange project that reduces delay at a Low Priority Intersection:
(0 Points)
Not listed as a priority in the study:
(0 Points)

## Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Existing Employment within 1 Mile:
6585
Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1
Mile:
Existing Post-Secondary Students within 1 Mile:
0
Upload Map
1528129012265_Regional Economy Map.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

## Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

RESPONSE: Select one for your project, based on the Regional Truck Corridor Study:
Along Tier 1:

Along Tier 2:
Along Tier 3
The project provides a direct and immediate connection (i.e., intersects) with either a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 corridor:

None of the tiers:

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

Location
Current AADT Volume
Existing Transit Routes on the Project
south of Montreal Avenue
4600

83

# Response: Current Daily Person Throughput 

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership 456.0<br>Current Daily Person Throughput

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume 18300

## OR

Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume

Forecast (2040) ADT volume

## Measure A: Connection to disadvantaged populations and projects benefits, impacts, and mitigation

## Select one:

Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty with $50 \%$ or more of residents are people of color (ACP50):
(up to $100 \%$ of maximum score)
Project located in Area of Concentrated Poverty:
(up to $80 \%$ of maximum score )
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color:
(up to $60 \%$ of maximum score )
Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or Yes includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly:
(up to $40 \%$ of maximum score )

1. (0 to 3 points) A successful project is one that has actively engaged low-income populations, people of color, children, persons with disabilities, and the elderly during the project's development with the intent to limit negative impacts on them and, at the same time, provide the most benefits.
Describe how the project has encouraged or will engage the full cross-section of community in decision-making. Identify the communities to be engaged and where in the project development process engagement has occurred or will occur. Elements of quality engagement include:
outreach to specific communities and populations that are likely to be directly impacted by the project; techniques to reach out to populations traditionally not involved in the community engagement related to transportation projects; residents or users identifying potential positive and negative elements of the project; and surveys, study recommendations, or plans that provide feedback from populations that may be impacted by the proposed project. If relevant, describe how NEPA or Title VI regulations will guide engagement activities.

> Working with the City of St. Paul, the Highland Park District Council, and the Fort Road Federation, two public open houses have been held, with strong support for the project. TKDA has been hired to design the a 2019 project which will precede this phase and we will include this phase in the public engagement process during the design of phase one. Ramsey County and the City's communications staff will assist in reaching all publics.
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
2.(0 to 7 points) Describe the projects benefits to low-income populations, people of color, children, people with disabilities, and the elderly. Benefits could relate to safety; public health; access to destinations; travel time; gap closure; leveraging of other beneficial projects and investments; and/or community cohesion. Note that this is not an exhaustive list.

> The project will eliminate a gap in the arterial system between areas of above-average concentrations of poverty and will connect those areas with the Mississippi River National Recreation Area, the Crosby Lake Regional Park, downtown St. Paul, commercial areas along West 7th Street, and provide connections to the west, including MSP international airport and commercial areas in Hennepin County.

Response:
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
3.(-3 to 0 points) Describe any negative externalities created by the project along with measures that will be taken to mitigate them. Negative externalities can result in a reduction in points, but mitigation of externalities can offset reductions.
Below is a list of negative impacts. Note that this is not an exhaustive list.
Increased difficulty in street crossing caused by increased roadway width, increased traffic speed, wider turning radii, or other elements that negatively impact pedestrian access.
Increased noise.
Decreased pedestrian access through sidewalk removal / narrowing, placement of barriers along the walking path, increase in auto-oriented curb cuts, etc.
Project elements that are detrimental to location-based air quality by increasing stop/start activity at intersections, creating vehicle idling areas, directing an increased number of vehicles to a particular point, etc.
Increased speed and/or cut-through traffic.
Removed or diminished safe bicycle access.
Inclusion of some other barrier to access to jobs and other destinations.
Displacement of residents and businesses.
Construction/implementation impacts such as dust; noise; reduced access for travelers and to businesses; disruption of utilities; and eliminated street crossings. These tend to be temporary.
Other

