Application
19838-2024 Roadway Modernization
20245-7th St S Reconstruction
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
Status:
Submitted
Submitted Date: 12/15/2023 2:02 PM

## Primary Contact

Feel free to edit your profile any time your information changes. Create your own personal alerts using My Alerts.

| Name:* |  | Katie |  | White |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pronouns | First Name | Midd | Last Name |
| Title: | Transportation Planner |  |  |  |
| Department: | Minneapolis Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | katie.white@minneapolismn.gov |  |  |  |
| Address: | 301 4th Ave S |  |  |  |
|  | Suite 785 |  |  |  |
|  | 414 3rd Ave NE |  |  |  |
| * | Minneapolis City |  | Minnesota <br> State/Province | $55415$ |
| Phone:* | 612-673-3746 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  |  | Ext. |

## Fax:

What Grant Programs are you most interested in?
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Organization Information

| Name: | MINNEAPOLIS,CITY OF |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jurisdictional Agency (if different): | City |  |
| Organization Type: | http://www.ci.minneapolis.mn.us/ |  |
| Organization Website: | DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS |  |
| Address: | 309 2ND AVE S \#300 |  |
|  |  | MINNEAPOLIS |

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Cities or Townships where the Project is Located:
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

7th St S Reconstruction and Modernization
Hennepin
Minneapolis

Brief Project Description (Include location, road name/functional class, The City of Minneapolis proposes to reconstruct 7th Street South, an A Minor type of improvement, etc.) Reliever, between 13th Ave S and Park Avenue in 2029. This is a critical street that serves an important role as a direct connection from I-94 westbound to US Bank Stadium, Hennepin Healthcare (f/k/a HCMC), North Central College, and all other residential, employment, and entertainment destinations downtown.

Existing conditions on the corridor include deteriorating roadway ( PCI ranging from 28-34), three lanes of travel plus parking on one or both sides (depending on the block) and sidewalk on both sides of the street. Land use adjacent to the corridor is primarily institutional (hospital, college, religious) with some commercial. The project is a full reconstruction, involving the entire right-of-way and will include new sidewalks, ADA pedestrian ramps, pavement, curb and gutter, utility improvements, and the repurposing of lanes. This could include a lane that permits parking during the day but not during peak travel periods, and another lane that is generally bus only with the potential to accommodate event traffic at US Bank Stadium. Green stormwater infrastructure is a requirement for roadway reconstruction projects of this type in the City of Minneapolis to assist in infiltration. The project will also include signal improvements, new signage, and new pavement markings, as needed.

This work ties in to the existing bus only lane that begins on 7th St S at Chicago Ave to serve the METRO C and D Lines, and extends the bus only lane further to the east to serve existing Route 94 and express routes from the east, for a total of 110 buses per day. Transit shelters and other transit accommodations will be included.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
TRANSPORTATIONIMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) DESCRIPTION - will be used in TIP Reconstruct 7th St S from 13th Ave S to Park Ave S (CSAH 33). Project if the project is selected for funding. See MnDOT's TIP description guidance. includes full surface replacement, curb and gutter, ADA, signals, lighting, multimodal improvements. 0.5 mile
Include both the CSAHMSAS/TH references and their corresponding street names in the TIP Description (see Resources link on Regional Solicitation webpage for examples).
Project Length (Miles)
to the nearest one-tenth of a mile

## Project Funding

Are you applying for competitive funds from another source(s) to implement this No
project? project?
If yes, please identify the source(s)
Federal Amount $\quad \$ 7,000,000.00$

Match Amount \$4,764,500.00
Minimumof $20 \%$ of project total
Project Total $\quad \$ 11,764,500.00$
For transit projects, the total cost for the application is total cost minus fare revenues.
Match Percentage 40.5\%
Minimumof $20 \%$
Compute the match percentage by dividing the match anount by the project total
Source of Match Funds MSA, net debt bonds, assessments
A minimumof 20\% of the total project cost must come fromnon-federal sources; additional match funds over the 20\% minimumcan come fromother federal sources
Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2029
Select 2026 or 2027 for TDM and Unique projects only. For all other applications, select 2028 or 2029.
Additional Program Years:
Select all years that are feasible if funding in an earlier year becomes available.

## Project Information-Roadways

NOTE: If your project has already been assigned a State Aid Project \# (SAP or SP), please Indicate SAP\# here SAP\#:

County, City, or Lead Agency
Functional Class of Road
Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TMP. RD., ATY STREET
Road/Route No.
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53

Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAINAVE
TERMIN:(Termini listed must be within 0.3 miles of any work)
From:
Road System
Road/Route No.
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53

Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAINAVE
To:
Road System
DO NOT INCLUDE LEGAL DESCRIPTION
Road/Route No.
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53

Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAINAVE
In the City/Cities of:
(List all cities within project limits)
OR:
At:
Road System
(TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TMP. RD., City Street)
Road/Route №.
i.e., 53 for CSAH 53

Name of Road
Example; 1st ST., MAINAVE
In the City/Cities of:
(List all cities within project limits)
PROJECT LENGTH
Miles
(nearest 0.1 miles)
Primary Types of Work (check all the apply)
New Construction
Reconstruction
Resurfacing
Bituminous Pavement
Concrete Pavement
Roundabout
New Bridge
Bridge Replacement
Bridge Rehab
New Signal
Signal Replacement/Revision
Bike Trail
Other (do not include incidental items)

City of Minneapolis
A Minor Reliever
MSAS

141221010

7th St S (141-221-010 and 141-221-020)

13th Ave S

33

Park Ave

Minneapolis
0.5

Yes

Yes
Yes

AGG BASE, PAVEMENT, CURB AND GUTTER, SIGNALS, SIGNS, STORM SEWER, DRIVEWAY APRON, SIDEWALKS, PED RAMPS, BIKEWAY, LIGHTING, LANDSCAPING, GREEN STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE, BUS LANE WITH DYNAMIC OPERATIONS

BRIDGE/CULVERT PROJECTS (IF APPLICABLE)
Old Bridge/Culvert No.:
New Bridge/Culvert No.:
Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):
OTHER INFORMATION:

| Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed | 55415 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Approximate Begin Construction Date | $03 / 01 / 2029$ |
| Approximate End Construction Date | $12 / 01 / 2029$ |
| Miles of Trail (nearest 0.1 miles) | 0 |
| Miles of Sidewalk (nearest 0.1 miles) | 1.0 |
| Miles of trail on the Regional Bicycle Transportation Network (nearest 0.1 miles): | 0 |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan (2018), the 2040 Regional Parks Policy Plan (2018), and the 2040 Water Resources Policy Plan (2015).
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2. The project must be consistent with the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan. Reference the 2040 Transportation Plan goals, objectives, and strategies that relate to the project.

