Application

01967-2014 Roadway Expansion
02240 - CSAH 42/TH 52 Interchange
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status: Submitted
Submitted Date:
12/01/2014 3:44 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Project Manager |  |  |  |
| Department: |  |  |  |  |
| Email: | jacob.rezac@co.dakota.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | Transportation Dept. | Dept. Ave. |  |  |
| * | Apple Valley | Min |  | 55124 |
|  | City | State |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 952-891-7100 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements |  |  |  |

## Organization Information

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: County Government

## Organization Website:

Address: 14955 GALAXIE AVE

* | APPLE VALLEY | Minnesota | City |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

County:

Phone:*

Fax:

PeopleSoft Vendor Number

Dakota
952-891-7545
Ext.

0000002621 A28

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

CSAH 42/TH 52 Interchange
Dakota

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

This project includes reconstructing Highway 42, a principal arterial, to a four-lane divided combination urban / rural roadway section from approximately 0.5 mile east of CSAH 71 to 0.5 mile east of TH 52 , reconstructing TH 52 and replacing two mainline bridges over CSAH 42, and reconstructing the freeway access ramps. Protected turn lanes will be included on CSAH 42 at all intersections with ramps and designated local roads, as well as at intersections with major driveways. Currently, CSAH 42 transitions from a 4-lane highway to a 2lane highway west of the interchange with TH 52, with one of the eastbound travel lanes dropping into a turn lane near the interchange. This project will extend the 4 - lane section of CSAH 42 past the interchange to remove the lane drops and transitions at the interchange. In addition, protected turn lanes will be added for all turning movements at the interchange. These improvements will reduce conflict points and allow for safer turning movements at the interchange.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles)
2.0

Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

This project has been identified in both Dakota Countys 2014-2018 Capital Improvements Plan and the City of Rosemounts Comprehensive Transportation Plan. Funding has been allocated for the project in each plan. In addition to being identified in each CIP, the City of Rosemount and Dakota County participated in the Highway 52/42/55 Interchange and Highway 55 Regional Corridor Study, which identified safety and operational concerns with the existing TH 55 interchange. This project is the first phase of a larger scale project to improve safety and mobility along the TH 52/TH 55/CSAH 42 corridor.

## Project Funding

| Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement <br> this project? | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| If yes, please identify the source(s) | To be determined |
| Federal Amount | $\$ 7,000,000.00$ |
| Match Amount | $\$ 3,000,000.00$ |
| Minimum of 20\% of project total | $\$ 10,000,000.00$ |
| Project Total | $30.0 \%$ |
| Match Percentage | State Aid |
| Minimum of 20\% <br> Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |
| Source of Match Funds | 2017 (Roadway Projects Only) |
| Preferred Program Year |  |

## MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects

County, City, or Lead Agency

Functional Class of Road

Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET
Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date
(Approximate) End Construction Date
LOCATION
From:
(Intersection or Address)
Do not include legal description;
Include name of roadway if majority of facility
runs adjacent to a single corridor.
To:
(Intersection or Address)

Type of Work

Dakota County (Lead Agency) and City of Rosemount

Principal Arterial
CSAH

145th St. W (CSAH 42)

55068
04/10/2017
11/17/2017

CSAH 71 (Blaine Ave.)

1 mile east of TH 52

GRADE, AGG BASE, BIT SURF, CURB AND GUTTER, STORM SEWER, BRIDGE, LIGHTING

Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface,
sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge,
Park \& Ride, etc.)
Old Bridge/Culvert? Yes
New Bridge/Culvert? Yes
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

## Specific Roadway Elements

## CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST <br> ESTIMATES

Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$500,000.00
Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) \$500,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) \$2,400,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving) $\quad \$ 1,600,000.00$
Subgrade Correction (muck) \$0.00
Storm Sewer \$375,000.00
Ponds \$150,000.00
Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) \$200,000.00
Traffic Control \$75,000.00
Striping \$100,000.00
Signing \$45,000.00
Lighting \$250,000.00
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping \$50,000.00
Bridge \$2,500,000.00
Retaining Walls \$0.00
Noise Wall \$0.00
Traffic Signals \$0.00
Wetland Mitigation \$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection \$0.00
RR Crossing \$0.00
Roadway Contingencies $\quad \$ 1,000,000.00$
Other Roadway Elements \$0.00
Totals
\$9,745,000.00
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ..... Cost
ESTIMATES
$\$ 0.00$
Path/Trail Construction
$\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction
\$230,000.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction
$\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way
$\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)
$\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)
$\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting
$\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping
$\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding
\$25,000.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies
$\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
\$255,000.00
Totals
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES ..... Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 10,000,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 10,000,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1.The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between $\$ 250,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8.The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

# Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements 

Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only
1.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Projects Only

3.The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6.The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9.The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only

11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2240 Dakota Co HSIP.pdf | Crash B/C | 30 KB |
| 2HWY 52 42 Interim Service Inter.pdf | Concept Drawing | 1.3 MB |
| 61- TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-- <br> 30-14, 6am-7pm.pdf <br> MnDOT Letter of Support TH 52_CSAH <br> 42.pdf | HCADT Counts (TH 52/CSAH 42 West <br> Ramp) | 70 KB |
| Project Location_CSAH42-TH52.pdf | Project Location Map | 38 KB |
| RdwayAreaDef.pdf | Roadway Area Definition | 265 KB |
| RegionalEcon.pdf | Regional Economy | 1.1 MB |
| Rosemount Letter of Support.pdf | Letter of Support - Rosemount | 885 KB |
| SocioEcon.pdf | Socio Economic | 222 KB |
| TransitCon.pdf | Transit Connections | 907 KB |

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

| Hour | NB/EB Volume | SB/WB Volume | Capacity | Volume exceeds capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00am-1:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1:00am-2:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 2:00am - 3:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 3:00am - 4:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 4:00am - 5:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 5:00am - 6:00am |  |  | 0 |  |
| 6:00am-7:00am |  |  | 0 |  |

```
7:00am - 8:00am 0
8:00am-9:00am 0
9:00am-10:00am 0
10:00am-11:00am 0
11:00am-12:00pm 0
12:00pm-1:00pm 0
1:00pm - 2:00pm 0
2:00pm - 3:00pm 0
3:00pm-4:00pm 0
4:00pm - 5:00pm 0
5:00pm-6:00pm 0
6:00pm-7:00pm 0
7:00pm - 8:00pm 0
8:00pm-9:00pm 0
9:00pm-10:00pm 0
10:00pm - 11:00pm 0
11:00pm - 12:00am 0
```


## Expander/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

| Select one: | Non-Freeway Principal Arterial |
| :--- | :--- |
| Area | 35.511 |
| Project Length | 2.128 |
| Average Distance | 16.6875 |
| Upload Map | Roadway Map.pdf |

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume

CSAH 42 at TH 52/CSAH 42 West Ramp
871.0

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration
Direct connection to or within a mile of a
Manufacturing/Distribution Location
Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution
Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an
existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or
city plan city plan

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

CSAH 42 provides a direct connection to Dakota County Technical College. The college is located on CSAH 42 approximately 2 miles west of TH 52. In addition, CSAH 42 is the primary east/west access to the City of Rosemount and its commercial district. The commercial area of Rosemount is located along CSAH 42 and is less than 5 miles from TH 52. TH 52 provides the primary access to the Koch Refinery, located approximately 1.5 miles north of CSAH 42, which is a large industrial manufacturing company.

Regional Economy Map.pdf

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | CSAH 42 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 11300.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | N/A |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership 0
Current Daily Person Throughput
14690.0

## Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume 0
OR
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Forecast (2030) ADT volume

Yes
27000.0

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Upload Map

Yes

The project will enhance mobility and safety for traffic traveling to and from the City of Rosemount. The project will add travel lanes and left turn lanes to CSAH 42, a principal arterial, which will reduce delays, queuing, and congestion at the interchange and improve access to TH 52 , a principal arterial that provides access to Inver Grove Heights and St. Paul. These changes will improve mobility for all motorists accessing Rosemount, Inver Grove Heights, or St. Paul. While this project will not directly include improvements for non- motorized users, including children, elderly, and people with disabilities, it will examine the potential of accommodating future trails that are consistent with Dakota County and Rosemount plans.

