Application

01968-2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
02290 - CSAH 21/Stagecoach Trail
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
Status: Submitted
Submitted Date:
12/01/2014 1:40 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Ann | Mary | Pung- <br> Terwedo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Senior Planner |  |  |  |
| Department: | Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | ann.pung-terwedo@co.washington.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 11660 Myeron Road North |  |  |  |
| * | Stillwater | Mi |  | 55082 |
|  | City |  |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 651-430-4362 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 651-430-4 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional <br> Elements | tation - Road | ys Including | Multimodal |

## Organization Information

| Name: | WASHINGTON CTY |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdictional Agency (if different): |  |  |
| Organization Type: |  |  |
| Organization Website: |  |  |
| Address: | PUBLIC WORKS |  |

## Project Information

| Project Name | CSAH 21/Stagecoach Trail |
| :--- | :--- |
| Primary County where the Project is Located | Washington |
| Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant): |  |

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

This project will reconstruct CSAH 21/Stagecoach Trail from 22nd Street to just south of CSAH 14 in West Lakeland and Baytown Townships. Washington County is the project sponsor.

The existing facility is classified as an A-Minor Connector and is a two-lane rural highway with intermittent left turn lanes, right turn lanes, and bypass lanes scattered throughout the 2.48 mile segment. In addition, existing shoulder widths are highly variable and range between non-existent to approximately 8 feet wide. The roadway has evolved through a patchwork of improvements resulting from adjacent property developments, including industrial, residential, and institutional (school) uses. As a result, the facility lacks design consistency and impedes the predictable movement of traffic. Considering the mix of land uses, heavy truck volumes exceeding $18 \%$ of total traffic are also an issue. These factors contribute to safety concerns along the corridor. Indeed, the corridors crash rate exceeds the critical crash rate for similar roadways. MnDOT notes that locations with a crash rate above the critical rate are considered to be unsafe. In addition, the pavement is uneven, rutted, cracked, and shifting. Continuing past practices of occasional pavement overlays is inadequate and unsustainable. Originally constructed in 1922, the roadway is overdue for a complete reconstruction.

This project aims to modernize the roadway and transform it into a safe and consistently designed corridor. This will be accomplished by reconstructing the roadway as a three-lane facility with a center left turn lane. A concrete median will be constructed along the northern portions of the segment to facilitate appropriate access restrictions. Right turn lanes will also be provided at
intersections. Two northbound acceleration lanes will be installed to help facilitate the safe movement of heavy trucks exiting gravel pits adjacent to the roadway. In addition, the facility will run 10-foot wide shoulders for the length of the corridor to increase the safety of non-motorized users. A proposed typical section consists of 10 -foot shoulders, 12-foot travel lanes, and a 13-foot center left-turn lane.

These improvements will modernize the roadway, increase its safety, and position it to accommodate future traffic needs.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles) 2.48
Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

- Washington County 2030 Comprehensive Plan (2010): 4-23 thru 25, 4-46 and 47, 4-75, 4-78 and 79
- Comprehensive Plan for City of Bayport, Minnesota (2012): Pages 18-30
- Washington County Capital Improvement Plan, 2015-2019 (Draft): Page 82 (see attached)


## Project Funding

| Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement <br> this project? | No |
| :--- | ---: |
| If yes, please identify the source(s) |  |
| Federal Amount | $\$ 4,800,000.00$ |
| Match Amount | $\$ 1,200,000.00$ |

Minimum of $20 \%$ of project total

| Project Total | $\$ 6,000,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Match Percentage | $20.0 \%$ |
| Minimum of $20 \%$  <br> Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total  |  |
| Source of Match Funds | Local resources |
| Preferred Program Year |  |
| Select one: | 2019 |

## MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects

County, City, or Lead Agency
Functional Class of Road
Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET
Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date
(Approximate) End Construction Date
LOCATION
From:
(Intersection or Address)
Do not include legal description;
Include name of roadway if majority of facility
runs adjacent to a single corridor.
To:
(Intersection or Address)