Briefly list the goals, objectives, strategies, and associated pages: Goal A: Transportation System Stewardship, Objective A \& B, Strategies A1 \& A2 (p. 2.6)

Goal B: Safety and Security, Objective A \& B, Strategies B1, B4, \& B6 (p. 2.7)
Goal C: Access to Destinations, Objectives A, B, D \& E, Strategies C1, C2, C4, C9, C11, C15, C16, \& C17 (p. 2.8 to 2.11)

Goal D: Competitive Economy, Objectives A, B, \& C, Strategies D1, D3, \& D4 (p. 2.11 to 2.12)

Goal E: Healthy and Equitable Communities, Objectives A, B, C, \& D, Strategies E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6, \& E7 (p. 2.12 to 2.13)

Goal F: Leveraging Transportation Investments to Guide Land Use, Objectives A \& B, Strategies F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, \& F7 (p. 2.14 to 2.16)

[^0]

 that the project addresses.
2) City of Minneapolis Complete Streets Policy ? p. 1 to 4
3) City of Minneapolis ADA Transition Plan p. 2-7
4) Minneapolis Transportation Action Plan:

7th Street South is:
-On the existing and future High Frequency Transit Network (page 104) to be prioritized for capital improvements that support transit (page 117)
-A Pedestrian Priority Network route (page 47)
-An All Ages and Abilities bikeway network "connnector or long-term low stress bikeway" route (page 74)
-10 Ton Truck route (page 156)
-Make safety improvements on High Injury Streets (7th Street S is one) (page 180)
5) Minneapolis Vision Zero Action Plan: -7th Street S is identified as a "High Injury Street" to be prioritized for traffic safety improvements (pages 16-17)
4. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Right-of-way costs are only eligible as part of transit stations/stops, transit terminals, park-and-ride facilities, or pool-and-ride lots. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding as a standalone project, but can be included as part of the larger submitted project, which is otherwise eligible. Unique project costs are limited to those that are federally eligible.
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5. Applicant is a public agency (e.g., county, city, tribal government, transit provider, etc.) or non-profit organization (TDM and Unique Projects applicants only). Applicants that are not State Aid cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. Applicants must not submit an application for the same project elements in more than one funding application category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Funding amounts by application category are listed belowin Table 1. For unique projects, the minimum award is $\$ 500,000$ and the maximum award is the total amount available each funding cycle (approximately $\$ 4,000,000$ for the 2024 funding cycle).
Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion): \$1,000,000 to \$10,000,000
Roadway Reconstruction/M odernization: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Traffic M anagement Technologies (Roadway System M anagement): \$500,000 to \$3,500,000
Spot M obility and Safety: \$1,000,000 to \$3,500,000
Bridges Rehabilitation/Repla cement: \$1,000,000 to \$7,000,000
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9. In order for a selected project to be included in the Transportation Improvement Program(TIP) and approved by USDOT, the public agency sponsor must either have a current Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) self-evaluation or transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation, as required under Title II of the ADA. The plan must be completed by the local agency before the Regional Solicitation application deadline. For future Regional Solicitation funding cycles, this requirement may include that the plan has undergone a recent update, e.g., within five years prior to application.
The applicant is a public agency that employs 50 or more people and has a completed ADA transition plan that covers the public right of way/transportation. Yes
(TDM and Unique Project Applicants Only) The applicant is not a public agency
subject to the self-evaluation requirements in Title II of the ADA.
Date plan completed:
03/22/2022
Link to plan:
https://www2.minneapolismn.gov/media/content-assets/www2-documents/departments/2022-ADA-Transition-Plan-Update-V2.pdf
The applicant is a public agency that employs fewer than 50 people and has a
completed ADA self-evaluation that covers the public right of way/transportation.
Date self-evaluation completed:
Link to plan:
Upload plan or self-evaluation if there is no link
Upload as PDF
10. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
11. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project year-round for the useful life of the improvement. This includes assurance of year-round use of bicycle, pedestrian, and transit facilities, per FHWA direction established 8/27/2008 and updated 4/15/2019. Unique projects are exempt from this qualifying requirement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Yes
12. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term ?independent utility? means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
13. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Yes

14. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed project to all affected state and local units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

1. All roadway projects must be identified as a principal arterial (non-freeway facilities only) or A-minor arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map. Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects must be located on a minor collector and above functionally classified roadway in the urban areas or a major collector and above in the rural areas.
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Roadway Strategic Capacity and Reconstruction/Modernization and Spot Mobility projects only:
2. The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Yes
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement and Strategic Capacity projects only:
3. Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a principal arterial freeway must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOT?s ?Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities? manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities application categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
5. The length of the in-place structure is 20 feet or longer.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must have a Local Planning Index (LPI) of less than 60 OR a National Bridge Inventory (NBI) Rating of 3 or less for either Deck Geometry, Approach Roadway, or Waterway Adequacy as reported on the most recent Minnesota Structure Inventory Report.
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Roadway Expansion, Reconstruction/Modernization, and Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement projects only:
7. All roadway projects that involve the construction of a newexpanded interchange or newinterchange ramps must have approval by the Metropolitan Council/MnDOT Interchange Planning Review Committee prior to application submittal. Please contact David Evin at MnDOT (David.Evin@state.mn.us or 651-234-7795) to determine whether your project needs to go through this process as described in Appendix F of the 2040 Transportation Policy Plan.
Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

| Specific Roadway Elements |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT E®MENTS/COST ESTIMATES | Cost |
| Mobilization (approx 5\% of total cost) | $\$ 787,000.00$ |
| Removals (approx 5\% of total cost) | $\$ 300,650.00$ |
| Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) | $\$ 1,224,000.00$ |
| Roadway (aggregates and paving) | $\$ 1,963,000.00$ |
| Subgrade Correction (muck) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Storm Sewer | $\$ 396,400.00$ |
| Ponds | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) | $\$ 974,500.00$ |
| Traffic Control | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Striping | $\$ 97,000.00$ |
| Signing | $\$ 97,000.00$ |
| Lighting | $\$ 468,000.00$ |
| Turf- Erosion \& Landscaping | $\$ 500,000.00$ |
| Bridge | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Retaining Walls | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Noise Wall (not calculated in cost effectiveness measure) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Traffic Signals | $\$ 500,000.00$ |
| Wetland Mtigation | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection | $\$ 0.00$ |
| RR Crossing | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Roadway Contingencies | $\$ 2,715,000.00$ |
| Other Roadway Elements | $\$ 1,742,000.00$ |
| Totals | $\$ 11,764,550.00$ |

Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT EEMENTS/COSTESTIMATES ..... Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

| Specific Transit and TDM Elements |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT E EMENTS/COST ESTIMATES Cost |  |  |
| Fixed Guideway Elements |  | \$0.00 |
| Stations, Stops, and Terminals |  | \$0.00 |
| Support Facilities |  | \$0.00 |
| Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) |  | \$0.00 |
| Vehicles |  | \$0.00 |
| Contingencies |  | \$0.00 |
| Right-of-Way |  | \$0.00 |
| Other Transit and TDMElements |  | \$0.00 |
| Totals |  | \$0.00 |
| Transit Operating Costs |  |  |
| Number of Platform hours | 0 |  |
| Cost Per Platform hour (full loaded Cost) | \$0.00 |  |
| Subtotal | \$0.00 |  |
| Other Costs - Administration, Overhead,etc. | \$0.00 |  |
| PROTECT Funds Eligibility |  |  |
| One of the newfederal funding sources is Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-Saving Transportation (PROTECT). Please describe which specific elements of your project and associated costs out of the Total TAB-Eligible Costs are eligible to receive PROTECT funds. Examples of potential eligible items may inc/ude: storm sener, ponding, erosion control/landscaping, retaining walls, new bridges over floodplains, and road realignments out of floodplains. |  |  |
| INFORMATION: Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Efficient, and Cost-Saving Transportation (PROTECT) Formula Program Implementation Guidance (dot.gov). |  |  |
| Response: | The pro more disas preven impro Sidew acces design install install number curren are ve roadw contro | twork <br> ral <br> by <br> ent <br> wing: - <br> provide <br> meet <br> These <br> prove |