The project has the potential to increase traffic adjacent to five homes. There may be significant impact to one of them and we will negotiate appropriate compensation with the homeowner.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Upload Map
1528127890311_Socio-Economic Map.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

|  | Segment Length <br> (For stand-alone <br> projects, enter <br> population from <br> Regional Economy <br> map) within each <br> City/Township | Segment <br> Length/Total <br> Project Length | Score | Mousing Score <br> Multiplied by <br> Segment percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.29 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length (as entered in the "Project Information" form)

## Affordable Housing Scoring

## Measure A: Infrastructure Age

Year of Original
Roadway Construction
or Most Recent
Reconstruction
1964.0
0.29
569.56
1964.0
0
570
1964

Average Construction Year
Weighted Year
1964.0

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length

## Measure A: Congestion Reduction/Air Quality

| Total Peak | Total Peak | Total Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hour Delay | Hour Delay | Hour Delay |  |
| Per Vehicle | Per Vehicle | Per Vehicle | Volume |
| Without The | With The | Reduced by | (Vehicles per |

EXPLANATIO
N of
methodology
used to
calculate
railroad
crossing
delay, if
applicable.

Synchro or HCM Reports the Project:

Calculation 2

## Total

Total Emissions Reduced:
0
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## Measure B: Roadway projects that are constructing new roadway segments, but do not include railroad grade-separation elements (for Roadway Expansion applications only): <br> 2.54 <br> 3 <br>  <br> Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions with the Project (Kilograms): <br> Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) <br> Peak Hour Emissions <br> Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): <br> 1.35 <br> 1

## Total Parallel Roadway

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways
Upload Synchro Report

1531339875500_Lexington Pkwy_Synchro - Report.pdf

Please upload attachment in PDF form. (Save Form, then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: 0
Total delay in hours with the project: 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: 0
Fuel consumption in gallons: 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or
Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):
Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms):
EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit
1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms):

| Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vehicle miles traveled without the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours without the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project: | 0 |
| Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Vehicle miles traveled with the project: | 0 |
| Total delay in hours with the project: | 0 |
| Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F1) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F2) | 0 |
| Fuel consumption in gallons (F3) | 0 |
| Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the | 0 |
| Project (Kilograms): |  |
| EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit |  |
| 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words) |  |

## Measure A: Benefit of Crash Reduction

Crash Modification Factor Used:
(Limit 700 Characters; approximately 100 words)

Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:
(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)
Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio:
Worksheet Attachment
Please upload attachment in PDF form.

None

The only intersection that will be modified by this project is at Montreal Avenue. This intersection is currently a "T" intersection controlled by two-way stop condition, with the east and westbound directions stopping and northbound free to turn left or right. There were no crashes reported at this intersection in the three-year period between 2013 and 2015 (inclusive), so no reduction is reported.

## Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The project will provide a connection between West 7th Street and Shepard Road for bicycles and pedestrians to provide a connection to the Mississippi River National Recreation Area and the Crosby Farm Regional Park. The connection to West 7th Street provides critical access to the future Riverview Corridor rapid transit line and has the potential to shift traffic from West 7th Street to Shepard Road for more efficient operation of the Riverview Corridor. The connection will be a critical link in a contiguous bike route from the Mississippi River through St. Paul, Falcon Heights, Roseville, Arden Hills, and Shoreview into Anoka County and will provide connections to commercial and recreational areas in those cities, including the Grand Avenue and University Avenue districts, Highland Park, Como Regional Park, Roseville's Central Park, Rice Creek Regional Park and Trail, and transit connections to the Green Line corridor as well as the Riverview corridor and existing Metro Transit routes.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.
Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

1)Layout (30 Percent of Points)

Layout should include proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries.
Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties that the project goes through or agencies that maintain the roadway(s)). A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

## Attach Layout

Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50\%
Attach Layout
1531326324625_Lexington Layout.pdf
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Layout has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion 03/27/2020
2)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (20 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and Yes project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of no historic properties affected is anticipated. 100\%

Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.