Socioeconomic Map.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township
City of Rosemount

Segment Length (Miles)
2.011

2

Total Project Length
Total Project Length

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| City/Township | Segment | Total Length | Score | Segment | Housing Score <br> Length (Miles) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Miles) |  | Length/Total | Multiplied by |  |
|  |  |  | Segment |  |  |
| percent |  |  |  |  |  |


| City of |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rosemount | 2.011 | 2.011 | 61.0 | 1.0 | 61.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |  |

## Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)
2.011

Total Housing Score
61.0

| Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Year of Original <br> Roadway Construction <br> or Most Recent <br> Reconstruction | Roadway Segment <br> Length (Miles) | Calculation | Calculation 2 |
| 1987.0 | 2.011 | 3995.857 |  |
|  | 2 | 3996 | 1987.0 |
|  |  | 1987 |  |

## Average Construction Year

Weighted Year
1987.0

Total Segment Length (Miles)
Total Segment Length

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project
Cost Effectiveness
Synchro or HCM Reports
\$10,000,000.00
65268.0
39368.0
25900.0
\$386.10
Synchro.pdf

## Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
\$10,000,000.00
Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project

## Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

| Project Benefit/Cost Ratio | 1.63 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Worksheet Attachment | $42-82$ Benefit-Cost-worksheet(2).xls |

## Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project
N/A
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)

Upload Map

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership 0
Transitway Ridership 0

## Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

The existing shoulders on CSAH 42 east and west of TH 52 are 10' wide and can sufficiently accommodate bicycle traffic. The existing shoulders at the bridge crossing location are approximately $4^{\prime}$ wide. Currently, there are no separated trail facilities on CSAH 42 in the project area, and the nearest potential connection is located 4.5 miles west of TH 52 in Rosemount. A regional trail that would utilize the existing underpass north of 140th St. has been proposed in the past, but no plans currently exist to construct this trail.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

While this project will not involve the construction of any shared use trails, the project will examine the feasibility of providing separated trail accommodations. This project is an initial phase of a larger-scale project that is planned for future construction. The larger-scale project involves the potential construction of a cloverleaf interchange, which would reduce the safety and viability of trails at this location and may render a trail north of 140th St. as a better option. The feasibility determination will need to consider the scope of future phases of this project along with the potential schedule for the improvements, future Dakota County trail and greenway corridors, and future plans from the City of Rosemount to expand their trail system to this area and how connections to these trails could best be made. At minimum, the existing outside shoulders of CSAH 42 will be reconstructed to a consistent 10' width, which will accommodate bicycles.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred Yes
$100 \%$

Stakeholders have been identified
40\%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed
Yes

Layout or Preliminary Plan started
50\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
08/04/2014
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS
EA
PM
Yes
Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)
100\%

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
75\%

50\%
Document not started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
04/24/2015
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge
100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated

Yes

80\%
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

11/24/2014

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water
Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area
Yes
100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section $4 f$ resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely

30\%
Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified
25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified
0\%
Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed $0 \%$

Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%
Construction plans in progress; at least 30\% completion
50\%
Construction plans have not been started Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
12/18/2015
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date



## Traffic Data Inc

PO Box 16296
St. Louis Park, MN 55416
File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 1

TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp Rosemount, MN

|  | TH 52 West Ramp Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 42 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | TH 52 West Ramp Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 42 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 14 | 0 | 97 | 225 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 19 | 0 | 120 | 212 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 13 | 0 | 130 | 277 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 22 | 0 | 153 | 342 |
| Total | 0 | 21 | 0 | 209 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 2 | 324 | 0 | 0 | 326 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 432 | 68 | 0 | 500 | 1056 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 12 | 0 | 161 | 266 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 4 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 24 | 0 | 204 | 333 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 13 | 0 | 206 | 361 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 4 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 19 | 0 | 184 | 346 |
| Total | 0 | 18 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 9 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 687 | 68 | 0 | 755 | 1306 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 7 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 18 | 0 | 121 | 225 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 11 | 0 | 117 | 206 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 14 | 0 | 128 | 219 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 5 | 0 | 72 | 183 |
| Total | 0 | 22 | 1 | 159 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 5 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 | 48 | 0 | 438 | 833 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 2 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 24 | 0 | 115 | 198 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 15 | 0 | 104 | 181 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 19 | 0 | 76 | 151 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 20 | 0 | 81 | 182 |
| Total | 0 | 30 | 3 | 136 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 5 | 162 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 298 | 78 | 0 | 376 | 712 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 16 | 0 | 75 | 141 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 8 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 17 | 0 | 75 | 163 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 2 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 8 | 0 | 68 | 161 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 15 | 0 | 64 | 130 |
| Total | 0 | 19 | 0 | 127 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 12 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 56 | 0 | 282 | 595 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 14 | 0 | 75 | 160 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 19 | 0 | 67 | 140 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 19 | 0 | 122 | 234 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 3 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 27 | 0 | 118 | 222 |
| Total | 0 | 13 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 11 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303 | 79 | 0 | 382 | 756 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 16 | 0 | 123 | 223 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 16 | 0 | 89 | 167 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 5 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 11 | 0 | 90 | 183 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 20 | 0 | 81 | 160 |
| Total | 0 | 25 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 9 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 63 | 0 | 383 | 733 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 15 | 0 | 106 | 193 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 15 | 0 | 82 | 162 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 19 | 0 | 81 | 176 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 13 | 0 | 85 | 192 |
| Total | 0 | 29 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 5 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 62 | 0 | 354 | 723 |

## Traffic Data Inc

St. Louis Park, MN 55416
File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm
Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 2

TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp Rosemount, MN


## Traffic Data Inc <br> PO Box 16296

St. Louis Park, MN 55416
File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm
Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 3


Traffic Data Inc

File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 4

TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp Rosemount, MN

|  | TH 52 West Ramp Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 42 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | TH 52 West Ramp Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 42 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 06:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | e Inters | tion Be | ins at | 7:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 12 | 0 | 161 | 266 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 4 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 24 | 0 | 204 | 333 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 13 | 0 | 206 | 361 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 4 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 19 | 0 | 184 | 346 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 18 | 0 | 222 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 9 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 687 | 68 | 0 | 755 | 1306 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 7.5 | 0 | 92.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 2.9 | 97.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 91 | 9 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 828 | . 000 | . 845 | . 000 | . 563 | . 848 | . 000 | . 000 | . 854 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 890 | . 708 | . 000 | . 916 | . 904 | Rosemount, MN

## Traffic Data Inc

PO Box 16296
St. Louis Park, MN 55416
File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 5


Traffic Data Inc

File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 6

TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp Rosemount, MN

|  | TH 52 West Ramp Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 42 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | TH 52 West Ramp Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 42 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 2 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 19 | 0 | 122 | 234 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 3 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 27 | 0 | 118 | 222 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 16 | 0 | 123 | 223 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 16 | 0 | 89 | 167 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 20 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 8 | 184 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 | 78 | 0 | 452 | 846 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 9.9 | 0 | 90.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 4.2 | 95.8 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 82.7 | 17.3 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 714 | . 000 | . 910 | . 000 | . 935 | . 000 | .667 | . 807 | . 000 | . 000 | . 814 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 874 | . 722 | . 000 | . 919 | 904 | Rosemount, MN

## Traffic Data Inc <br> PO Box 16296

St. Louis Park, MN 55416
File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 7


Traffic Data Inc
PO Box 16296
St. Louis Park, MN 55416
File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 8

TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp Rosemount, MN

|  | TH 52 West Ramp Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 42 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | TH 52 West Ramp Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | CSAH 42 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | UTrn | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 06:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for En | e Inters | tion Be | ins at 0 | 4:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 1 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 20 | 0 | 149 | 373 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 2 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 29 | 0 | 123 | 352 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 4 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 40 | 0 | 158 | 398 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 169 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 34 | 0 | 172 | 432 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 18 | 0 | 623 | 0 | 641 | 0 | 7 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 479 | 123 | 0 | 602 | 1555 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 2.8 | 0 | 97.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 2.2 | 97.8 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 79.6 | 20.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 922 | . 000 | . 916 | . 000 | . 438 | . 897 | . 000 | . 000 | . 918 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 868 | . 769 | . 000 | . 875 | . 900 | Rosemount, MN

## Traffic Data Inc

PO Box 16296
St. Louis Park, MN 55416
File Name : 2 - TH 52 \& CSAH 42 West Ramp, 10-30-14, 6am-7pm Site Code : 2
Start Date : 10/30/2014
Page No : 9


# Minnesota Department of Transportation <br> Metro District <br> 1500 West County Road B-2 <br> Roseville, MN 5511 

November 25, 2014
Brian K. Sorenson
Assistant County Engineer
Dakota County Transportation Department
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, MN 55124
RE: Regional Solicitation Application for intersection improvements at Highway 52 and CSAH 42

Dear Mr. Sorenson:

Thank you for requesting a letter of support from MnDOT for the Metropolitan Council’s 2014 Regional Solicitation. Your application for intersection improvements at Highway 52 and CSAH 42 impacts MnDOT right of way on Highway 52.