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 11,764,550.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 11,764,550.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |
|  |  |
| Measure B: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education |  |
| Existing Employment within 1 Mile: | 187914 |
| Existing Manufacturing/Distribution-Related Employment within 1 Mile: | 7315 |
| Existing Post-Secondary Students within 1 Mile: | 4622 |
| Upload Map | 1701549872390 _RegionalEconomy_7th.pdf |
| Please upload attachreent in PDF form |  |

## Measure C: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

RESPONSE: Select one for your project, based on the updated 2021 Regional Truck Corridor Study.
Along Tier 1:
Miles:
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
Along Tier 2 :
Miles:

## Along Tier 3:

Miles:
(to the nearest 0.1 miles)
The project provides a direct and immediate connection (i.e., intersects) with either a Tier 1, Tier 2, or Tier 3 corridor:

Yes
None of the tiers:

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | SEQ67160 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Current AADT Volume | 8511 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | 17 |
| For New Roadways only, list transit routes that will likely be diverted to the new proposed roadway (if applicable). |  |
| Upload Transit Connections Map | 1702661428643 _Transit_7th.pdf |
| Please upload attachment in PDF form |  |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership 0
Current Daily Person Throughput 11064.0

## Measure B: 2040 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2040) ADT volume Yes
If checked, METC Staff will provide Forecast (2040) ADT volume
OR
Identify the approved county or city travel demand model to
determine forecast (2040) ADT volume
Forecast (2040) ADT volume

## Measure A: Engagement

i. Describe any Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, disabled populations, youth, or older adults within a $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project. Describe howthese populations relate to regional context. Location of affordable housing will be addressed in Measure C.
ii. Describe howBlack, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults, and residents in affordable housing were engaged, whether through community planning efforts, project needs identification, or during the project development process.
iii. Describe the progression of engagement activities in this project. A full response should answer these questions:

[^1]Within $1 / 2$ mile of the proposed project, the BIPOC community is overrepresented with $58 \%$ of people identifying as non-White or of Hispanic/Latinx origin (2020 Census). In comparison, $40 \%$ of the Minneapolis population identifies as nonWhite or of Hispanic/Latinx origin. Also in the project area $35 \%$ of residents are low-income, $37 \%$ do not have access to a car, and $21 \%$ have a disability. In the 2017 ACS, $47 \%$ of nearby residents walked or biked to work, or worked from home.

This project is being proposed because of findings and engagement around the Minneapolis Transportation Action Plan (TAP), Vision Zero Action Plan (VZAP), as well as community feedback from other venues. These included focused efforts to engage traditionally underrepresented communities. For the TAP and VZAP, engagement included separate dialogues inlanguage with members from 7 communities: African American, East African, Latino, Native American, Minneapolis Youth Congress, people with disabilities, and Southeast Asian. It also included 30 direct engagement activities done in partnership with contracted community-based organizations that focused on reaching residents in public housing, East African community members, Latino community members, college students, high school students, and residents of traditionally under representative neighborhoods.

The Vision Zero program began engagement in 2021 and continues to have ongoing engagement within these communities on existing High Injury Streets. The Vision Zero program has utilized social media platforms, program and project specific webpages, digital mapping, yard signs, and program and project onepagers that have been translated to multiple languages. The most common concerns residents share is related to speeding or aggressive driving, parked cars making it hard to see approaching traffic and for drivers to see pedestrians and bikers. Much of the feedback is not specific to any one location, but to general deficiencies and safety concerns including in downtown.

## Measure B: Disadvantaged Communities Benefits and Impacts

 relate to:? pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements;
? public health benefits;
? direct access improvements for residents or improved access to destinations such as jobs, school, health care, or other;
? travel time improvements;
? gap closures,
? newtransportation services or modal options;
? leveraging of other beneficial projects and investments;
? and/or community connection and cohesion improvements.
This is not an exhaustive list. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to Disadvantaged communities residing or engaged in activities near the project area, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting Disadvantaged communities specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.

Acknowledge and describe any negative project impacts to Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults. Describe measures to mitigate these impacts. Unidentified or unmitigated negative impacts may result in a reduction in points.
Belowis a list of potential negative impacts. This is not an exhaustive list.
? Decreased pedestrian access through sidewalk removal / narrowing, placement of barriers along the walking path, increase in auto-oriented curb cuts, etc.
? Increased speed and/or ?cut-through? traffic.
? Removed or diminished safe bicycle access.
? Inclusion of some other barrier to access to jobs and other destinations.
Response:
The 7th St S project provides safety, access, and public health benefits to nearby Black, Indigenous and People of Color populations, low income populations, children, people with disabilities, youth, and older adults.

Safety: The proposed project will redesign intersections with curb extensions, truck aprons, and high-visibility pavement markings. These improvements will encourage safer travel speeds by reducing the overall road width and travel lanes where possible, thereby creating safer and more comfortable experience for pedestrians and bicyclists. As identified in the Minneapolis Vision Zero Action Plan, these corridors are identified as High Injury Streets. Identified in the Minneapolis Pedestrian Crash Study, $75 \%$ of all major pedestrian crashes occur on $5 \%$ of the streets. These corridors are also in an area of concentrated poverty and a regional environmental justice area.

Access: The project will improve access on and across 7th St S, connecting people to destinations such as jobs, schools, health care and cultural destinations such as places of worship and higher education. The project will provide more comfortable access to these destinations for people walking, rolling, and biking. These modes are critical as $37 \%$ of households within $1 / 2$ mile of the project do not have a vehicle. Because of this, the pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements will benefit underrepresented populations by improving connections to existing job opportunities, including retail and restaurant businesses nearby and in adjacent areas. The project will also include a reduction in conflict points, improve traffic operations, and ADA upgrades, removing barriers for people with disabilities.

Public Health: The proposed intersection improvements will provide safety and comfort improvements for people walking through improved sidewalks, curb extensions and lighting. These improvements will provide safe ways for residents to walk, bike, and take transit for daily transportation needs and recreation. The project will also improve community connections to Hennepin Healthcare ( $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ HCMC) which has several buildings directly on 7th St S in the project area. The I94 access to 7th St S makes emergency response a key motivator for this project.

Negative Impacts: The proposed project will not have any adverse human health or environmental effects on BIPOC populations, low-income populations, children, people with disabilities or the elderly. During construction, access to housing and businesses will be maintained, detours will be established for all users, and construction nuisances such as noise, dust and traffic will be mitigated to the extent possible.