0\%
Project is located on an identified historic bridge
3)Right-of-Way (30 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements either not required or all have been acquired

100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete

50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels identified

25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements required, parcels not all identified

0\%

Anticipated date or date of acquisition
09/28/2018
4)Railroad Involvement (20 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way
agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable) Yes
100\%
Signature Page
Please upload attachment in PDF form.
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have
begun
50\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

| Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form): | $\$ 1,919,275.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Enter Amount of the Noise Walls: | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls: | $\$ 1,919,275.00$ |
| Points Awarded in Previous Criteria |  |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10764 Attach Lexingto Parkway <br> Extention Estimate.pdf | Cost Estimate | 77 KB |
| HDC Letter to Ramsey County Support <br> of Lexington Pkwy Extension <br> 06182018.pdf | Highland District Council Letter of <br> Support | 350 KB |
| Lexington Extension Letter of Support <br> RC 06.19.18.pdf | City of Saint Paul Support Letter | 231 KB |
| Lexington Layout.pdf <br> LexPkwyExtShep7th_LocationMap.pdf <br> Support Itr Ramsey Co- Lexington Pkwy <br> Extension 2018.pdf | Project Location Map | 1.7 MB |

## Level of Congestion



- Project Points

Principal Arterials
Principal Arterials Planned
Project
——A Minor Arterials

-     -         - A Minor Arterials Planned



8: Elway St \& Montreal Ave

| Direction | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 382 | 255 | 426 | 1063 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 14 | 211 | 6 | 58 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1 | 15 | 1 | 17 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.36 | 0.94 | 0.48 | 1.78 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.35 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.41 |

8: Elway St \& Montreal Ave

| Direction | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 383 | 255 | 426 | 41 | 1105 |
| Total Delay Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 4 | 17 | 13 | 10 | 11 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.84 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.16 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.19 |

8: Elway St \& Montreal Ave

| Direction | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 382 | 255 | 426 | 1063 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 14 | 211 | 6 | 58 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1 | 15 | 1 | 17 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.36 | 0.94 | 0.48 | 1.78 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.35 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 0.41 |

8: Elway St \& Montreal Ave

| Direction | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 383 | 255 | 426 | 41 | 1105 |
| Total Delay Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 4 | 17 | 13 | 10 | 11 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.84 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.16 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.19 |



