# Metropolitan Council

# **Information Item**

Metropolitan Council



Meeting date: January 10, 2024

### **Topic**

2040 Indicator Projects – Updates and Next Steps

District(s), member(s): All
Policy/legal reference: None

Staff prepared/presented: Krysten Ryba-Tures, Strategy and Product Design Manager;

Sarah Henrickson, Senior Researcher

**Division/department:** Community Development / Research

### **Background**

At the Metropolitan Council meeting on January 10, 2024, Council staff will provide an overview of policy indicators projects initiated with the adoption of Thrive MSP 2040 (2014) and share key learning themes about outcomes measurement in regional policy. The presentation will also bring forward examples from regional peer agencies and local jurisdictions, and invites discussion for improving outcomes measurement in the next regional development guide, Imagine 2050.

This information item also serves as an update: Thrive MSP 2040 and 2040 Housing Policy Plan indicator dashboards have been updated and will published on January 10<sup>th</sup>, 2024. They can be accessed at:

- Thrive MSP 2040: https://metrocouncil.org/thriveindicators
- 2040 Housing Policy Plan: https://metrocouncil.org/housingdashboard

Please contact the community development research department at <a href="mailto:research@metc.state.mn.us">research@metc.state.mn.us</a> with dashboard-related questions.

### **Overview of learning themes**

The implementation of 2040 indicators projects over the past decade coupled with a thorough review of national and local indicators projects has generated three learning themes:

- 1. This work is about dialogues, not (just) data.
- 2. Frameworks are crucial.
- 3. Set targets.

### 2040 indicators: Summary Tables

Several 2040 Housing Policy Plan indicators (adopted in 2015) and Thrive MSP 2040 indicators (adopted in 2019) have changed over time; the following tables summarize these changes, which reflect data availability, changes in methodologies, and developments in how subject matter experts understand topics in their field.

## 1. Thrive MSP 2040 Indicator Summary Table

Outcome(s)	Add	opted Indicator (2018)	Up	odated Indicator (2023)	Thrive strategies
Stewardship	1.	Acreage lost to development located in significant ecological and wetland areas	1.	Acreage lost to development located in significant ecological areas	<ul> <li>Responsibly managing natural resources</li> <li>Protecting natural resources</li> </ul>
Stewardship	2.	Number of lakes and streams in the metro area listed as impaired	2.	No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Responsibly managing natural resources</li> <li>Protecting natural resources</li> </ul>
Stewardship	3a. 3b.	Share of MCES budget spent on preservation and rehab Share of Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) spent on preservation	3.	Share of MCES budget spent on preservation and rehab	Pivoting from expanding to maintaining infrastructure
Stewardship	4.	Highways in poor or very poor condition	4.	No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Pivoting from expanding to maintaining infrastructure</li> </ul>
Prosperity	5.	Talent attraction and retention: Net gain in 25-34 year-olds	5.	No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Planning for infrastructure, amenities, quality of life</li> </ul>
Prosperity	6.	Redevelopment: Share of new housing and share of nonresidential construction on previously developed parcels	6.	Redevelopment: Share of new housing construction on previously developed parcels	<ul> <li>Encouraging redevelopment and infill</li> </ul>
Prosperity and Livability	7.	Short commutes: Share of commuters who travel less than 20 minutes to work	7.	Short commutes: Share of commuters who travel less than 20 minutes to work by race and ethnicity	<ul> <li>Planning for infrastructure, amenities, quality of life</li> </ul>

					<ul> <li>Providing housing and transportation choices</li> </ul>
Equity	8.	Share of the population with incomes below 185% of poverty living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty		Share of the population with incomes below 185% of poverty that live in Areas of Concentrated Poverty (ACPs)	Using our influence to build a more equitable region
				Share of the population with incomes below 185% of poverty that live in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)	
Equity	9.	Share of new housing and share of nonresidential construction in Areas of Concentrated	9a.	Share of new housing constructed in Areas of Concentrated Poverty (ACPs)	<ul> <li>Using our influence to build a more equitable region</li> </ul>
		Poverty	9b.	Share of new housing constructed in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)	
Equity	10.	Share of Met Council hires and promotions that are filled by people of color		No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Using our influence to build a more equitable region</li> </ul>
Equity	11.	Small business as a share of Council direct spend	11.	Share of MCUB eligible spending by race and ethnicity	<ul> <li>Using our influence to build a more equitable region</li> </ul>
Equity and Livability	12a.	Share of region's households experiencing housing cost burden		No change from adopted indicators	<ul><li>Expanding choices</li><li>Providing housing and</li></ul>
	12b.	Share of region's households experiencing housing cost burden, by race and ethnicity			transportation choices
Equity and Livability	13.	Share of communities with housing options for low-income households	13a.	Share of communities that met their allocated need for new affordable housing	<ul> <li>Expanding choices</li> <li>Providing housing and transportation choices</li> </ul>