## Measure C: Affordable Housing Access

Describe any affordable housing developments?existing, under construction, or planned?within $1 ⁄ 2$ mile of the proposed project. The applicant should note the number of existing subsidized units, which will be provided on the Socio-Economic Conditions map. Applicants can also describe other types of affordable housing (e.g., naturally-occurring affordable housing, manufactured housing) and under construction or planned affordable housing that is within a half mile of the project. If applicable, the applicant can provide self-generated PDF maps to support these additions. Applicants are encouraged to provide a self-generated PDF map describing howa project connects affordable housing residents to destinations (e.g., childcare, grocery stores, schools, places of worship).
Describe the project?s benefits to current and future affordable housing residents within $1 / 2$ mile of the project. Benefits must relate to affordable housing residents. Examples may include:
? specific direct access improvements for residents
? improved access to destinations such as jobs, school, health care or other;
? newtransportation services or modal options;
? and/or community connection and cohesion improvements.
This is not an exhaustive list. Since residents of affordable housing are more likely not to own a private vehicle, higher points will be provided to roadway projects that include other multimodal access improvements. A full response will support the benefits claimed, identify benefits specific to residents of affordable housing, identify benefits addressing a transportation issue affecting residents of affordable housing specifically identified through engagement, and substantiate benefits with data.

Response:
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words):

## Measure D: BONUS POINTS

| Project is located in an Area of Concentrated Poverty: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project?s census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty <br> or population of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area): | Yes |
| Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population <br> in poverty or populations of color (Regional Environmental Justice Area): | Yes |
| Upload the ?Socio-Economic Conditions? map used for this measure. | 1702656254843_Socioeconomic_7th.pdf |

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original Segment Calculation Calculation
Roadway Length
There are currently no amenities or streetscape elements that provide benefits relative to environmental concerns such as runoff or the urban heat island or for beautification purposes. The proposed modifications to this street segment will create a welcoming transition from the freeway exit ramp to the downtown core.
The proposed project will provide benefits to current and future affordable housing
residents within a $1 / 2$ mile of the project including direct access improvements for
residents given the vast improvements proposed to the 7 th St S corridor including
multimodal infrastructure and design features pertaining to people walking, rolling,
and taking transit. Given the corridor's proximity to jobs, schools, childcare
facilities, and religious institutions, connectivity, safety and travel will be greatly
The proposed project will provide benefits to current and future affordable housing
residents within a $1 / 2$ mile of the project including direct access improvements for
residents given the vast improvements proposed to the 7 th St S corridor including
multimodal infrastructure and design features pertaining to people walking, rolling,
and taking transit. Given the corridor's proximity to jobs, schools, childcare
facilities, and religious institutions, connectivity, safety and travel will be greatly
The proposed project will provide benefits to current and future affordable housing
residents within a $1 / 2$ mile of the project including direct access improvements for
residents given the vast improvements proposed to the 7 th St S corridor including
multimodal infrastructure and design features pertaining to people walking, rolling,
and taking transit. Given the corridor's proximity to jobs, schools, childcare
facilities, and religious institutions, connectivity, safety and travel will be greatly
The proposed project will provide benefits to current and future affordable housing
residents within a $1 / 2$ mile of the project including direct access improvements for
residents given the vast improvements proposed to the 7 th St S corridor including
multimodal infrastructure and design features pertaining to people walking, rolling,
and taking transit. Given the corridor's proximity to jobs, schools, childcare
facilities, and religious institutions, connectivity, safety and travel will be greatly
The proposed project will provide benefits to current and future affordable housing
residents within a $1 / 2$ mile of the project including direct access improvements fo
residents given the vast improvements proposed to the 7 th St S corridor including
multimodal infrastructure and design features pertaining to people walking, rolling
and taking transit. Given the corridor's proximity to jobs, schools, childcare
facilities, and religious institutions, connectivity, safety and travel will be greatly
The proposed project will provide benefits to current and future affordable housing
residents within a $1 / 2$ mile of the project including direct access improvements fo
residents given the vast improvements proposed to the 7 th St S corridor including
multimodal infrastructure and design features pertaining to people walking, rolling
and taking transit. Given the corridor's proximity to jobs, schools, childcare
facilities, and religious institutions, connectivity, safety and travel will be greatly improved with the reconstruction of this street segment.
Data indicates that there is a higher proportion of households without access to vehicles in the downtown core. This is demonstrated within the proposed project area and therefore, populations proximate to the corridor rely on other means of mobility for access to jobs, school, services, etc. This includes health care, where Hennepin Healthcare is sometimes the only option to receive medical care for the
un- or underinsured.
The 7th St S corridor includes a concentration of 54 affordable, subsidized housing units. Within $1 / 2$ mile of the project area there are approximately 5,271 affordable units including: 1,408 Units at 30\% AMI; 1,890 Units at 50\% AM; 1,898 Units at $60 \% \mathrm{AMI}$; and 75 Units at $80 \%$ AMI. The East Town area of downtown continues to redevelop around US Bank Stadium and changing land uses provide opportunities for additional affordable housing opportunities within the project area.

## Total Project Length

# Total Segment Length (Miles) 

Total Segment Length 0.5

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Yes
7th Street S is not identified by Met Council's Regional Truck Highway Corridor Study, but provides direct access to the Tier 1 interstate system. Commercial vehicles will benefit along this urban corridor through the conversion of the variable multi-lane environment to a $2 / 3$-lane to reduce conflict points among users. Additionally, intersection radii will be designed to accommodate freight deliveries, which occurs frequently given the direct connection to the interstate system.

Yes
Redesign of intersections with curb extensions and high-visibility pavement markings will assist users. The redistribution of space will improve sight lines, reinforced through design, and encourages safer turning speeds. Targeted removal of on-street parking will improve sight lines among users and provide a wider planted boulevard with pedestrian scale lighting that will narrow the crosssection. Conversion to a $2 / 3$-lane will eliminate the potential for dual-threat related crashes. Furthermore, the introduction of a planted boulevard with pedestrian scale lighting will narrow the cross-section, providing improved clear zones and sight distances at intersections.

Yes
The current roadway width and uses varies, occasionally a three-lane section and occasionally three lanes plus parking on both sides. A narrower cross-section with curb extensions and green stormwater infrastructure will offer visual cues to encourage safer speeds, slow turning speeds, and encourage high yielding rates.

Yes
Staff will identify driveway and curb cut openings that do not appear to be needed and seek opportunities to remove unnecessary accesses that can result in improved safety through the reduction of conflict points. Potential access changes will be determined during the project development process to align with the city's access spacing guidelines, improve traffic operations, increase safety by reducing conflict points and create opportunities to implement safer non-motorized facilities and crossings.