| ITEM NO | CONTRACT ITEM | UNIT | TOTAL | UNIT PRICE |  | TOTAL AMOUNT |  | PARTICIPATING |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lexington Parkway |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ROADWAY | STORM SEWER |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | QUANTITY | AMOUNT |  | QUANTITY | AMOUNT |  |
| 2021.501 | MOBILIZATION | LUMP SUM | 1 | S | 90,000.00 |  |  | \$ |  | 90,000.00 |  | \$ | 90,000.00 | \$ | - |
| 2031.502 | FIELD OFFICE TYPE D - MODIFIED | EACH | 1 | s | 15,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 15,000.00 | 1 | \$ | 15,000.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2104.502 | REMOVE MANHOLE OR CATCH BASIN | EACH | 11 | s | 325.00 |  |  | \$ | 3,575.00 | 11 | \$ | 3,575.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2104.502 | REMOVE CASTING | EACH | 11 | s | 150.00 | \$ | 1,650.00 | 11 | \$ | 1,650.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2104.503 | REMOVE SEWER PIPE (STORM) | LIN FT | 1300 | s | 13.50 | \$ | 17,550.00 | 1300 | \$ | 17,550.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2104.503 | REMOVE CURB AND GUTTER | LIN FT | 2470 | s | 3.00 | \$ | 7,410.00 | 2470 | \$ | 7,410.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2104.518 | REMOVE PAVEMENT SPECIAL | SQYD | 9500 | \$ | 14.00 | \$ | 133,000.00 | 9500 | \$ | 133,000.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2104.503 | REMOVE GUARDRAIL-PLATE BEAM | LIN FT | 412 | \$ | 11.00 | \$ | 4,532.00 | 412 | \$ | 4,532.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2105.507 | COMMON EXCAVATION (P) | CUYD | 8025 | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 120,375.00 | 8025 | \$ | 120,375.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2105.507 | SELECT GRANULAR BORROW (CV) (P) | CUYD | 6200 | s | 22.00 | \$ | 136,400.00 | 6200 | \$ | 136,400.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2211.507 | AGGREGATE BASE (CV) CLASS 6 (P) | CUYD | 1825 | s | 35.00 | \$ | 63,875.00 | 1825 | \$ | 63,875.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2357.506 | BITUMINOUS MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT | GALLON | 725 | \$ | 5.20 | \$ | 3,770.00 | 725 | \$ | 3,770.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2360.509 | TYPE SP 12.5 NON WEAR COURSE MIX ( $4, B$ ) | TON | 1250 | s | 55.00 | \$ | 68,750.00 | 1250 | \$ | 68,750.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2360.509 | TYPE SP 12.5 WEARING COURSE MIX (4,F) | TON | 1660 | \$ | 65.00 | \$ | 107,900.00 | 1660 | \$ | 107,900.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2503.503 | 15" RC PIPE SEWER DES 3006 CL V | LIN FT | 350 | S | 40.00 | \$ | 14,000.00 |  | \$ | - | 350 | \$ | 14,000.00 |
| 2503.503 | 24" RC PIPE SEWER DES 3006 CL III | LIN FT | 1500 | s | 70.50 | \$ | 105,750.00 |  | \$ |  | 1500 | \$ | 105,750.00 |
| 2503.602 | CONNECT TO EXISTING STORM SEWER | EACH | 1 | s | 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 1,000.00 |
| 2503.602 | CONNECT TO EXISTING DRAINAGE STRUCTURE | EACH | 1 | s | 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 |  | \$ |  |  | \$ | 1,000.00 |
| 2506.502 | CASTING ASSEMBLY | EACH | 24 | s | 825.00 | \$ | 19,800.00 |  | \$ |  | 24 | \$ | 19,800.00 |
| 2506.503 | CONSTRUCT DRAINAGE STRUCTURE DESIGN 48-4020 | LIN FT | 144 | \$ | 395.00 | \$ | 56,880.00 |  | \$ |  | 144 | \$ | 56,880.00 |
| 2521.518 | 4 INCH CONCRETE WALK | SQFT | 21300 | s | 7.10 | \$ | 151,230.00 | 21300 | \$ | 151,230.00 |  | $\leqslant$ | $\cdots$ |
