Application

01968-2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
02187 - Broadway Street NE Reconstruction
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
Status: Submitted
Submitted Date:
12/01/2014 3:54 PM

## Primary Contact



## Organization Information

## Name:

Organization Type:
Organization Website:
Address:

| * | MINNEAPOLIS | Minnesota | 55401 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |  |

County:

Phone:*

Fax:
PeopleSoft Vendor Number
City
http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/
DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS
309 2ND AVE S \#300

Hennepin
612-673-3884
Ext.

0000020971A2

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

Broadway Street NE Reconstruction
Hennepin

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

This project will reconstruct approximately 0.8 miles of Broadway Street NE from Stinson Boulevard to Industrial Boulevard in the City of Minneapolis. This roadway is an A Minor Reliever and an identified Truck Route. The roadway will be restriped from a four-lane roadway to a two-lane roadway with center turn lanes. A major component of this project is the construction of currently missing multimodal elements including adding 0.7 miles of sidewalk and construction of a new bicycle facility along the 0.8 -mile project area. The sidewalk will be added to the north side of Broadway Street NE and the offstreet, multi-use trail will be constructed on the south side of the street. See Project Layout in Figure 1.

The project is located within one of the regions most important industrial areas, with an identified job concentration and manufacturing/distribution center. Broadway Street NE is one of the Citys busiest truck routes with nearly 2,000 heavy commercial vehicles per day. With easy access to two l-35W interchanges and direct access to TH 280 , the projects strategic location make it advantageous for existing distribution centers such as UPS and JJ Taylor Distribution Company (Figure 2). Broadway Street NE is an A Minor Reliever roadway that provides traffic relief to I35 W . As a reliever roadway, it is important for the Broadway Street NE corridor to function efficiently for all vehicles, including heavy commercial trucks. The proposed three-lane roadway design would better accommodate trucks turning into industrial facilities along Broadway Street NE and will reduce wait times and improve safety (1.91 B-C Safety Rating) for through-traffic.

The project area is identified in the Minneapolis Pedestrian Master Plan (October 2009) as an area
of low pedestrian network connectivity due to its lack of sidewalks and large block sizes. The existing sidewalk gaps make it difficult for users to walk to and from transit stops to job locations in the area. In fact, the City has received complaints from people about that lack of sidewalks and safe pedestrian facilities in the project area. There are three bus routes that connect to the project area, including Bus Route 30, a high frequency route with seven stops in the project area. The proposed sidewalk additions will improve walkability for all pedestrians, including transit users.

The project is located less that one mile from The Quarry retail area, which is an important local activity center for the northeastern neighborhoods of Minneapolis. Many local residents to the south use Broadway Street NE to access The Quarry. The project is also located within census tracts that are above the regional average of populations of color/poverty and adjacent to a concentrated area of poverty to the north.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles)
0.79

Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

Connection to Local Planning

## Project Funding

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement
this project?

No

If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount

Specific Roadway Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES

Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$200,000.00
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$335,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) \$55,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving) \$1,295,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck) \$0.00
Storm Sewer \$65,000.00
Ponds \$0.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) \$205,000.00
Traffic Control \$80,000.00
Striping \$25,000.00
Signing \$25,000.00
Lighting \$0.00
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping } & \$ 50,000.00\end{array}$
Bridge \$0.00
Retaining Walls \$0.00
Noise Wall \$0.00
Traffic Signals \$500,000.00
Wetland Mitigation \$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection \$0.00
RR Crossing \$0.00
Roadway Contingencies \$200,000.00
Other Roadway Elements \$130,000.00
Totals $\$ 3,165,000.00$

## Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST
ESTIMATES Cost
$\$ 85,000.00$
Path/Trail Construction
\$125,000.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction \$0.00
Right-of-Way
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)
\$20,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... \$615,000.00
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... \$7,000.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... \$65,000.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$917,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

Total Cost
Construction Cost Total
Transit Operating Cost Total
\$4,082,000.00
\$4,082,000.00
$\$ 0.00$

## Requirements - All Projects

All Projects
1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between $\$ 250,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only
1.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Projects Only

3.The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only
11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8680 Figure 1_Broadway.pdf | Figure 1-1 to 1-3 - Project Layout | 1.6 MB |
| Figure2_Broadway_Improvements.pdf | Figure 2 - Proposed Improvements | 1.4 MB |
| RdwayAreaDef.pdf | Roadway Area Definition | 702 KB |
| Regional Solicitation Application Letter <br> 2014.pdf | Letter of commitment of local match <br> funds. | 404 KB |
| RegionalEcon.pdf | Regional Economy | 2.0 MB |
| SocioEcon.pdf | Socio Economic | 2.0 MB |
| TransitCon.pdf | Transit Connections | 2.0 MB |

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

| Facility being relieved | I-35W |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report) | 3.0 |

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour
12:00am-1:00am
1:00am-2:00am
2:00am-3:00am
3:00am-4:00am
4:00am-5:00am
5:00am-6:00am
6:00am-7:00am
7:00am- 8:00am
8:00am-9:00am
9:00am-10:00am
10:00am - 11:00am

Capacity $\begin{aligned} & \text { Volume exceeds } \\ & \text { capacity }\end{aligned}$

```
11:00am-12:00pm
12:00pm - 1:00pm
1:00pm-2:00pm
2:00pm-3:00pm
3:00pm-4:00pm
4:00pm - 5:00pm
5:00pm-6:00pm
6:00pm-7:00pm
7:00pm-8:00pm
8:00pm-9:00pm
9:00pm - 10:00pm
10:00pm - 11:00pm
11:00pm - 12:00am
```


## Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:

| Area | 0.522 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Length | 0.787 |
| Average Distance | 0.6633 |
| Upload Map | RdwyAreaDef.pdf |