	13a.	Share of transit station areas with housing options for low-income households	13b.	Share of transit-oriented development that is affordable	
Equity and Livability	14.	Average commuting time, by race and ethnicity		No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Expanding choices</li> <li>Providing housing and transportation choices</li> </ul>
Equity and Livability	15.	Affordable housing as share of all new residential construction		No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Expanding choices</li> <li>Providing housing and transportation choices</li> </ul>
Livability	16.	Visits to regional parks and trails per capita		No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Increasing access to regional parks and trails</li> <li>Healthy lifestyles</li> </ul>
Livability	17.	Share of workers commuting via walking, biking, transit, or rideshare		No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Providing         housing and         transportation         choices</li> <li>Aligning         resources for         transit-oriented         and walkable         places</li> </ul>
Livability	18.	Bike network miles and regional trails miles open for use	18a. 18b.	Regional solicitations supporting bicycle infrastructure  Regional park acreage and trails	<ul> <li>Supporting bicycle and pedestrian facilities</li> <li>Healthy lifestyles</li> </ul>
Livability and Stewardship	19.	Vehicle miles traveled per capita per day	19.	Average daily vehicle miles traveled per person	<ul> <li>Providing housing and transportation choices</li> <li>Leveraging transit with higher expectations of land</li> </ul>

Livability and Stewardship	20.	Average number of jobs reachable by 30-minute transit/pedestrian trip		No change from adopted indicator	<ul> <li>Providing housing and transportation choices</li> <li>Leveraging transit with higher expectations of land</li> </ul>
Sustainability	21.	Per capita water usage served from surface water sources and from groundwater	21.	Average daily municipal water use per capita	Promoting wise use of water
Sustainability	22.	Greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions per capita		No change from adopted indicator*	<ul> <li>Providing leadership on climate change and resilience</li> </ul>
Sustainability	23.	Small particulate matter in the air: PM2.5 per cubic meter	23.	Small particulate matter in the air: maximum value of PM2.5 per cubic meter as percent of National Ambient Air Quality (NAAQ) standards	<ul> <li>Providing leadership on climate change and resilience</li> <li>Healthy lifestyles</li> </ul>
Sustainability	24.	Met Council's own energy use (MBTUs) in ES and Transit operations	24.	Met Council's own energy use (MBTUs) and GHG emissions in ES and Transit operations	<ul> <li>Operating wastewater treatment sustainably</li> <li>Operating transit sustainably</li> </ul>
Sustainability	25.	Number of water quality and air emission permit exceedances	25.	Number of water quality permit exceedances	<ul> <li>Operating wastewater treatment sustainably</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup> Indicator 22, greenhouse gases emissions per capita, is not available as of January 10, 2024. Met Council staff are working to collect data for this indicator; we expect it to be available in Spring 2024.

# 2. 2040 Housing Policy Plan Indicator Summary Table

Adopted Indicator (2014)	Updated Indicator (2023)	HPP Strategies
1a. Moderate or severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 30% of	1a. Moderate or severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs), by tenure	Encourage and invest in a wide variety of housing options, including
income on housing costs)	<ol> <li>Moderate or severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs), by household area median income (AMI)</li> </ol>	viable housing choices for low- and moderate- income households
	1c. Moderate or severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs), by race and ethnicity	
	1d. Moderate or severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs), by city and township	
1b. Severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)	<ol> <li>Severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs), by tenure</li> </ol>	
	1f. Severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs), by household area median income (AMI)	
	Severe housing cost burden 1g. (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs), by race and ethnicity	
	1h. Severe housing cost burden (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs), by city and township	
Number of new units     affordable at the Council's     affordability thresholds	2a. Share of new housing that is affordable by AMI level	Support housing development, including the
,	2b. Share of new affordable housing units that are age restricted	construction of affordable housing