| 2521.518 | 6 INCH CONCRETE WALK | SQFT | 2400 | s | 10.50 | \$ | 25,200.00 | 2400 | \$ | 25,200.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2531.603 | CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER DESIGN B624 | LIN FT | 3250 | s | 30.00 | \$ | 97,500.00 | 3250 | \$ | 97,500.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2531.503 | CONCRETE CURB AND GUTTER DESIGN B612 | LIN FT | 2145 | s | 30.00 | \$ | 64,350.00 | 2145 | \$ | 64,350.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2531.504 | 8 INCH CONCRETE DRIVEWAY | SQYD | 120 | s | 93.00 | \$ | 11,160.00 | 120 | \$ | 11,160.00 |  | 5 | - |
| 2531.618 | TRUNCATED DOMES | SQ FT | 204 | s | 43.00 | \$ | 8,772.00 | 204 | \$ | 8,772.00 |  | 5 | - |
| 2554.603 | PLATE BEAM GUARD RAIL | LIN FT | 412 | s | 40.00 | \$ | 16,480.00 | 412 | \$ | 16,480.00 |  | 5 | - |
| 2563.601 | TRAFFIC CONTROL | LUMP SUM | 1 | s | 54,000.00 | \$ | 54,000.00 |  | \$ | 54,000.00 |  | $\leqslant$ | - |
| 2563.601 | ALTERNATE PEDESTRIAN ROUTE | LUMP SUM | 1 | s | 6,000.00 | \$ | 6,000.00 |  | \$ | 6,000.00 |  | S | - |
| 2563.613 | PORTABLE CHANGEABLE MESSAGE SIGN | UNIT DAY | 42 | s | 150.00 | \$ | 6,300.00 | 42 | \$ | 6,300.00 |  | 5 | - |
| 2565.516 | TRAFFIC CONTROL SIGNAL SYSTEM | SYS | 1 | \$ | 300,000.00 | \$ | 300,000.00 | 1 | \$ | 300,000.00 |  | S | - |
| 2565.616 | REVISE SIGNAL SYSTEM | SYS | 1 | \$ | 100,000.00 | \$ | 100,000.00 | 1 | \$ | 100,000.00 |  | 5 | - |
| 2573.501 | STABILIZED CONSTRUCTION EXIT | LUMP SUM | 1 | \$ | 3,470.00 | \$ | 3,470.00 | 1 | \$ | 3,470.00 |  | S | - |
| 2573.501 | EROSION CONTROL SUPERVISOR | LUMP SUM | 1 | \$ | 6,000.00 | \$ | 6,000.00 | 1 | \$ | 6,000.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2573.502 | STORM DRAIN INLET PROTECTION | EACH | 48 | \$ | 155.00 | \$ | 7,440.00 | 48 | \$ | 7,440.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2573.503 | BALE BARRIER | LIN FT | 100 | \$ | 8.90 | \$ | 890.00 | 100 | \$ | 890.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2573.503 | SILT FENCE, TYPE MS | LIN FT | 500 | S | 2.10 | \$ | 1,050.00 | 500 | \$ | 1,050.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2573.503 | SEDIMENT CONTROL LOG TYPE COMPOST | LIN FT | 500 | s | 2.20 | \$ | 1,100.00 | 500 | \$ | 1,100.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2574.507 | COMMON TOPSOIL BORROW (CV) | CUYD | 464 | s | 26.00 | \$ | 12,064.00 | 464 | \$ | 12,064.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2575.504 | SODDING TYPE LAWN | SQYD | 4000 | \$ | 7.00 | \$ | 28,000.00 | 4000 | \$ | 28,000.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2575.504 | EROSION CONTROL BLANKETS CATEGORY 3N | SQYD | 200 | s | 2.00 | \$ | 400.00 | 200 | \$ | 400.00 |  | S | - |
| 2575.508 | HYDRAULIC MULCH MATRIX | POUND | 12000 | s | 0.70 | \$ | 8,400.00 | 12000 | \$ | 8,400.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2582.503 | INTERIM PAVEMENT MARKING | LIN FT | 4080 | s | 0.25 | \$ | 1,020.00 | 4080 | \$ | 1,020.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2582.503 | 4" SOLID LINE MULTI-COMPONENT GROUND IN | LIN FT | 3380 | \$ | 0.90 | \$ | 3,042.00 | 3380 | \$ | 3,042.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2582.503 | 4" DOUBLE SOLID LINE MULTI-COMPONENT GROUND IN | LIN FT | 350 | \$ | 1.80 | \$ | 630.00 | 350 | \$ | 630.00 |  | \$ | - |
| 2582.518 | PAVEMENT MESSAGE PREFORM THERMOPLASTIC GROUND IN | SQ FT | 374 | s | 27.50 | \$ | 10,285.00 | 374 | \$ | 10,285.00 |  | 5 | - |
| 2582.518 | PAVEMENT MESSAGE PREFORM THERMOPLASTIC GROUND IN | SQFT | 810 | s | 27.50 | \$ | 22,275.00 | 810 | \$ | 22,275.00 |  | \$ | - |