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location

Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume

Broadway Street NE from Taft Street NE to Industrial Boulevard
1957.0

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply

Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration
Yes

Yes
Manufacturing/Distribution Location
Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution
Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or city plan

| County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; <br> approximately 100 words) | See Regional Economy map. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Upload Map | RgnIEconomy.pdf |
|  |  |
| Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput |  |
| Location | Broadway Street NE at Hoover Street |
| Current AADT Volume | 13600.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | 30 |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership | 209.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Daily Person Throughput | 17889.0 |

## Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume ..... Yes
METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume ..... 11000.0
OR
Approved county or city travel demand model to determineforecast (2030) ADT volumeNo
Forecast (2030) ADT volume ..... 0
Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations
Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average forpopulation in poverty or population of colorYesProject located in a census tract that is below the regionalaverage for population in poverty or populations of color or Yesincludes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Upload Map

The project is located within census tracts that are above the regional average of populations of color/poverty and adjacent to a concentrated area of poverty. Improving access to jobs is especially critical for these populations. The project will improve access to a key job concentration center (see Regional Economy map) that supports many blue-collar manufacturing/distribution jobs, such as UPS, JJ Taylor, and several truck facilities.

Filling sidewalk gaps and adding trail facilities will provide better multi-modal access for people who work in the project area and may live the adjacent Concentrated Areas of Poverty to the north. A bus route runs on Broadway Street NE; however, the existing sidewalk gaps make it difficult for users to access transit stops in the project area. For lowincome households without an automobile and people who do not drive (i.e., children, elderly, people with disabilities), transit is an essential public service that connects people to opportunities such as jobs, education, and retail. The project is located less that one mile from The Quarry shopping area, which is an important local activity center for the northeastern neighborhoods of Minneapolis.

The project will improve safety and comfort for people with disabilities by filling sidewalk gaps and improving sidewalks. The project will improve all pedestrian crossings to be ADA compliant.

SocioEconomic.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township
Segment Length (Miles)
City of Minneapolis

## Total Project Length

Total Project Length

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| City/Township | Segment <br> Length (Miles) | Total Length <br> (Miles) | Score | Segment <br> Length/Total <br> Length | Housing Score <br> Multiplied by <br> Segment <br> percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of <br> Minneapolis | 0.79 | 0.79 | 97.0 | 1.0 | 97.0 |
|  |  | 1 | 97 | 1 | 97 |

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| Total Project Length (Miles) | 0.79 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 97.0 |

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original

| Roadway Construction <br> or Most Recent <br> Reconstruction | Roadway Segment <br> Length (Miles) | Calculation | Calculation 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1982.0 | 0.13 | 257.66 | 326.152 |
| 1964.0 | 0.66 | 1296.24 | 1640.81 |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 4}$ | 1967 |

## Average Construction Year

Weighted Year
1966.962

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

The project will reconstruct approximately 0.8 miles of Broadway Street NE from Stinson Boulevard to Industrial Boulevard. This will improve pavement strength to handle the nearly 2,000 heavy commercial vehicles that use this designated truck route daily.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Many sidewalks in the project area do not meet the guidelines set forth in the City of Minneapoliss Street and Sidewalk Design Guidelines. The project will widen and improve existing sidewalks within the project area. The current sidewalks and crosswalks are not in compliance with Americans with Disabilities standards. The project will improve all pedestrian crossings to be ADA compliant.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project
Cost Effectiveness
Synchro or HCM Reports
\$4,082,000.00
45237.0
53220.0
-7983
(\$511.34)
Broadway- Stinson HCM Reports.pdf

## Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project

Cost Effectiveness

Synchro or HCM Reports
\$4,082,000.00
-0.15
(\$27,213,333.33)
Broadway- Stinson HCM Reports.pdf

## Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

Project Benefit/Cost Ratio
Worksheet Attachment

Broadway Analysis.pdf

## Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project
25, 30, 61
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)

Upload Map
Transit.pdf

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership
1093192.0

Transitway Ridership
0

Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

> The proposed project will include an off-street, multi-use trail facility on the south side of Broadway Street NE that will connect to the Minneapolis Diagonal trail at the projects western boundary, Stinson Boulevard. The Minneapolis Diagonal Trail goes north underneath I-35W and connects to the Grand Rounds Trail. It also provides access to The Quarry commercial development and residential and park areas on the north side of I-35W. The Quarry is zoned as a Community Shopping Center District in the City of Minneapolis zoning plan.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
A similar project on Industrial Boulevard is identified in the City of Minneapolis Capital Improvement Projects, Proposed: 2015-2019 Capital Plan (May 15, 2014). The project will provide traffic-related improvements and fill sidewalk gaps along Industrial Boulevard from Broadway Street NE to I35W. The existing sidewalk gaps make it difficult for users to walk to and from transit stops to job locations in this industrial area. The proposed sidewalk along the north side of Broadway Street NE will connect to these planned sidewalk improvements at Industrial Boulevard, creating a continuous and consistent pedestrian environment in the area. Construction of the Industrial Boulevard project is planned for 2017.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

There is currently 0.1 miles of sidewalk on the north side Broadway Street NE and no bicycle facilities. This existing sidewalk will be widened as part of the project and extended 0.7 miles east to fill sidewalk gaps in the project area. The project will also include an off-street, multi-use trail facility on the south side of Broadway Street NE. Providing safe and secure pedestrian and bicycle facilities along Broadway Street NE may encourage people to walk or bike from residential areas on the north side of I35 W to their jobs in this industrial job center.

Bus Route 30 is a high frequency route that runs along Broadway Street NE. Route 25 runs along Stinson Boulevard and Route 61 runs along Industrial Boulevard. The area is identified in the Minneapolis Pedestrian Master Plan as an area of low pedestrian network connectivity due to its lack of sidewalks and large block sizes. The existing sidewalk gaps make it difficult for users to walk to and from bus stops in the project area to job locations in this industrial area. The proposed project will provide safe, pedestrian-friendly facilities for all transit users in the area.