Type of Work

Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge,
Park \& Ride, etc.)
Old Bridge/Culvert? No
New Bridge/Culvert?
No

Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

## Specific Roadway Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
Cost
ESTIMATES
$\$ 300,000.00$
Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost)
$\$ 300,000.00$
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost)
\$1,800,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)
\$1,500,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)
\$240,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)Storm Sewer\$180,000.00
Ponds ..... $\$ 0.00$
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) ..... \$360,000.00
Traffic Control ..... \$300,000.00
Striping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Signing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... \$120,000.00
Bridge ..... $\$ 0.00$
Retaining Walls ..... $\$ 0.00$
Noise Wall ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 300,000.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... \$600,000.00
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$6,000,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST EStIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 6,000,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 6,000,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between $\$ 250,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only

1.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Projects Only

3.The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9.The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Replacement Projects Only
10.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only
11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1_Concept_Layout_CSAH_21_Stagecoa <br> ch_Trail.pdf | Project Concept/Layout | 396 KB |
| 2_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail_Project_ <br> Area_Map.pdf | Project Location Map | 1.3 MB |
| 3_CIP_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail.pdf | Washington County Draft 2015-2019 <br> Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) excerpt | 250 KB |
| 4_Bayportsupportletter11-19-2014.pdf | City of Bayport letter of support | 278 KB |
| 5_Baytownsupportletter11-15-2014.pdf | Baytown Township letter of support | 253 KB |
| 6_WestLakelendsupportletter 11-19- <br> 2014.pdf | West Lakeland Township letter of <br> Rupport | 27 KB |
| RdwayAreaDef.pdf Roadway Area Definition | 572 KB |  |
| RegionalEcon.pdf | Regional Economy | 964 KB |
| SocioEcon.pdf | Socio Economic | 969 KB |

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour
NB/EB Volume
SB/WB Volume
Capacity
Volume exceeds capacity

12:00am - 1:00am<br>1:00am-2:00am<br>2:00am-3:00am<br>3:00am-4:00am<br>4:00am - 5:00am<br>5:00am - 6:00am

```
6:00am-7:00am
7:00am-8:00am
8:00am-9:00am
9:00am-10:00am
10:00am-11:00am
11:00am-12:00pm
12:00pm-1:00pm
1:00pm-2:00pm
2:00pm-3:00pm
3:00pm-4:00pm
4:00pm - 5:00pm
5:00pm-6:00pm
6:00pm-7:00pm
7:00pm - 8:00pm
8:00pm-9:00pm
9:00pm-10:00pm
10:00pm-11:00pm
11:00pm-12:00am
```


## Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:
Area
Project Length
Average Distance

Upload Map

Connector
8.84
2.627
3.3651

Map_Roadway_Area_Definition_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail. pdf

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume

CSAH 21 between CSAH 14 and Inspiration Parkway South 856.0

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply

Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration

| Direct connection to or within a mile of a | Yes |
| :--- | ---: |
| Manufacturing/Distribution Location |  |
| Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution |  |
| Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an <br> existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or <br> city plan | Yes |

The Metropolitan Council map generator and ThriveMSP 2040 do not identify the presence of an educational institution in the project area, however, CSAH 21 provides a direct connection and only access point to St. Croix Preparatory Academy at the intersection of CSAH 21 and Inspiration Parkway South, which is located near the project corridors northern terminus. St. Croix Preparatory Academy is a K-12 charter school that has experienced substantial growth since opening in 2004 and will serve over 1,100 students during the 2014-2015 school year. Maintaining safe traffic movements around the school area is of critical importance.