As the agency with jurisdiction over Highway 52, MnDOT supports the application for intersection improvements Highway 52 and CSAH 42. Details of a future maintenance agreement with the county will be determined during project development to define how the project will be maintained for the project's useful life.

This project currently has no funding from MnDOT.
Sincerely,


Scott McBride, P.E.
Metro District Engineer
Cc: Elaine Koustsoukos, Metropolitan Council Jon Solberg, MnDOT Metro District - South Area Manager


## Results

Project Length: 2.128 miles
Project Area: 35.511 sq mi


Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
Atp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx


Regional Economy Roadway Expansion Project: Csah42/TH52Interchange | Map ID: 1419948092733

Results
Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project NOT IN to area of
Manufacturing and Distribution.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Education Institutions.


Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

November 10, 2014

Mr. Mark Krebsbach
Dakota County Transportation Director/County Engineer
Dakota County Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, MN 55124

## RE: City of Rosemount Letter of Support - STP Federal Funding Application for TH 52/CSAH 42 Interchange Improvements

## Dear Mr. Krebsbach:

The City of Rosemount wishes to extend its support for the STP Non-Freeway Principal Arterial federal funding application for the proposed improvement of the TH 52/CSAH 42 Interchange to lengthen the TH 52 bridge decks and expand CSAH 42 from a 2-lane to 4-lane section with turn lanes at the entrance ramps.

The City of Rosemount supports this proposed project for federal funding and agrees to provide a financial commitment for the improvements directly related to CSAH 42, consistent with County cost participation policy at the time.

Thank you for making us aware of this application effort and the opportunity to provide support.


Mayor

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Expansion Project: Csah42/TH52Interchange |Map ID: 1419948092733

## Results

Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.



Transit Connections Roadway Expansion Project: Csah42/TH52Interchange | Map ID: 1419948092733

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: -- NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments


Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx


## Roadway Area Definition

## Results

Project Length: 2.011 miles
Project Area: 8.182 sq mi

Project
Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

## Regional Economy Roadway Expansion Project: CSAH $42 /$ TH 52 Interchange - CSAH 42 (A-Minors) | Map ID: 1416247211408

Project NOT IN area of Job Concentration.
Project NOT IN to area of
Manufacturing and Distribution.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Education Institutions.


## Project

Project Area
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Expansion Project: CSAH 42/TH 52 Interchange - CSAH 42 (A-Minors) | Map ID: 1416247211408

## Results

Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.


Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

3: CSAH 42 \& US 52 - East Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1036 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 38 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.57 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.50 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.59 |

11: CSAH 42 \& US 52 - West Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1306 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 |
| CO Emissions kg ) | 1.15 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.22 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.27 |

3: CSAH 42 \& US 52 - East Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1036 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 63 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.54 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.49 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.59 |

## 11: CSAH 42 \& US 52 - West Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Volume (vph) | 1306 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 3 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.17 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.23 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.27 |

3: CSAH 42 \& US 52 - East Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1036 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 38 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.57 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.50 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.59 |

11: CSAH 42 \& US 52 - West Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1306 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 |
| CO Emissions kg ) | 1.15 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.22 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.27 |

3: CSAH 42 \& US 52 - East Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 1036 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 63 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.54 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.49 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.59 |

## 11: CSAH 42 \& US 52 - West Ramps

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Volume (vph) | 1306 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 3 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.17 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.23 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.27 |

Transit Connections Roadway Expansion Project: CSAH 42/TH 52 Interchange - CSAH 42 (A-Minors) | Map ID: 1416247211408

Transit with a Direct Connection to project: -- NONE --
*indicates Planned Alignments


Project Transitway Planned Alignments
Project Area
Red Line
——BRT, Red Line - Phase 2