The project will also benefit vehicles and trucks that use Broadway Street NE by providing a three-lane roadway that better accommodates trucks turning into industrial facilities and reduces wait time and improves safety for through-traffic.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred
100\%
Stakeholders have been identified Yes
40\%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed
$100 \%$
Layout or Preliminary Plan started Yes
50\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
10/01/2016
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS
EA
PM
Yes
Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50\%
Document not started
Yes
$0 \%$
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not Yes located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no
historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; 6f is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area
100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4 f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely
$30 \%$
Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
Yes

100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified
25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified
0\%
Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed

## 0\%

Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
Yes
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun
40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%
Construction plans in progress; at least 30\% completion 50\%

Construction plans have not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date
$100 \%$

Yes

12/01/2017

04/01/2018
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Proposed Improvements
Broadway Street NE Regional Solicitation Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
Figure 2
City of Minneapolis, MN

## Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 0.787 miles
Project Area: 0.522 sq mi


Project
Project Area

Principal Arterials
Principal Arterials Planned
A Minor Arterials -- A Minor Arterials Planned


Minneapolis
City of Lakes
Department of Public Works

Steven A Kotke, P.E. City Engineer Director

350 South 5th Street - Room 203 Minneapolis MN 55415

Office 612 673-3000
Fax 612 673.3565
TTY 612 673-2157

December 1, 2014

Ms. Elaine Koutsoukos
Metropolitan Council
390 North Robert Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

## RE: 2014 Regional Solicitation Applications

Dear Ms. Koutsoukos,
The City of Minneapolis Department of Public Works is submitting a series of applications for the 2014 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds. The applications and the required matching funds have been authorized by the Minneapolis City Council as described in the Official Proceedings of the Council meeting of November 14, 2014. The relevant action is excerpted below:

The TRANSPORTATION \& PUBLIC WORKS and WAYS \& MEANS Committees submitted the following reports:
T\&PW \& W\&M - Your Committee, having under consideration the 2014 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds, now recommends:
a) That the proper City officers be authorized to submit a series of applications for federal transportation funds through the Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation Program, as set forth in Petn. No.
277734; and
b) That the proper City officers be authorized to commit local funds per federal requirement to support the approved projects.
On roll call, the result was:
Ayes: Reich, Frey, Gordon, Yang, Warsame, Goodman, Cano, Bender, Quincy, A. Johnson, Palmisano, President Johnson (12)
Noes: (0)
Absent: Glidden (1)
The report was adopted.
The specific applications are described in the attached "Request for City Council Committee Action."

Thank you for the opportunity to submit these applications.


Steven A. Kotke, P.E.
City Engineer, Director of Public Works

# Request for City Council Committee Action from the Department of Public Works 

## Date: November 10, 2014

To: Honorable Kevin Reich, Chair Transportation \& Public Works Committee
Referral to: Honorable John Quincy, Chair Ways and Means/Budget Committee

Subject: City of Minneapolis Submission for 2014 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds

## Recommendation:

A. Authorize proper city officers to submit a series of applications for federal transportation funds through the Metropolitan Council's Regional Solicitation Program.
B. Authorize proper city officers to commit local funds per federal requirement to support the approved projects.

Previous Directives:

- None


## Department I nformation:

Prepared by: Steven Hay, P.E., Transportation Planner, Transp. Planning \& Programming, 673-3884
Don Elwood, P.E., Director, Transportation Planning \& Engineering, 673-3622

Approved by: $\qquad$
Steven A. Kotke, P.E., Director of Public Works
Presenter in Committee: Steven Hay, P.E., Transportation Planner, Transportation Planning \& Programming

## Reviews

Permanent Review Committee (PRC): Approval N/A

Civil Rights Approval
Policy Review Group (PRG):

## Financial Impact

Action is within the Business Plan

## Community I mpact

Living Well: Minneapolis is safe and livable and has an active and connected way of life. Great Places: Natural and built spaces work together and our environment is protected. A City that Works: City government runs well and connects to the community it serves.

## Supporting I nformation

The City will prepare a series of applications for the 2014 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds in response to the current Metropolitan Council solicitation. Below is a summary of the eligible project areas along with a brief description of eligible city projects. Each submission will require a minimum local match for construction in addition to the costs for design, engineering, administration and any additional construction costs to fully fund the project. The available funding is for construction in 2018 and 2019.

The Regional Solicitation for federal transportation project funding is part of the Metropolitan Council's federally-required continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative transportation planning process for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The funding program and related rules and requirements are established by the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) and administered locally through collaboration with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT).

The following list of projects will be submitted in each program area.

| Project Name | Program | Requested <br> Amount | Minimum <br> Local Match <br> Required |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Street South | Roadways | $\$ 7,000,000$ | $\$ 1,750,000$ |
| Broadway Street NE | Roadways | $\$ 7,000,000$ | $\$ 1,750,000$ |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE Bridge Rehabilitation | Roadways | $\$ 7,000,000$ | $\$ 1,750,000$ |
| $40^{\text {th }}$ Street Bicycle \& Pedestrian <br> Bridge over I-35@ | Bicycle \& Pedestrian <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,600,000$ | $\$ 400,000$ |
| U of M Protected Bikeways | Bicycle \& Pedestrian <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
| High Quality Connection between <br> Orange Line Transit Station at Lake <br> Street and the Midtown Greenway | Bicycle \& Pedestrian <br> Facilities | $\$ 2,880,000$ | $\$ 720,000$ |
| North Loop Pedestrian <br> Improvements | Bicycle \& Pedestrian <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Emerson \& Fremont Avenues North <br> Pedestrian Improvements | Bicycle \& Pedestrian <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
| High School Transit Connections | Bicycle \& Pedestrian <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Totals | $\$ 29,480,000$ | $\$ 7,370,000$ |  |

## Regional Solicitation Programs

Recently, the Metropolitan Council and the Transportation Advisory Board (TAB) carried out an extensive evaluation and redesign of the Regional Solicitation. Projects will now be submitted and evaluated based on mode rather than federal funding program (i.e., STP, CMAQ, and TAP). The application process has been streamlined and the modal approach provides TAB with more flexibility to match federal funding to the highest performing projects that are submitted.