Upload Map
Map_Regional_Economy_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail.pdf

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

Location
Current AADT Volume
Existing Transit Routes on the Project

CSAH 21 between CSAH 14 and Inspiration Parkway S
6124.0

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Daily Person Throughput | 7961.0 |

Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT
Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT
volume
No

METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume
0
OR

Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Forecast (2030) ADT volume

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Upload Map

Yes

The project does not impose adverse human health or environmental effects on protected or limited mobility populations. Per the map generator, the project area is located within census tracts identified as having above regional average concentrations of race/poverty. The project will increase north-south mobility within the region and provide safer travel on a major alternative route between I-94 and the Bayport and Stillwater communities surrounding TH 36 .

Community benefits include improved user safety, enhanced non-motorized usability, heavy truck accommodations, and a cohesive roadway design that facilitates current and future land use patterns. The existing roadway conditions developed through a patchwork approach of infrastructure improvements resulting from individual development needs instead of comprehensive and intentional design considerations.

Map_Socio-
Economic_Conditions_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail.pdf

| Baytown Township |  | 1.5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West Lakeland Township |  | 0.98 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Total Project Length |  |  |  |  |
| Total Project Length |  | 2.48 |  |  |
| Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff |  |  |  |  |
| City/Township $\begin{gathered}\text { Segment } \\ \text { Length (Miles) }\end{gathered}$ | Total Length (Miles) | Score | Segment Length/Total Length | Housing Score Multiplied by Segment percent |
| Item Deleted 0 | 2.48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)
Total Housing Score
0

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original

| Roadway Construction | Roadway Segment |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| or Most Recent | Length (Miles) | Calculation | Calculation 2 |

Reconstruction

Average Construction Year
Weighted Year
1922.0

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Existing roadway conditions have developed through a patchwork approach of infrastructure improvements resulting from individual development needs instead of comprehensive and intentional design considerations. In general, the project will transform the corridor into a three-lane facility utilizing a two-way left-turn lane. Existing design deficiencies that will be improved include inadequate and inconsistent shoulder width (will be increased to 10 feet wide throughout corridor), access management issues (median and $3 / 4$ access intersections will be implemented where appropriate), and poor pavement quality (improved through reconstruction). Washington Countys draft 2015-2019 Capital Improvement Plan identifies the roadway surface as uneven, rutted, cracked, and shifting.

In addition, inconsistent turning lane facilities currently exist on the roadway. The addition of the center left-turn lane, a raised center median at the north end of the corridor, and right-turn lanes at each intersection will further improve safety. Two northbound acceleration lanes will be added to accommodate heavy trucks exiting gravel quarries. Acceleration lanes will increase safety for all users by decreasing dangerous speed differential within the traffic mix. Opportunities for access management improvements (i.e., consolidation and/or closure) will be considered throughout the design process.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project
\$6,000,000.00
4578.0

| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project | 3810.0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project | 768.0 |
| Cost Effectiveness | \$7,812.50 |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | Synchro_Reports_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail.pdf |
| Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction |  |
| Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet | \$6,000,000.00 |
| Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project | -0.15 |
| Cost Effectiveness | (\$40,000,000.00) |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | Synchro_Reports_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail.pdf |
| Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction |  |
| Project Benefit/Cost Ratio | 0.08 |
| Worksheet Attachment | HSIP_BC_Worksheet_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail.pdf |
| Measure A: Transit Connections |  |
| Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project | N/A |
| Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP) | N/A |
| Upload Map | Map_Transit_Connections_CSAH_21_Stagecoach_Trail.pdf |
| Response |  |
| Met Council Staff Data Entry Only |  |
| Route Ridership | 0 |
| Transitway Ridership | 0 |

## Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

The current facility maintains inadequate shoulder widths (between 0 and 8 feet) for safe nonmotorized use. The project will implement 10 -foot wide shoulders throughout the length of the corridor to create a usable space for non-motorized users.