Yes
Realignment of intersections with narrower cross sections, curb extensions, and high-visibility pavement markings will assist users in safely navigating intersections. These features will help ensure user safety and promote driver expectation. This project may adjust the vertical alignment to better manage storm water to minimize flood risk for the area. The proposed roadway will be adjusted to meet current State Aid roadway design standards to improve safety, accessibility, and mobility in the area.
(Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Improved stormwater mitigation:

During design, we will evaluate the feasibility of stormwater mitigation strategies including green stormwater mgmt, streetscaping elements and boulevard areas, to assist in collecting rain. Staff will collaborate to implement BMPs, to improve water quality, and trees to expand the urban tree canopy in accordance with City ordinance chapter 54. A majority of the project is susceptible to extreme heat (MC's Extreme Heat Map Screening Tool). The impervious surface conditions will be reduced and streetscaping elements will be incorporated. Strategies to address extreme heat will be incorporated in parallel with the stormwater design.

Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Signals/lighting upgrades:
Response:
(Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)
Other Improvements
Response:

## Yes

This project will replace and/or upgrade signals to the latest technologies, such as: dedicated left-turn phasing, signal communications, and ITS components. These improvements will allow for flexible signal operations to accommodate time of day needs. The existing lighting is inconsistent. The installation of new lighting will be consistent with the City's Street Lighting Plan. Pedestrian scale lighting will improve visibility for all users.

## Yes

Operational improvements will be made by exploring the potential for a bus only lane to operate during peak transit hours with the flexibility to accommodate extreme traffic conditions during large events at US Bank Stadium. A full reconstruction is needed to modernize aging and deteriorating infrastructure, which will include ADA pedestrian ramps. The new street will be right sized to encourage multimodal travel with a narrower cross-section to prioritize walking, rolling, and transit to eliminate all severe and fatal traffic crashes.
(Linit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)


Vehicle Delay Reduced

| Total | Total | Delay |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak | Peak | Reduced |
| Hour | Hour | Total |
| Delay | Delay |  |
| Reduced | Reduced |  |

Measure B: Roadway projects that do not include new roadway segments or railroad grade-separation elements

| Total (CO, | Total (CO, | Total (CO, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOX, and | NOX, and | NOX, and |
| VOC) Peak | VOC) Peak | VOC) Peak |
| Hour | Hour <br> Hour |  |
| Emissions | Emissions | Emissions |
| without the | with the | Reduced by |
| Project | Project | the Project |
| (Kilograms): | (Kilograms): (Kilograms): |  |
| 12.49 | 12.33 | 0.16 |
| 12 | 12 | 0 |

## Total

| Total Emissions Reduced: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upload Synchro Report |  |  |
| Please upload attachment in PDF form (Save Form then |  |  |
| Measure B: Roadway projects |  |  |
| separation elements (for Road |  |  |
| Total (CO, NOX, and | Total (CO, NOX, and | Total (CO, NOX, and |
| VOC) Peak Hour | VOC) Peak Hour | VOC) Peak Hour |
| Emissions | Emissions | Emissions |
| without the | with the | Reduced by |
| Project | Project | the Project |
| (Kilograms): | (Kilograms): | (Kilograms): |

## Total Parallel Roadway

Emissions Reduced on Parallel Roadways
0
Upload Synchro Report
Please upload attachment in PDF form (Save Form then click 'Edit' in top right to upload file.)

## New Roadway Portion:

Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: ..... 0
Total delay in hours with the project: ..... 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons: ..... 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced or Produced on New Roadway (Kilograms): ..... 0EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400characters; approximately 200 words)
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project (Kilograms): ..... 0.0
Measure B: Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements
Cruise speed in miles per hour without the project: ..... 0
Vehicle miles traveled without the project: ..... 0
Total delay in hours without the project: ..... 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour without the project: ..... 0
Cruise speed in miles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Vehicle miles traveled with the project: ..... 0
Total delay in hours with the project: ..... 0
Total stops in vehicles per hour with the project: ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F1) ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F2) ..... 0
Fuel consumption in gallons (F3) ..... 0
Total (CO, NOX, and VOC) Peak Hour Emissions Reduced by the Project ..... 0 (Kilograms):

EXPLANATION of methodology and assumptions used:(Limit 1,400
characters; approximately 200 words)

CMF ID 2841: Converting four-lane roadways to three-lane roadways with center turn lane (Road Diet) ? Value: 0.53, Five-Star Rated.

## CMF ID 153: Prohibit On-Street Parking ? Value: 0.8, Three-Star Rated.

Limit 700 Characters; approximately 100 words)

## Rationale for Crash Modification Selected:

CMF ID 2841 was selected based upon the addition of the BAT lane along with the dynamic through / parking lane. These act similarly to a 4-to-3 conversion in the way that sideswipe crashes should be reduced due to fewer neighboring lanes, rear ends should be reduced due to right turning vehicles being able to pull into the bus lane to turn, and other crash types could be reduced as a result of shorter crossing distances for side-street motorists to cross 7th Street, all of which is done through a lane reduction. CMF ID 153 was selected to address segment crashes not addressed by CMF ID 2841 such as crashes involving driveways, parked vehicles, and sideswipe crashes involving confusion over whether a rightturning vehicle is parking or turning.
(Limit 1400 Characters; approximately 200 words)
Project Benefit (\$) from B/C Ratio
Total Fatal (K) Crashes:
Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes:
Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes:
Total Crashes:
Total Fatal (K) Crashes Reduced by Project:
Total Serious Injury (A) Crashes Reduced by Project:
Total Non-Motorized Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes Reduced by Project:
Total Crashes Reduced by Project:
Worksheet Attachment
Please upload attachment in PDF form

## \$1.15

0
1

## 0

170101702661218785_7th Street_Attachments_REVISED.pdf

## Roadway projects that include railroad grade-separation elements:

Current AADT volume: 0

Average daily trains: 0
Crash Risk Exposure eliminated: 0

## Measure B: Pedestrian Safety

Determine if these measures do not apply to your project. Does the project match either of the following descriptions?
If either of the items are checked yes, then score for entire pedestrian safety measure is zero. Applicant does not need to respond to the sub-measures and can proceed to the next section.

Project is primarily a freeway (or transitioning to a freeway) and does not provide safe and comfortable pedestrian facilities and crossings.

No
Existing location lacks any pedestrian facilities (e.g., sidewalks, marked crossings, wide shoulders in rural contexts) and project does not add pedestrian elements (e.g., reconstruction of a roadway without sidewalks, that doesn?t also add pedestrian crossings and sidewalk or sidepath on one or both sides).
SUB-M EASURE 1: Project-Based Pedestrian Safety Enhancements and Risk Elements
To receive maximum points in this category, pedestrian safety countermeasures selected for implementation in projects should be, to the greatest extent feasible, consistent with the countermeasure recommendations in the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan and state and national best practices. Links to resources are provided on the Regional Solicitation Resources web page.

Please answer the following two questions with as much detail as possible based on the known attributes of the proposed design. If any aspect referenced in this section is not yet determined, describe the range of options being considered, to the greatest extent available. If there are project elements that may increase pedestrian risk, describe howthese risks are being mitigated.

1. Describe how this project will address the safety needs of people crossing the street at signalized intersections, unsignalized intersections, midblock locations, and roundabouts.

Treatments and countermeasures should be well-matched to the roadway?s context (e.g., appropriate for the speed, volume, crossing distance, and other location attributes). Refer to the Regional Solicitation Resources web page for guidance links.