Ted Shoenecker<br>Director Ramsey County Public Works<br>1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive<br>Arden Hills, MN 55112

June 18, 2018
Dear Mr. Ted Shoenecker,
The Highland District Council (HDC) is sending this as a letter of support for the Lexington Parkway Extension. The HDC has supported the realignment of Lexington Parkway and the extension since the St. Paul Public Schools issued a "request for proposal" for the future of the 900 Albion property in 2016. Essential Decisions Inc., the developer for the property, has re-worked its plan for the site to help accommodate the realignment.

We have met with the developer, the Community, the County, MnDOT and multiple departments within the City of Saint Paul, numerous times over the past year and a half. The neighbors in the area have supported the rezoning of this property with the understanding that the Lexington realignment would connect them to Shepard Road and the Mississippi River while promoting safer pedestrian and bicycling traffic in a neighborhood. The neighborhood currently is not friendly to either bikes or pedestrians, despite bike lanes on Lexington.

The HDC has long seen a realignment as a necessity. The District Plan for Highland Park has called for a realignment of the Montreal, Lexington and West $7^{\text {th }}$ intersection since the late 1980s. The HDC views this as an opportunity to simply traffic patterns at a confusing and poorly engineered intersection. Moreover, the extension will improve the safety of drivers, pedestrians and bicyclers.

We believe the Lexington Parkway Extension will benefit not only the Highland Park and Fort Road neighborhoods, but the City of Saint Paul, Ramsey County and the entire metro area of the Twin Cities. We thank you for your continued support.


The Highland District Council's mission is to foster opportunities for the people that live, learn, work, and play in Highland Park to engage and connect with neighbors, businesses and local government and to help build a more vibrant, welcoming, and safe neighborhood.

The HDC is a registered 501(c)3 non-profit.

CITY OF SAINT PAUL<br>Melvin W. Carter, Mayor

June 19, 2018

Mr. Ted Schoenecker
Public Works Director/County Engineer
Ramsey County Public Works
1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive
Arden Hills, MN 55112

## RE: Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation - Project Support Lexington Parkway Extension between w. ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and Shepard Road

Dear Mr. Schoenecker,
I am writing to express the City of Saint Paul's strong support for Ramsey County's Lexington Parkway Extension Project between W. ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street and Shepard Road. The City feels this new alignment of Lexington Parkway will benefit vehicle operations and safety as well as providing a more direct connection to the Mississippi River and trail amenities for pedestrians and bicyclists. The City sees this roadway extension as a benefit not only to the surrounding community, but also for traffic that may be traveling to and from the regional destinations of the Minneapolis-St. Paul International Airport or the Mall of America.

The City of Saint Paul is proud to support this roadway extension project and looks forward to working in partnership with Ramsey County through the design and construction phases if the project is awarded federal funding.

Thank you for including the City of Saint Paul in the review of this project. Please know that the project and the County have our full support.

Sincerely,


Kathy Landry
Director of Public Works



## Lexington Parkway Extension between Shepard Rd \& W 7th St

Map Produced 6/14/2018 by Ramsey County Public Works
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MnDOT Metro District<br>1500 West County Road B-2<br>Roseville, MN 55113

June 15, 2018
Ted Schoenecker
Director, Ramsey County Public Works
1425 Paul Kirkwold Dr.
Arden Hills, Minnesota, 55112

## Re: Letter of Support for Ramsey County

 Metro Council/Transportation Advisory Board 2018 Regional Solicitation Funding Request for Lexington Parkway ExtensionDear Mr. Schoenecker,
This letter documents MnDOT Metro District's support for Ramsey County's funding request to the Metro Council for the 2018 regional solicitation for 2022-23 funding for extension of Lexington Parkway between Shepard Road and West $7^{\text {th }}$ St, including signal replacement on Montreal Av.

As proposed, this project would impact MnDOT right-of-way on TH 5 . As the agency with jurisdiction over TH 5, MnDOT will support Ramsey County and will allow the improvements proposed in the application for the Lexington Parkway Extension Project. Details of a future maintenance agreement with Ramsey County will need to be determined during project development to define how the improvements will be maintained for the project's useful life.

No funding from MnDOT is currently programmed for this project, and no discretionary funding in years 2022-23 is currently anticipated. However Metro District does have other roadway investments planned to occur nearby. I would request that you coordinate project development with MnDOT Area staff so that our agencies can work together to best leverage our respective efforts.

MnDOT Metro District looks forward to continued cooperation with Ramsey County as this project moves forward and as we work together to improve safety and travel options within the Metro Area.

If you have questions or require additional information at this time, please reach out to Sheila Kauppi, your North Area Manager, at Sheila.Kauppi@state.mn.us or 651-234-7718.

Sincerely,


[^0]Metro District Engineer

[^1]
[^0]:    Scott McBride

[^1]:    CC: Sheila Kauppi, Metro District North Area Manager Lynne Bly, Metro Program Director Dan Erickson, Metro State Aid Engineer