Applications are now grouped into three primary modal evaluation categories with each category including several sub-categories as detailed below:

1. Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

- Roadway Expansion
- Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
- Roadway System Management
- Bridges

2. Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

- Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
- Pedestrian Facilities
- Safe Routes to School Infrastructure

3. Transit and Travel Demand Management (TDM) Projects

- Transit Expansion
- Travel Demand Management
- Transit System Modernization

The City will submit 9 funding applications in the following program categories:

1. Roadways including Multimodal Elements

Roadway Reconstruction

- 8th Street S (Hennepin to Chicago)
- Broadway Street NE (Stinson to Industrial Boulevard) Bridges
- 10th Avenue SE Bridge Rehabilitation

2. Bicycle \& Pedestrian Facilities

Multiuse Trails \& Bicycle Facilities

- 40th Street Pedestrian \& Bicycle Bridge over I-35W
- U of M Protected Bikeways ( $19^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE/ $15^{\text {th }}$ Ave SE - Riverside Ave to NE Diagonal)
- High Quality Connection between Orange Line Transit Station at Lake Street and the Midtown Greenway
Pedestrian Facilities
- North Loop Pedestrian Improvements
- Emerson \& Fremont Avenues North

Safe Routes to School Infrastructure

- High School Transit Connections

Details of the 9 proposed projects are described below.

## Roadways including Multimodal Elements

8th Street South
This project will reconstruct 0.72 miles of 8th Street in downtown from Hennepin Avenue to Chicago Avenue. The project will consist of complete removal and replacement of the pavement, curb and gutter, and driveways. The project will also include landscaping, pedestrian level street lighting, and upgraded signals where warranted. Sidewalks may also be replaced and widened, particularly at bus stop locations.

## Broadway Street NE

This project will reconstruct approximately 0.8 miles of Broadway Street NE from Stinson Boulevard to Industrial Boulevard. A major component of this project is the construction of multimodal elements including the filling of sidewalk gaps and the construction of some type of bicycle facility. The bicycle facility could be on-street bike lanes or an off-street multiuse trail.
$10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE Bridge Rehabilitation
This project proposes to rehabilitate the reinforced concrete $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Bridge over the Mississippi River. This will address the ongoing deterioration of concrete areas on the bridge's spandrel columns, floor beams, arches, and deck. The total construction cost for the bridge rehabilitation is approximately $\$ 13$ Million to $\$ 28$ Million, depending on specific elements of the project. A previous federal allocation of $\$ 3.3$ Million must be turned back in order to be eligible to apply for funds through this Regional Solicitation.

## Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

$40^{\text {th }}$ Street Pedestrian Bridge Over 35W
This project is the renovation of the $40^{\text {th }}$ Street Pedestrian Bridge over 35 W to include trail widening, structural improvements, and aesthetic enhancements. This project is part of the RiverLake Greenway Corridor from the Chain of Lakes to the Mississippi River. The bridge is functionally obsolete and marginally serves its current purpose. As a primary bicycle artery for Minneapolis, the bridge should meet current geometric standards for a shared-use facility to safely convey pedestrians and bicyclists over I-35W. The proposed project would widen the deck of the bridge to accommodate bicycle users, raise the bridge, and improve its aesthetics.
$\underline{U}$ of M Protected Bikeways
Protected bikeways would be installed on $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE from Riverside Avenue, across the $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Bridge to University Avenue, and on $15^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE from University Avenue to Como Avenue, then continuing north to the NE Diagonal Trail, the exact alignment north of Como Avenue is still to be determined.

High Quality Connection between Orange Line Transit Station at Lake Street and the Midtown Greenway
This is one of the key project elements of the Transit Access Project at 35W and Lake Street. This will be an important connection linking transit users at the proposed Bus Rapid Transit station to the Midtown Greenway, which today is an important east-west pedestrian and bicycle facility and in the future will contain additional fixed rail transit service. The connection will accommodate both pedestrians and bicyclists, with enhancements in the form of public art, landscaping and place-making.

## North Loop Pedestrian Improvements

This project would include the implementation of a variety of pedestrian-related improvements to the North Loop Neighborhood. These improvements would likely include signal upgrades, ADA-compliant curb ramps, enhanced crosswalks, pedestrian level street lighting, and landscaping.

## Emerson and Freemont Avenues North

Enhancements to the pedestrian realm would be implemented on Emerson Avenue North from Plymouth Avenue to $33^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue North and on Freemont Avenue North from Plymouth Avenue to $44^{\text {th }}$ Avenue North. These improvements would likely include pedestrian bumpouts at select locations, ADA-compliant curb ramps, signal enhancements, improved crosswalks, and landscaping. These improvements will be coordinated with the development and implementation of Metro Transit's Arterial BRT D-Line.

## High School Transit Connections

This project will prioritize pedestrian safety improvements near high schools, focusing on access to nearby transit stops. Minneapolis high school students currently receive free or discounted Go-To Cards in lieu of yellow school bus service, making these transit connections vital. High schools are only recently eligible for federal Safe Routes funding, while they represent a large proportion of student walkers and bikers in the city.