The 7th Street reconstruction project addresses pedestrian safety through several countermeasures. The first is the implementation of additional curb extensions to project intersections. This reduces the distance pedestrians need to cross the roadway, and thus reduces the time pedestrians are in the driving lanes. A second countermeasure is the conversion of the southernmost travel lane to a dynamic through / parking lane, which during off-peak hours will further reduce the number of travel lanes that pedestrians are required to cross. A third countermeasure is the conversion of the northernmost travel lane to a business access and transit lane that will take the place of the northernmost travel lane. Although this lane will be utilized throughout the day, it will be clearer to pedestrians whether an approaching vehicle is slowing down to turn right. The final counter measure is temporary raised bike lanes. At the 7th Street \& 11th Avenue intersection, northbound and southbound on-street bike lanes are temporarily raised onto the sidewalk leading up to the intersection, where they can then cross the intersection using the crosswalk, before proceeding back onto street level. This allows bicyclists to be more visible to drivers while also preventing them from having to cross or wait within a turn lane while conflicting with vehicles.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
Is the distance in between signalized intersections increasing (e.g., removing a signal)?
Select one:

## No

 motorists yield and help pedestrians find a suitable gap for crossing, turning signal into a roundabout to slowmotorist speed, etc.).

Response:
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

 bike lanes (i.e., no other through or turn lanes being added or widened).
Select one:
No

If yes,
? How many intersections will likely be affected?
Response:
? Describe what measures are being used to reduce exposure and delay for pedestrians (e.g., median crossing islands, curb bulb-outs, etc.)
Response: Curb bulb-outs will be provided where possible.
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
 make the separated crossing a more appealing option (e.g., shallowtunnel that doesn?t require much elevation change instead of pedestrian bridge with numerous switchbacks).

Response: No grade separated crossings are being added.
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
 enhanced crossing opportunity).

Response:
Mid-block crossings will not be blocked. Crossing at midblock locations will become much safer after this project given the improvements already discussed, although we will encourage crossing at locations with pedestrian crossing improvements.
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)


 etc.) or protect pedestrians if increasing motorist speed (e.g., buffers or other separation from moving vehicles, crossing treatments appropriate for higher speed roadways, etc.).

Response: The current design encourages significant speeding. We will design this project to achieve a target speed of 25 mph , which matches the posted speed limit. As such, we plan for the corridor to look very different after reconstruction. Safer speeds will be achieved by a variety of steps likely including: Having 2 general traffic lanes and right-sizing lane widths. Tightening curb radii as much as possible, including potentially including truck aprons. We also plan to add a green stormwater infrastructure-designed boulevard between the sidewalk and the roadway to add further protection and comfort for people walking and rolling, and for snow storage.
(Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)
If known, what are the existing and proposed design, operation, and posted speeds? Is this an increase or decrease from existing conditions?
Response:
This street is currently posted with a 25 mph speed limit. The current roadway design is outdated and reflects a much higher target and design speed. As such, existing speeds far exceed the 25 mph speed limit. This redesign will have a target speed of 25 mph to match the speed limit and much lower than the existing design speed.

These factors are based on based on trends and patterns observed in pedestrian crash analysis done for the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan. Check off how many of the following factors are present. Applicants receive more points if more risk factors are present.
Existing road configuration is a One-way, $3+$ through lanes
or
Yes
Existing road configuration is a Two-way, 4+ through lanes
Existing road has a design speed, posted speed limit, or speed study/data showing 85th percentile travel speeds in excess of 30 MPH or more

Existing road has AADT of greater than 15,000 vehicles per day
List the AADT
SUB-M EASURE 3: Existing Location-Based Pedestrian Safety Exposure Factors
These factors are based on based on trends and patterns observed in pedestrian crash analysis done for the Regional Pedestrian Safety Action Plan. Check off how many of the following existing location exposure factors are present. Applicants receive more points if more risk factors are present.

Existing road has transit running on or across it with 1+ transit stops in the project area (lf flag-stop route with no fixed stops, then 1+ locations in the project area where roadside stops are allowed. Do not count portions of transit routes Yes with no stops, such as non-stop freeway sections of express or limited-stop routes.)
Existing road has high-frequency transit running on or across it and 1+ high-
frequency stops in the project area (high-frequency defined as service at least Yes every 15 minutes from 6am to 7pm weekdays and 9am to 6pm Saturdays.)

Existing road is within 500 ? of $1+$ shopping, dining, or entertainment destinations (e.g., grocery store, restaurant)

1) 17 transit routes use the corridor
2) METRO $C$ and $D$ Lines use the corridor
3) Corridor is one block from US Bank Stadium and its associated uses (restaurants, etc).
(Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
Existing road is within 500 ? of other known pedestrian generators (e.g., school, civic/community center, senior housing, multifamily housing, regulatorily- Yes designated affordable housing)
If checked, please describe:
Corridor is adjacent to Hennepin Healthcare, North Central College, several large places of worship, and dozens of multifamily affordable housing buildings.
(Linit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

## Measure A: Multimodal Elements and Existing Connections

The project will improve the travel experience, safety, and security of transportation modes and address the safe integration of all modes. it is a unique corridor because of its direct freeway access while also running through areas of high pedestrian activity (e.g. Hennepin Healthcare, US Bank Stadium, higher education).

Pedestrians: The project will provide an improved pedestrian experience by providing boulevards throughout, enhance safety and security through pedestrian crossing treatments and better lighting, and create a more appealing and accessible corridor for accessing destinations along 7th St S and and elsewhere in downtown. The existing sidewalk is in poor condition with an inadequate boulevard and has multiple deficiencies including narrow or heaved sections, noncompliant pedestrian curb ramps, and conflict points at wide commercial driveways. 7th St S is an important east-west connection that provides direct access from l-94. This roadway provides service for several express and local transit routes throughout the day. According to Minneapolis' ADA Transition Plan, pedestrian curb ramps for three intersections are in "Fair" condition but need replacement to provide greater access for users. 7th St S is currently on the Pedestrian Priority Network as identified through the Transportation Action Plan and is identified as a High Injury Street in the Vision Zero Action Plan. Land uses within the project area include institutional and commercial destinations for residents and visitors approaching from l-94.

Bicyclists: 7th St S is on the All Ages and Abilities Network (Transportation Action Plan) as a connector or long-term low stress bikeway from 11th Ave S to Park Ave. There is an existing protected bikeway on 11th Ave $S$ and opportunities to improve access to/from 11th will be explored through the engagement and design processes.