CSAH 21 provides a direct connection to St. Croix Preparatory Academy, a K-12 charter school that serves over 1,100 students. The school is located immediately west of the large Inspiration residential developments. Both the school property and Inspiration development have existing sidewalks that connect to CSAH 21. These educational and residential land uses generate pedestrian and bicycle traffic requiring safe and usable facilities for non-motorized users that currently do not exist along CSAH 21.

The project corridor is located within the heart of the Washington County 2030 Comprehensive Plans Middle St. Croix Valley Trail Search Area. As such, the project corridor is situated in a location that should anticipate future connections to bicycle and pedestrian facilities. The projects extended shoulder width will provide bicyclists a safer experience in an area of Washington County currently underserved with facilities for nonmotorized users.

The implementation of 10 -foot wide shoulders throughout the length of the corridor will help safely accommodate non-motorized traffic.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

The current facility maintains inadequate shoulder widths (between 0 and 8 feet) for safe nonmotorized use. The project will implement 10 -foot wide shoulders throughout the length of the corridor to create a usable space for non-motorized users. There is no transit service in the project area.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

The existing roadway does not connect to any trails within the Washington County trail system. The lack of bicycle and pedestrian facilities in the area only enhances the need for consistently wide shoulder widths on the corridor. Non-motorized users, and bicyclists in particular, have few other options for safe and convenient north-south travel within the immediate vicinity of CSAH 21.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred Yes
$100 \%$

Stakeholders have been identified
40\%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed
100\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan started
Yes

Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started

## 0\%

Anticipated date or date of completion 06/01/2016
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS
EA
PM
Yes
Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)
$100 \%$

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50\%
Document not started Yes

0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

## 100\%

Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated 80\%

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

04/01/2016

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area

Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4 f resources present within the project area, but no
known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely
$30 \%$
Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
Yes Yes

100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made 75\%

Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified
25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified 0\%

Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed
0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%

Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%
Construction plans in progress; at least 30\% completion
50\%
Construction plans have not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date

11/01/2018
04/01/2018

Yes






CITY OF BAYPORT
294 North Third Street
Bayport, Minnesota 55003
Phone 651-275-4404 FAX 651-275-4411

November 20, 2014
Wayne Sandberg
Washington County Engineer
11660 Myeron Road North
Stillwater Mn 55082

## Support for Reconstruction/Modernization of CSAH 21 (from 22 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street to CSAH 14 in West Lakeland and Baytown Townships.

Dear Mr. Sandberg,
The City of Bayport supports Washington County's application for Federal Surface Transportation Program funds to reconstruct County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 21 from $22^{\text {nd }}$ Street in West Lakeland Township to CSAH 14 in Baytown Township adjacent to the City of Bayport.

Increased traffic and truck volumes along this stretch of roadway is straining the capacity of the existing two lane roadway. Safety concerns are increasing which include pedestrian crossings, and turning movements to and from the local street network. This roadway provides an important regional transportation connection so reconstruction and modernization of this roadway will provide the necessary safety and management improvements for the future.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter. If you have any questions, comments, or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me


City Administrator
City of Bayport


December 1, 2014

Wayne Sandberg
Washington County Engineer
11660 Myeron Road North
Stillwater Mn 55082

## Support for Reconstruction/Modernization of CSAH 21 (from $22^{\text {nd }}$ Street to CSAH 14 in West Lakeland and Baytown Townships.

Dear Mr. Sandberg,
Baytown Township supports Washington County's application for Federal Surface Transportation Program funds to reconstruct County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 21 from $22^{\text {nd }}$ Street in West Lakeland Township to CSAH 14 in Baytown Township adjacent to the City of Bayport

An increased traffic and truck volume along this stretch of roadway is straining the capacity of the existing two lane roadway. Safety concerns are increasing which include pedestrian crossings, and turning movements to and from the local street network. This roadway provides an important regional transportation connection so reconstruction and modernization of this roadway will provide the necessary safety and management improvements for the future.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter. If you have any questions, comments, or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me

Regards,


Kent Grandlienard
Baytown Township Board Chair

Cc: Ann Pung-Terwedo, Senior Planner

# \#est Lakeland thewnship 

November 10, 2014

Wayne Sandberg
Washington County Engineer 11660 Myeron Road North
Stillwater Mn 55082

## Support for Reconstruction/Modernization of CSAH 21 (from 22 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street to CSAH 14 in West Lakeland and Baytown Townships.