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Broadway St NE I Map ID: 1419953139691
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## 8: Stinson Pkwy \& Broadway St

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2661 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 17 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 3.14 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.61 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.73 |

## 8: Stinson Pkwy \& Broadway St

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2661 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 20 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 3.25 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.63 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.75 |

## 8: Stinson Pkwy \& Broadway St

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2661 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 17 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 3.14 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.61 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.73 |

## 8: Stinson Pkwy \& Broadway St

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2661 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 20 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 3.25 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.63 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.75 |



Broadway Street (CSAH 66) from Stinson Blvd to Industrial Blvd (2011-2013 )- created on 11-17-2014 by rile1che
Crash data is managed by the MnIDOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

| SYS | NUM | REF_POINT | GIS_ROUTE | GIS_TM | RD_DIR | elem | ReLy | INV | R_U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.042 | 0525850333 | 0.042 | z |  | 1 | 3 | U |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.128 | 0525850333 | 0.128 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.129 | 0525850333 | 0.129 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.260 | 0525850333 | 0.260 | z |  | 2 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.263 | 0525850333 | 0.263 | z |  | 2 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.456 | 0525850333 | 0.456 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.458 | 0525850333 | 0.458 | E |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.458 | 0525850333 | 0.458 | E |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.458 | 0525850333 | 0.458 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.459 | 0525850333 | 0.459 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.460 | 0525850333 | 0.460 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.460 | 0525850333 | 0.460 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000027 | 000+00.500 | 0427000027 | 0.500 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 27000027 | 000+00.500 | 0427000027 | 0.500 | z | - | 1 | 3 | $\forall$ |
| 04 | 27000027 | 000+00.500 | 0427000027 | 0.500 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850334 | 000+00.639 | 0525850334 | 0.639 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850334 | 000+00.640 | 0525850334 | 0.640 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 05 | 25850334 | 000+00.640 | 0525850334 | 0.640 | z |  | 1 | 0 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850334 | 000+00.640 | 0525850334 | 0.640 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850334 | 000+00.640 | 0525850334 | 0.640 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850334 | 000+00.640 | 0525850334 | 0.640 | z | - | z | $\theta$ | $\forall$ |
| 05 | 25850334 | 000+00.641 | 0525850334 | 0.641 | s | - | 1 | 3 | $\forall$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.670 | 0525850333 | 0.670 | z |  | 1 | 0 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.670 | 0525850333 | 0.670 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.670 | 0525850333 | 0.670 | z |  | 1 | 0 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.671 | 0525850333 | 0.671 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.712 | 0525850333 | 0.712 | z |  | 2 | 0 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.752 | 0525850333 | 0.752 | N |  | 2 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.785 | 0525850333 | 0.785 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.785 | 0525850333 | 0.785 | z |  | 1 | 0 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.785 | 0525850333 | 0.785 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.785 | 0525850333 | 0.785 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.800 | 0525850333 | 0.800 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 05 | 25850333 | 000+00.800 | 0525850333 | 0.800 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 8427000066 | 6.620 | $z$ | - | 1 | 3 | $\forall$ |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z | - | 1 | 3 | $\forall$ |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 0 | $u$ |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | $u$ |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 0 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.620 | 0427000066 | 6.620 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.622 | 0427000066 | 6.622 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.623 | 0427000066 | 6.623 | z |  | 1 | 3 | u |
| 04 | 27000066 | 006+00.648 | 0427000066 | 6.648 | z |  | 2 | 3 | u |

SQUAD RESPONDED TO A PI AT ABOVE ADDRESS. VICTIM WAS S/B EXITING BOYER FORD PARKING LOT. W/B RIGHT UNIT 1 SAID UNIT 2 WAS YELLING AT HIM, RAMMED HIM AND TOOK OFF. UNIT 2 SAID UNIT1, WIT WHO WAS IN UNIT 3 WAITING TO MAKE A LEFT TURN WITH UNIT 2 STOPPED BEHIND UNIT 3. UNIT 1 STRUCK THE REAR OF UNI VEH 1-3 WERE TRAVELING WB ON BROADWAY ST NE. VEH 3 STOPPED FOR EB TRAFFIC TO MAKE A SB TURN ON TO WITNESS SAW THE LISTED SUSPECT SEMI-TRUCK DRIVING W/B ON BROADWAY, TURN N/B INTO PARKING LOT AT ABO BOTH VEHS WERE EAST ON BROADWAY. VEH 2 SLOWED IN TRAFFIC DUE TO A RED LIGHT AND OTHER TRAFFIC. V THE SEMAPHORE WAS CYCLING FROM GREEN TO BLANK TO RED ADN THEN BACK TO GREEN. THE MPLS TRAFFIC VE3HICLE \#1 EB BROADWAY DROVE AROUND TWO OTHER VEHICLES AND INTO ONCOMING EASTBOUND TRAFFIC AND STR UNIT 2, MN 315GRL, STOPPED AT RED LIGHT ON EB BROADWAY AT HOOVER, IN RIGHT HAND LANE. UNIT 1, UNKNO UNIT 2 WAS WEST BOUND, STOPPED AT RED LIGHT. UNIT 1 WAS W/B IN RIGHT LANE. LOST CONTROL AND SPUN OU VEH 1 AND 2 both tarveling wb on broadway st ne approaching intersection at hoover st ne. Veh \#2 W ROAD CONDITIONS WERE VERY POOR DUE TO A BAD SNOW/SLIT STORM. UNIT 1 AND UNIT 2 WERE BOTH WB ON BROA DRIVE OF VEHICLE \#1 GOING WB ON BROADWAY ST NE. DRIVER OF VEHICLE \#2 EB ON BROADWAY TURNING NB ON S VEHICLE 2 WAS STOPPED AT THE TRAFFIC HGHT AT STINSON BLVD NE AND BROADWAY ST NE WATTING FOR AN ONG I SKIDDED DOWN HILL INTO V2 (METRO TRANSIT BUS) WHICH WAS STOPPED AT THE BUS STOP LOADING/UNLOADIN NIT 1 WAS TRAVELING SOUTHBOUND ON INDUSTRIAL BLVD. THE DRIVER ADMITTED TO RUNNING THE RED LIGHTA UNIT 1, A ST ANTHONY PD SQUAD, IS RESPONDING CODE 3 NB ON INDUSTRA BIVD. UNIT 2 EB ON BROADWAY