Transit: Several all day and express route services utilize 7th St S for a total of 110 buses daily. Shelter areas will be improved through this project. The design of the project would improve ADA access to transit through sidewalk and curb ramp improvements and allow more space for people at transit stops

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit application that is operations only, check the box and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Park-and-Ride and other transit construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below.
Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment - Construction Projects

## 1. Public Involvement ( 20 Percent of Points)

Projects that have been through a public process with residents and other interested public entities are more likely than others to be successful. The project applicant must indicate that events and/or targeted outreach (e.g., surveys and other web-based input) were held to help identify the transportation problem, howthe potential solution was selected instead of other options, and the public involvement completed to date on the project. The focus of this section is on the opportunity for public input as opposed to the quality of input. NOTE: A written response is required and failure to respond will result in zero points.
Multiple types of targeted outreach efforts (such as meetings or online/mail outreach) specific to this project with the general public and partner agencies have been used to help identify the project need.
100\%
At least one meeting specific to this project with the general public has been
used to help identify the project need.
50\%
At least online/mail outreach effort specific to this project with the general public
has been used to help identify the project need.
50\%
No meeting or outreach specific to this project was conducted, but the project was identified through meetings and/or outreach related to a larger planning Yes effort.
25\%
No outreach has led to the selection of this project.
0\%
Describe the type(s) of outreach selected for this project (i.e., online or in-person meetings, surveys, demonstration projects), the method(s) used to announce outreach opportunities, and how many people participated. Include any public website links to outreach opportunities.
Response:
This project is being proposed because of findings and engagement around the Minneapolis Transportation Action Plan (TAP), Vision Zero Action Plan (VZAP), and community feedback from other venues. Those included focused efforts to engage traditionally underrepresented communities. For the TAP and VZAP, engagement included separate dialogues in-language with members from 7 communities and also included 30 direct engagement activities done in partnership with contracted community-based organizations that focused on reaching residents in public housing, East African community members, Latino community members, college students, high school students, and residents of traditionally under representative neighborhoods. Some of the key themes we have heard from equity-focused engagement include: desire to improve traffic safety, especially for pedestrians; improve transit access and experience; improve transportation options and make travel easy. Minneapolis has identified 7th St S as a High-Injury Street through the Vision Zero Program. The City will be building off current and past efforts in the area by implementing activities and approaches that have proven successful.

## (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

## 2. Layout ( 25 Percent of Points)

Layout includes proposed geometrics and existing and proposed right-of-way boundaries. A basic layout should include a base map (north arrow, scale; legend;* city and/or county limits; existing ROW, labeled; existing signals;* and bridge numbers*) and design data (proposed alignments; bike and/or roadway lane widths; shoulder width;* proposed signals;* and proposed ROW). An aerial photograph with a line showing the project?s termini does not suffice and will be awarded zero points. *If applicable
Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted jurisdictions (i.e.,
cities/counties/MnDOT. If a MnDOT trunk highway is impacted, approval by MnDOT must have occurred to receive full points. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.
100\%
A layout does not apply (signal replacement/signal timing, stand-alone streetscaping, minor intersection improvements). Applicants that are not certain whether a layout is required should contact Colleen Brown at MnDOT Metro State Aid? colleen.brown@state.mn.us.
100\%
For projects where MnDOT trunk highways are impacted and a MnDOT Staff Approved layout is required. Layout approved by the applicant and all impacted local jurisdictions (i.e., cities/counties), and layout review and approval by MnDOT is pending. A PDF of the layout must be attached along with letters from each jurisdiction to receive points.

Layout completed but not approved by all jurisdictions. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.

50\%
Layout has been started but is not complete. A PDF of the layout must be attached to receive points.
25\%
Layout has not been started
0\%
Attach Layout 1702657377208_20231102-LAYOUT-7TH.pdf
Please upload attachrent in PDF form
Additional Attachments
Please upload attachment in PDF form
3. Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known historic properties eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places are located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge
100\%
There are historical/archeological properties present but determination of ?no historic properties affected? is anticipated.
100\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of ?no adverse effect? anticipated

80\%
Historic/archeological property impacted; determination of ?adverse effect? anticipated
40\%
Unsure if there are any historic/archaeological properties in the project area.
0\%
Project is located on an identified historic bridge

## 4. Right-of-Way (25 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and MnDOT
agreement/limited-use permit either not required or all have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT
agreement/limited-use permit required - plat, legal descriptions, or official map complete
50\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels identified
25\%
Right-of-way, permanent or temporary easements, and/or MnDOT agreement/limited-use permit required - parcels not all identified 0\%
5. Railroad Involvement (15 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project or railroad Right-of-Way agreement is executed (include signature page, if applicable)

Yes
100\%
Signature Page
Please upload attachrent in PDF form
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun 50\%

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have not begun.
0\%

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness

Total Project Cost (entered in Project Cost Form)
Enter Amount of the Noise Walls:
Total Project Cost subtract the amount of the noise walls:
Enter amount of any outside, competitive funding:
Attach documentation of award:
Points Awarded in Previous Criteria
Cost Efectiveness
\$11,764,550.00
$\$ 0.00$
\$11,764,550.00
\$0.00

"Before" picture; existing conditions
172 KB


Project map
57 KB

## File Name

2024 Regional Solicitation Letter of Support_SIGNED.pdf
2024_RegionalSolicitation_AffordableHousing_7th.pdf
27 - LOS - Minneapolis - 7th Street Reconstruction.pdf
AffordableHousing_7thSt.pdf
Congestion_7th.pdf
Historic_7th.pdf
MT Regional Solicitation Letter signed. pdf
One pager 7th St S Roadway Modernization.pdf

| Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minneapolis letter of support | 2.4 MB |
| Affordable housing list | 156 KB |
| Hennepin County letter of support | 121 KB |
| Affordable housing | 2.3 MB |
| Congestion | 6.4 MB |
| Historic | 1.8 MB |
| Metro Transit letter of support | 512 KB |
| One pager | 274 KB |

Regional Economy

Results
WITHIN ONE MI of project:
Postsecondary Students: 4622
Totals by City:
Minneapolis
Population: 66843
Employment: 187914
Mfg and Dist Employment: 7315
Project Points


Postsecondary Education Centers $\square$ Job Concentration Centers
Project


Manfacturing/Distribution Centers


For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.as

## Transit Connections

Results
Transit with a Direct Connection to project: 113114143533553634654755784789 990192392494945
*indicates Planned Alignments
Transit Market areas: 1

| Project Points |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Project |  |
| $\square$ | Project Area |
| $\bullet$ | Active Stop |
| 0 | Arterial Bus Rapid Transit |
| 0 | 0.05 |

0
0
0
0
0Commuter Rail
O Dedicated Bus Rapid Transit Highway Bus Rapid Transit

## O Light Rail

Arterial Bus Rapid Transit
$\omega$

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
httpp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissite/notice.aspx

## Socio-Economic Conditions

Total of publicly subsidized rental housing units in census tracts within $1 / 2$ mile: 8987

Project located IN an Area of Concentrated Poverty.