Dear Mr. Sandberg,
West Lakeland Township supports Washington County's application for Federal Surface Transportation Program funds to reconstruct County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 21 from 22nd Street in West Lakeland Township to CSAH 14 in Baytown Township adjacent to the City of Bayport.

Increased traffic and truck volumes along this stretch of roadway is straining the capacity of the existing two lane roadway. Safety concerns are increasing which include pedestrian crossings, and fuming movements to and from the local street network. This roadway provides an important regional transportation connection so reconstruction and modernization of this roadway will provide the necessary safety and management improvements for the future.

Thank you for your consideration on this matter. If you have any questions, comments, or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me

Regards,


Township Board Chair

Cc: Ann Pung-Terwedo, Senior Planner

## Roadway Area Definition

Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Csah 21 Stagecoach Trail | Map ID: 1419956643225

## Results

Project Length: 2.627 miles
Project Area: 8.84 sq mi


Project
Project Area

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit Ittp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice..aspx

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Csah 21 Stagecoach Trail | Map ID: 1419956643225 Results

Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Manufacturing and Distribution.

Project NOT CONNECTED to area of Education Institutions.


## Project

Project Area

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Csah 21 Stagecoach Trail | Map ID: 1419956643225

Results
Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.


Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Csah 21 Stagecoach Trail | Map ID: 1419956643225

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: -- NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments


## Project

Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
ntp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 2.481 miles
Project Area: 18.192 sq mi


Project
Project Area

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 21/Stagecoach Trail | Map ID: 1416239407886

Results
Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Manufacturing and Distribution.

Project NOT CONNECTED to area of Education Institutions.


Project
Project Area

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 21/Stagecoach Trail | Map ID: 1416239407886

## Results

Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.


Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty

## Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx
METROPOLITAN

3: 22nd Street N \& Stagecoach Trail N - No Build

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 763 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 6 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.22 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.26 |

## SimTraffic Performance Report Baseline

11/21/2014
3: 22nd Street N \& Stagecoach Trail N - No Build Performance by run number

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total DelVeh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.6 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| HC Emissions $(\mathrm{g})$ | 84 | 77 | 78 | 65 | 65 | 74 |
| C | 3709 | 3454 | 3525 | 3186 | 3424 | 3460 |
| N Emissions (g) | 285 | 268 | 266 | 238 | 240 | 260 |
| Vehiclessions (g) | 770 | 749 | 739 | 755 | 760 | 754 |

Synchro limitations produce conservative results. SimTraffic modeling of 5 scenarios provides a clearer understanding of project benefits.

Analysis from SimTraffic indicates increased peak hour vehicle delay reduction (1,322 seconds to 768 seconds) and improved cost effectiveness (\$4,538.58 to \$7,812.50) produced by the project compared to Synchro analysis. SimTraffic also indicates an increase in emissions reductions ( 0.442 kg to -0.15 kg ) and improved cost effectiveness ( $\$ 13,574,661$ to -\$40,000,000) compared to Synchro).

3: 22nd Street N \& Stagecoach Trail N - Build

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 762 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 5 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.22 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.24 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.28 |

## SimTraffic Performance Report

Build Scenario
11/21/2014
3: 22nd Street N \& Stagecoach Trail N - Build Performance by run number

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Run Number | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Denied Delveh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 80 | 73 | 73 | 61 | 60 | 69 |
| HC Emissions $(\mathrm{g})$ | 3348 | 3056 | 3064 | 2798 | 2845 | 3022 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{g})$ | 289 | 271 | 269 | 238 | 241 | 261 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{g})$ | 770 | 749 | 739 | 755 | 760 | 754 |

Synchro limitations produce conservative results. SimTraffic modeling of 5 scenarios provides a clearer understanding of project benefits.