ON 07-28-2011 AT APPROX 1658 HOURS OFFICERS RESPONDED TO LISTED LOCATION ON A POSSIBLE PI. UPON OFF UNIT 1 WAS TRAVELIING EAST ON BROADWAY ST NE ENTERING THE INTERSECTION AT INDUSTRIAL BLVD. UNIT 2

THE DRIVER OF VEHCLE \#1 EXPERIENCED A MEDICAL LSSUE WHWE DRIVING AND REARENDED VEHCIE \#Z. DRIVER
UNIT 1 WAS CROSSING THE INTERSECTION NB ON GODWARD ST NE. UNIT 2 WAS TRAVELING WB on Broadway St N Veh 1 Was traveling wb on broadway st ne making a left turn onto godward st ne when he was struck

VEH1 WAS EAST ON BROADWAY AND ATTEMPTING TO MAKE A LEFT TURN INTO A PRIVATE DRIVEWAY, VEH2 WAS WEST ABOVE VEHICLE ONE WAS TURNING SB ONTO INDUSTRIAL BLVD NE FROM WB BROADWAY ST NE AND STRUCK VEHICLE

UNIT 1 COMING EB ON BROADWAY ST. UNIT 2 COMING WB ON BROADWAY ST ATTEMPTING A LEFT TURN FAILING TO VEHICLE 2 WAS TRAVELING Eb ON BROADWAY ST NE IN THE SOUTH LANE IN MIDDLE OF THE INTERSECTION WITH I VEH \#2 WB ON BROADWAY ST NE WITH GREEN TRAFFIC LIGHT, TURNING LEFT (SB) ONTO INDUSTRIAL BLVD. DRIVE THE VEHICLE BEHIND UNIT \# 2 IS THE LISTED WITNESS. THE WITNESS SAID THAT HE AND UNIT \# 2 WERE BOTH VEHCLE \#1 WAS TRAVELING SB ON STINSON BLVD NE AND MAKING A LEFT TURN FROM THE LEET TURN LANE ONTO

DRIVER OF VEHICLE \#1 WAS SB ON STINSON BLVD NE AND COLLIDED IN THE INTERSECTION WITH VEHICLE \#2, WH VEHICLE 1 WAS SB ON STINSON BL AND STRUCK VEHICLE 2 AS it WAS Wb on broadway St Ne. SEe Offense ref AbOVE VEHICLE ONE WAS TRAVELING NB ON STINSON BLVD NE IN FAR RIGHT LANE. ACCORDING TO BOTH WITNES VEHICLE 1 EASTBOUND BROADWAY IN RT LANE VEHICLE 2 NORTHBOUND ON STNSON IN LEFT LAND. WITNESSES SA UNIT 2 ATTEMPTING A LEFT TURN FRON WB BROADWAY TO GO SB ON STINSON BLVD, DID NOT SEE UNIT 1 COMING VEH1 WAS TRAVELLING WESTBOUND ON BROADWAY ST NE AT STINSON BLV. NE. VEH1 DID NOT SEE PERSON ON BIK UNIT 2 STOPPED AT RED LIGHT WAS STRUCK IN THE REAR BY UNIT 1.
UNIT \# 2 WAS E/B ON broadway ST Ne entering the intersection of stinson blvd ne on a green light.
UNIT 1 IS A CITY OF MPLS SAND TRUCK WHICH WAS WB ON BROADWAY ST NE ATTEMPTING TO MAKE A U-TURN WHEN both vehicles were east bound on broadway st ne approaching stinson blvd. vehicle \#1 was in the le UNIT \# 2 WAS STOPPED FOR A RED LIGHT ON BROADWAY ST NE AT STINSON BLVD NE. UNIT \# 1 WAS TRAVELIING VEH \#2 WAS TRAVELING WB ON BROADWAY ST NE ON THE INSIDE LANE, VEH \#1 WAS ALSO WB ON BROADWAY ST NE