3a. 3b.	lacking sufficient affordable housing options for their households with income at or below 30% of AMI		These indicators have been discontinued	Balance of low- income households and affordable housing: Create and maintain housing choices across the region
3c.	housing options for their households with income at or below 50% of AMI			
30.	lacking sufficient affordable housing options for their households with income at or below 80% of AMI			
4a.	Transit station areas lacking sufficient affordable housing for their households with income at or below 30% of AMI		These indicators have been discontinued	Ensure a mix of housing affordability along the region's transit routes and corridors
4b.	Transit station areas lacking sufficient affordable housing for their households with income at or below 50% of AMI			
4c.	Transit station areas lacking sufficient affordable housing for their households with income at or below 80% of AMI			
5.	Total number of permitted housing located within one-half mile of a transit	5а.	Share of new residential development near transit	Foster transit- oriented
	station or one-quarter mile of a hi-frequency bus line	5b.	Share of new residential development near transit that is affordable	development
6.	Number of new affordable units in higher-income areas	6a.	New market rate and affordable units built in Areas of Concentrated Affluence	Encourage new affordable and mixed-income housing in higher-
	-	6b.	New market rate and affordable units built in Areas of Concentrated Poverty	income areas of the region

7a. Share of population living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty     7b. Share of population living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least half of the residents are people of color     8a. Share of Section 8
in Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least half of the residents are people of color  8a. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty  8b. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty  8b. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty  8b. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8d. Moderate or severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  8a. No change from adopted indicator  8b. Indicator has been discontinued from adopted indicator  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing costs burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing property were across the region making a clear and demonstrable commitment to provide affordable housing with the Livable Communities Act of the population with incomes below the series of the population in Section 8 mobility for voucher holders and greater participation in Section 8 mobility for voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8d. Moderate or severe housing costs burden among Property owers across the region making a clear and demonstrable comm
of the residents are people of color  Share of the population with incomes below 185% of poverty living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8a. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty  8b. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least half of the residents are people of color  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least half of the residents are people of color  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8d. Moderate or severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  9a. Average Housing Performance Score  9b. Number of cities with Housing Performance Score sover 80  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  11b. No change from adopted indicator  7c. Incomes and Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8a. No change from adopted indicator  8b. Indicator  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher households paying at least 30% of income on housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing production vs. need: Share of cities and townships that met their need for affordable housing  9a. Average Housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10c. Title Section 8 mobility for voucher participation in Section 8 among property owners across the region and greater participation in Section 8 mobility for voucher participation in Section 8 mob
Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty  8b. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least half of the residents are people of color  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least half of the residents are people of color  8d. Moderate or severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  9a. Average Housing Performance Score  9b. Number of cities with Housing Performance Score 80  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  11b. No change from adopted indicator  Section 8 mobility for voucher holders and greater participation in Section 8 among property owners across the region 8 among property owners across the region  Section 8 mobility for voucher holders and greater participation in Section 8 among property owners across the region 8 among property owners across the region  Section 8 mobility for voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  Section 8 mobility for voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  Section 8 mobility for voucher holders and greater participation in Section 8 and greater participation in Sectio
Section 8 among property owners across the region  Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least half of the residents are people of color  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8d. Moderate or severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  9a. Average Housing Performance Score  9b. Number of cities with Housing Performance Scores over 80  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  11b. Homeownership rates, by race
holders living in Areas of Concentrated Poverty where at least half of the residents are people of color  8c. Share of Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher holders living in Areas of Concentrated Affluence (ACAs)  8d. Moderate or severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing Costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  9a. Average Housing Performance Score  9b. Number of cities with Housing Performance Score sover 80  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  11b. Homeownership rates, by race
8d. Moderate or severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 30% of income on housing costs)  8e. Severe housing cost burden among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  9a. Average Housing Performance Score  9b. Number of cities with Housing Performance Scores over 80  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10c. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  11b. Homeownership rates, by race
among Housing Choice Voucher households (share of households paying at least 50% of income on housing costs)  9a. Average Housing Performance Score  9b. Number of cities with Housing Performance Scores over 80  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10c. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. No change from adopted indicator  11c. Homeownership rates, by race
Performance Score  Number of cities with Housing Performance Scores over 80  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10c. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10c. No change from adopted indicator  11c. Homeownership rates, by race
9b. Number of cities with Housing Performance Scores over 80  10a. Affordable housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10c. No change from adopted indicator  11c. Homeownership rates, by race
funded through the Livable Communities Act Act, by area median income (AMI)  10b. All housing units funded through the Livable Communities Act  10b. No change from adopted indicator  11. Homeownership rates, by race
through the Livable indicator Communities Act  11. Homeownership rates, by race