Analysis from SimTraffic indicates increased peak hour vehicle delay reduction (1,322 seconds to 768 seconds) and improved cost effectiveness ( $\$ 4,538.58$ to $\$ 7,812.50$ ) produced by the project compared to Synchro analysis. SimTraffic also indicates an increase in emissions reductions ( 0.442 kg to -0.15 kg ) and improved cost effectiveness (\$13,574,661 to - $\$ 40,000,000$ ) compared to Synchro).

3: 22nd Street N \& Stagecoach Trail N - No Build

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 763 |
| Total Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 6 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.11 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.22 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.26 |

## SimTraffic Performance Report Baseline

11/21/2014
3: 22nd Street N \& Stagecoach Trail N - No Build Performance by run number

| Run Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Total DelVeh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 4.6 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| HC Emissions $(\mathrm{g})$ | 84 | 77 | 78 | 65 | 65 | 74 |
| C | 3709 | 3454 | 3525 | 3186 | 3424 | 3460 |
| N Emissions (g) | 285 | 268 | 266 | 238 | 240 | 260 |
| Vehiclessions (g) | 770 | 749 | 739 | 755 | 760 | 754 |

Synchro limitations produce conservative results. SimTraffic modeling of 5 scenarios provides a clearer understanding of project benefits.

Analysis from SimTraffic indicates increased peak hour vehicle delay reduction (1,322 seconds to 768 seconds) and improved cost effectiveness (\$4,538.58 to \$7,812.50) produced by the project compared to Synchro analysis. SimTraffic also indicates an increase in emissions reductions ( 0.442 kg to -0.15 kg ) and improved cost effectiveness ( $\$ 13,574,661$ to -\$40,000,000) compared to Synchro).

3: 22nd Street N \& Stagecoach Trail N - Build

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 762 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 5 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.22 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.24 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.28 |

## SimTraffic Performance Report

Build Scenario
11/21/2014
3: 22nd Street N \& Stagecoach Trail N - Build Performance by run number

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Run Number | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Denied Delveh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 80 | 73 | 73 | 61 | 60 | 69 |
| HC Emissions $(\mathrm{g})$ | 3348 | 3056 | 3064 | 2798 | 2845 | 3022 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{g})$ | 289 | 271 | 269 | 238 | 241 | 261 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{g})$ | 770 | 749 | 739 | 755 | 760 | 754 |

Synchro limitations produce conservative results. SimTraffic modeling of 5 scenarios provides a clearer understanding of project benefits.

Analysis from SimTraffic indicates increased peak hour vehicle delay reduction (1,322 seconds to 768 seconds) and improved cost effectiveness ( $\$ 4,538.58$ to $\$ 7,812.50$ ) produced by the project compared to Synchro analysis. SimTraffic also indicates an increase in emissions reductions ( 0.442 kg to -0.15 kg ) and improved cost effectiveness (\$13,574,661 to - $\$ 40,000,000$ ) compared to Synchro).


Amortizing...

year $(n)=1,2,3, \ldots$.
discount rate (i) $=7 \%$
Crash Benefits

$$
\left(\text { (@ year n) }=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{n-1} \quad \text { X } \quad(1+\text { Traffic Growth Factor })\right.
$$

Present Worth Benefits

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { rth Benefits } \\
(@ \text { year })
\end{array}=(\text { Crash Benefits })_{n} \quad \text { X } 1 /(1+\text { Discount Rate })^{\mathrm{n}}\right.
$$

Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 21/Stagecoach Trail | Map ID: 1416239407886

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: -- NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments


## Project Planned Alignments

Project Area $\quad$ Arterial BRT
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