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PERSON1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dow | MONTH | DAY | year | time | sev | NUM_KILEED | NUM_VEH | Junc | st | TYPE | diag | LOC1 | TCD | LIt | WTHR1 | WTHR2 | SURF | CHAR | DESGN | ACC_NUM | vTYPE |
| 2-Mon | 5 | 20 | 2013 | 1607 | c | 0 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 131400120 | 1 |
| 1-Sun | 5 | 19 | 2013 | 1210 | N | 0 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 98 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 131390004 | 1 |
| 5-Thu | 10 | 31 | 2013 | 0745 | N | 0 | 3 | 2 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 133040032 | 1 |
| 4 -Wed | 8 | 7 | 2013 | 1340 | c | 0 | 3 | 7 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 132190128 | 1 |
| 5-Thu | 5 | 10 | 2012 | 1009 | A | 0 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 121310069 | 35 |
| 1-Sun | 7 | 22 | 2012 | 1300 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 122040065 | 1 |
| 5-Thu | 12 | 15 | 2011 | 0824 | B | 0 | 2 | 7 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 113490097 | 2 |
| 4-Wed | 3 | 6 | 2013 | 1215 | N | 0 | 2 | 7 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 130650134 | 4 |
| 7-Sat | 11 | 19 | 2011 | 1800 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 113230328 | 1 |
| 6-Fri | 4 | 12 | 2013 | 0745 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 131020053 | 34 |
| 3 -Tue | 3 | 13 | 2012 | 0613 | в | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 120730020 | 1 |
| 5-Thu | 4 | 18 | 2013 | 1530 | c | 0 | 2 | 4 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 131100056 | 2 |
| 2-Mon | 12 | 10 | 2012 | 1455 | c | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 123450251 | 1 |
| z-Mon | 4 | 11 | 2011 | 1732 | $\epsilon$ | $\theta$ | z | 4 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 111010139 | 1 |
| 2-Mon | 12 | 9 | 2013 | 1354 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 133430245 | 1 |
| 5-Thu | 4 | 26 | 2012 | 2210 | c | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 121180016 | 2 |
| 4 -Wed | 2 | 1 | 2012 | 1902 | c | 0 | 3 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 120330002 | 1 |
| 4 -Wed | 12 | 11 | 2013 | 1010 | N | 0 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 140140147 | 1 |
| 5 -Thu | 7 | 28 | 2011 | 1648 | N | 0 | 2 | 7 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 112090201 | 4 |
| 4 -Wed | 11 | 2 | 2011 | 1340 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 113060129 | 1 |
| 4 Wed | 7 | 18 | 2012 | 0422 | n | $\theta$ | z | $\theta$ | 30 | 1 | z | $\theta$ | 98 | 4 | z | $\theta$ | 1 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 122360046 | z |
| 6 Fri | 4 | 1 | 2011 | 0755 | B | $\theta$ | z | 7 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | z | $\theta$ | z | 1 | 5 | 110910208 | 35 |
| 3 -Tue | 3 | 19 | 2013 | 2130 | N | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 131130105 | 3 |
| 3 -Tue | 11 | 15 | 2011 | 2140 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 113190275 | 1 |
| 3 -Tue | 11 | 13 | 2012 | 1155 | c | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 123520051 | 1 |
| 3 -Tue | 6 | 18 | 2013 | 1640 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 131690155 | 1 |
| 6 -Fri | 8 | 24 | 2012 | 1330 | N | 0 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 98 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 122700112 | 1 |
| 5-Thu | 3 | 22 | 2012 | 1516 | N | 0 | 2 | 2 | 30 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 120820080 | 35 |
| 3 -Tue | 12 | 13 | 2011 | 0721 | c | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 113470030 | 1 |
| 3 -Tue | 10 | 1 | 2013 | 0815 | N | 0 | 2 | 0 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 133090067 | 1 |
| 2-Mon | 11 | 25 | 2013 | 1211 | c | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 133290093 | 3 |
| 2-Mon | 7 | 1 | 2013 | 0829 | в | 0 | 2 | 4 | 40 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 131820052 | 4 |
| 3 -Tue | 12 | 31 | 2013 | 0930 | N | 0 | 2 | 7 | 30 | 1 | 90 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 133650188 | 35 |
| 4 -Wed | 10 | 12 | 2011 | 1027 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 45 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 112850178 | 1 |
| 6 Fri | 5 | $z 0$ | 2011 | 1046 | $\epsilon$ | $\theta$ | z | 7 | 30 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | $\theta$ | z | 1 | 3 | 111400095 | 1 |
| 3-Tue | 7 | 16 | 2013 | 0047 | $\epsilon$ | $\theta$ | $z$ | 4 | 30 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | $\theta$ | 1 | 1 | 5 | 131970004 | 1 |
| 2-Mon | 1 | 10 | 2011 | 0510 | N | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 90 | 0 | 98 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 110450076 | 1 |
| 6-Fri | 4 | 19 | 2013 | 0250 | N | 0 | 2 | 7 | 30 | 1 | 90 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 131090051 | 3 |
| 7 -Sat | 7 | 30 | 2011 | 1810 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 112110148 | 1 |
| 7 -Sat | 5 | 19 | 2012 | 0928 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 121400035 | 1 |
| 4-Wed | 11 | 14 | 2012 | 0935 | c | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 123190079 | 1 |
| 3 -Tue | 10 | 29 | 2013 | 0735 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 133020032 | 1 |
| 5-Thu | 10 | 11 | 2012 | 0810 | c | 0 | 1 | 4 | 30 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 122850029 | 1 |
| 7-Sat | 7 | 13 | 2013 | 1029 | c | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 131940056 | 2 |
| 6-Fri | 2 | 4 | 2011 | 0930 | c | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 110350215 | 2 |
| 2-Mon | 2 | 28 | 2011 | 0450 | N | 0 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 110910191 | 3 |
| 7-Sat | 12 | 29 | 2012 | 0020 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 123640006 | 31 |
| 5-Thu | 1 | 27 | 2011 | 0614 | N | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 110270110 | 1 |
| 3 -Tue | 9 | 13 | 2011 | 1521 | N | 0 | 2 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 112570068 | 1 |
| 6 -Fri | 1 | 21 | 2011 | 1430 | N | 0 | 2 | 1 | 30 | 1 | 90 | 1 | 98 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 110210355 | 1 |


| DIR | ACT | FAC1 | FAC2 | POSN | inj | EQP | PHYS | AGE | SEX | PERSON2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PERSON3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | VTYPE | DIR | ACT | FAC1 | FAC2 | POSN | INJ | EQP | PHYS | AGE | SEX | VTYPE | DIR | ACT | FAC1 |
| 7 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 54 | F | 1 | 5 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 23 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 1 | N | 99 | 1 | 21 | M | 2 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 99 | 1 | 33 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 50 | M | 3 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 45 | F | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 25 | M | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 27 | M | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| 7 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 1 | N | 99 | 99 | 900 | z |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 1 | N | 99 | 1 | 27 | M | 1 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 43 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 53 | M | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | B | 4 | 1 | 51 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 50 | M | 1 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 1 | N | 0 | 0 | 901 | z |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 28 | F | 31 | 3 | 10 | 46 | 61 | 1 | N | 99 | 99 | 900 | z |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 41 | M | 1 | 7 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 38 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 60 | M | 1 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 51 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 4 | 46 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 59 | M | 31 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 58 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 46 | F | 4 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 47 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | E | 4 | 1 | 51 | F | 3 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 59 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 46 | 61 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 21 | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 22 | M | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 22 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 37 | M | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 99 | 1 | 41 | F | 31 | 7 |  |  |
| 5 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 0 | 0 | 20 | M | 1 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 0 | 0 | 902 | M | 99 | 0 |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 28 | M | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 30 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 6 | 2 | 90 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 24 | F | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 70 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 14 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 1 | H | 4 | $\theta$ | 37 | m | 4 | 5 | 1 | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 1 | H | $\theta$ | $\theta$ | 48 | m |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 11 | 1 | $\theta$ | 1 | n | 4 | 1 | 35 | m | 1 | 5 | 1 | 90 | $\theta$ | 1 | B | 4 | 1 | 72 | m |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 0 | 34 | M | 3 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 0 | 0 | 40 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 43 | M | 1 | 1 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 21 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | c | 3 | 0 | 37 | M | 2 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 0 | 40 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6 | 99 | 0 | 1 | N | 99 | 1 | 22 | M | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 0 | 0 | 901 | z |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 0 | 0 | 900 | M | 4 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 0 | 59 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 48 | M | 1 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 51 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 44 | M | 4 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 41 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 0 | 29 | F | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 0 | 27 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 55 | M | 3 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 20 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 68 | M | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | B | 4 | 1 | 39 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 48 | M | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 99 | 98 | 902 | z |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 43 | M | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 34 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\theta$ | 1 | $\epsilon$ | 4 | 1 | 42 | \# | 1 | 5 | ${ }_{6}$ | z | $\theta$ | 1 | H | 4 | 1 | 18 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\theta$ | 1 | E | 4 | 1 | 32 | m | 1 | 5 | $\sigma^{6}$ | 1 | $\theta$ | 1 | H | 4 | 1 | 23 | m |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 0 | 0 | 23 | M | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 0 | 58 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1 | 15 | 61 | 1 | N | 99 | 1 | 21 | M | 35 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 44 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 45 | F | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 3 | 21 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 69 | F | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 1 | N | 2 | 1 | 23 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 60 | M | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | c | 4 | 1 | 49 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 6 | 2 | 33 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 27 | F | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 31 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 26 | F | 53 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 21 | c | 12 | 1 | 26 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 33 | F | 1 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 37 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 54 | M | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 15 | 1 | c | 99 | 1 | 20 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 0 | 55 | F | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 0 | 56 | F |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 60 | M | 31 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 43 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 22 | M | 35 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 1 | N | 99 | 99 | 899 | z |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 60 | F | 1 | 7 | 1 | 15 | 15 | 1 | N | 99 | 99 | 33 | M |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 57 | F | 1 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | N | 4 | 1 | 40 | M |  |  |  |  |

- Countermeasure: Converting four-lane roadways to three-lane roadways with center turn lane (road diet)

| CMF | CRF(\%) Quality | Crash <br> Type | Crash <br> Severity | Area <br> Type | Reference | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

0.74825 .2 All All Urban \begin{tabular}{c}

Pawlovich | CMF calculation |
| :---: |
| et al., |
| 2006 | <br>

is for reduction <br>
$\ldots$ [read more]
\end{tabular}

| 0.812 | 18.8 |  | All | All | Urban | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pawlovich } \\ & \text { et al., } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | CMF calculation is for reduction ... [read more] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

- Countermeasure: Narrow cross section (4 to 3 lanes with two way left-turn lane)

- Countermeasure: Improve pavement friction (increase skid resistance)

| CMF | CRF(\%) Quality | Crash <br> Type | Crash <br> Severity | Area <br> Type | Reference | All | All | Lyon and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.799 | 20.1 | All | All |  |  |  |  |  |

0.667 All All Allan | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.81918 .1 All All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

- 


All
Lyon
and
Persaud, 2008
-

| 1.271 | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.1 | All | All | Lyon <br> and |
| Persaud, |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |

- 

0.426 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.37262 .8 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |

0.575

Rear end,Wet road
All
Lyon
and
Persaud,
2008

| 0.59 | 41 |  | All | All | All | Lyon and Persaud, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



0.36163 .9 Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |



0.943 Rear end All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

0.50449 .6 Rear end All Allation | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |




|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.898 | Angle | AllLyon <br> and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |

- 



0.4753 Angle,Wet road All All | Lyon |
| :---: |
| and |
| Persaud, |
| 2008 |

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.828 | Angle,Wet road All AllanLyon <br> and <br> Persaud, <br> 2008 |

Dual CRF for 8th Street Pedestrian injury Crashes

Convert Broadway from a 4-lane facility to a 3-lane facility, includes a full reconstruction of the roadway (improve pavement)

CR1=4-lane to 3-lane conversion
CR2=Improve pavement friction
$C R=1-(1-C R 1) *(1-C R 2)$
All: $\mathrm{CR}=1-(1-.37)^{*}(1-.41)=.63$
All (PDO): CR=1 - (1-. 46$)^{*}(1-.41)=.68$
Angle: CR=1 - (1-.37)* $(1-.21)=.50$
Rear End: CR=1 - (1-.31)* $(1-.70)=.79$

Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: Broadway Street NE | Map ID: 1415051969121

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: 253061
*indicates Planned Alignments

$\square$

Project
Project Area
$\square$ Transit Routes Transitway

工 Northstar Line