$\square$ Area of Concentrated Poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gisssite/notice.aspx

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

M
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route | 7th Street | District | Metro | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin RP | n/a | End RP | n/a | Miles | 0.46 |
| Location | Minneapolis, Minnesota |  |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Alter lane configuration on 7th Street to 1 BAT Lane, 1 Thru-Lane, and 1 Dynamic Lane |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$10,075,820 | Installation Year | 2029 |
| Project Service Life | 30 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 1.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.53 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | CMF ID 2841 for converting four-lane roadway to three- <br> lane roadway with center turn lane (road diet) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.53 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes | Crash Type | All Intersection Related Crashes |
| Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.53 |  |  |  |
| 0.53 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.53 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| 0.33 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | CMF ID 2842 (see description above) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.33 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  | CMF ID 153 for prohibiting on-street parking. |
| 0.33 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  | Combined using CMF Additive Method from FHWA. |
| 0.33 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes | Crash Type | All Parking, Sideswipe, and Driveway Crashes |
| 0.33 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity | Crash Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,600,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 800,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 250,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 130,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 15,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

| Real Discount Rate: | $0.8 \%$ | Default |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Traffic Growth Rate: | $1.0 \%$ | Revised |
| Project Service Life: | 30 years | Revised |

## G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.47 | 0.16 | $\$ 125,333$ |
| B crashes | 2.08 | 0.69 | $\$ 173,333$ |
| C crashes | 1.14 | 0.38 | $\$ 49,400$ |
| PDO crashes | 5.10 | 1.70 | $\$ 25,500$ |

## H. Amortized Benefit

| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2029 | \$373,567 | \$373,567 | Total $=$ \$11,535,476 |
| 2030 | \$377,302 | \$374,308 |  |
| 2031 | \$381,075 | \$375,051 |  |
| 2032 | \$384,886 | \$375,795 |  |
| 2033 | \$388,735 | \$376,540 |  |
| 2034 | \$392,622 | \$377,287 |  |
| 2035 | \$396,549 | \$378,036 |  |
| 2036 | \$400,514 | \$378,786 |  |
| 2037 | \$404,519 | \$379,538 |  |
| 2038 | \$408,564 | \$380,291 |  |
| 2039 | \$412,650 | \$381,045 |  |
| 2040 | \$416,777 | \$381,801 |  |
| 2041 | \$420,944 | \$382,559 |  |
| 2042 | \$425,154 | \$383,318 |  |
| 2043 | \$429,405 | \$384,078 |  |
| 2044 | \$433,699 | \$384,840 |  |
| 2045 | \$438,036 | \$385,604 |  |
| 2046 | \$442,417 | \$386,369 |  |
| 2047 | \$446,841 | \$387,136 |  |
| 2048 | \$451,309 | \$387,904 |  |
| 2049 | \$455,822 | \$388,674 |  |
| 2050 | \$460,381 | \$389,445 |  |
| 2051 | \$464,984 | \$390,217 |  |
| 2052 | \$469,634 | \$390,992 |  |
| 2053 | \$474,331 | \$391,767 |  |
| 2054 | \$479,074 | \$392,545 |  |
| 2055 | \$483,865 | \$393,324 |  |
| 2056 | \$488,703 | \$394,104 | NOTE: |
| 2057 | \$493,590 | \$394,886 | This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which accounts |
| 2058 | \$498,526 | \$395,669 | for inflation. No further discounting is necessary. |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

## CMF \& Safety Summary

## CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 2841
CMF Name: Converting four-lane roadways to three-lane roadways with center
Description: Conversion of road segments from a four-lane to a three-lane cros

## Prior Condition: Four-lane undivided roadway

## Category: Roadway

Study ID: Comparison of empirical Bayes and full Bayes approaches for before-after road safety evaluations, Persaud et. al 2010

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Star Quality Rating |
| Star Quality Rating: | 5 Stars |
|  |  |
|  | Crash Modification Factor (CMF) |
| Value: | 0.53 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: |  |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 0.02 |


|  | Crash Reduction Factor |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Value: | 47 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: |  |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 2 |

## Applicability

| Crash Type: | All |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crash Severity: | All |
| Roadway Types: | Not Specified |
| Minimum Number of Lanes: | 4 |
| Maximum Number of Lanes: | 4 |
| Number of Lanes Direction: |  |
| Number of Lanes Comment: |  |
| Road Division Type: | Undivided |
| Minimum Speed Limit: |  |
| Maximum Speed Limit: |  |
| Speed Unit: |  |
| Speed Limit Comment: |  |
| Area Type: | Urban and suburban |
| Traffic Volume: |  |
| Average Traffic Volume: |  |
| Time of Day: | All |
|  | If countermeasure is intersection-based. |
| Intersection Type: |  |
| Intersection Geometry: |  |
| Traffic Control: |  |
| Major Road Traffic Volume: |  |
| Minor Road Traffic Volume: |  |

Average Major Road Volume:

Average Minor Road Volume:

## Development Details

| Date Range of Data Used: | 1982 to 2004 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Municipality: |  |
| State: |  |
| Country: |  |
| Type of Methodology Used: | Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes |

## Other Details

| Included in HSM: | No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Date Added to Clearinghouse: | Mar 21, 2011 |
| Comments: | When this CMF was initially entered in the Clearinghouse, it was incorrectly <br> entered as a CMF of 0.47. In March 2015, this was corrected to be 0.53, as <br> presented in the original paper. In February 2021, the area type for this CMF <br> was changed from suburban to urban/suburban to account for the fact that the <br> treatment sites were largely located in small urban areas. |

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the
University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S.
Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The
information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.

CRASH MODIFICATION FACTORS CLEARINGHOUSE

## CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 153
CMF Name: Prohibit on-street parking

## Description:

## Prior Condition: No Prior Condition(s)

## Category: On-street parking

Study ID: Handbook of Road Safety Measures, Elvik, R. and Vaa, T. 2004

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Star Quality Rating |
| Star Quality Rating: | 4 Stars |
|  | Crash Modification Factor (CMF) |
| Value: | 0.8 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: | 0.05 |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 0.03 |
| Value: | 20 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: | 5 |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 3 |
|  |  |

## Applicability

| Crash Type: | All |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crash Severity: | A (serious injury), B (minor injury), C (possible injury) |
| Roadway Types: | Minor Arterial |
| Minimum Number of Lanes: |  |
| Maximum Number of Lanes: |  |
| Number of Lanes Direction: |  |
| Number of Lanes Comment: |  |
| Road Division Type: |  |
| Minimum Speed Limit: |  |
| Maximum Speed Limit: |  |
| Speed Unit: |  |
| Speed Limit Comment: |  |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| Traffic Volume: |  |
| Average Traffic Volume: |  |
| Time of Day: |  |
|  | If countermeasure is intersection-based. |
| Intersection Type: |  |
| Intersection Geometry: |  |
| Traffic Control: |  |
| Major Road Traffic Volume: |  |
| Minor Road Traffic Volume: |  |

Average Major Road Volume:

Average Minor Road Volume:

## Development Details

| Date Range of Data Used: |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: |  |
| State: |  |
| Country: |  |
| Type of Methodology Used: | Meta-analysis |


|  | Other Details |
| ---: | :--- |
| Included in HSM: | No |
| Date Added to Clearinghouse: | Dec 01, 2009 |
| Comments: |  |
|  |  |

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.

| Incioe | intessetion | Semment | Clude | Notes | молт | dar | year | day of wee | нour | Severrir | Manner of cousion | coulsion-Allant | direction 1 | crash manuever 1 | direction 2 | Crash manuever 2 | UTMX | UTMY | Lattude | LONGITUDE | date time | colusion dagram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{806525}$ | NTT1 |  | Ves |  | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ |  | 2021 | Tue | ${ }_{17}^{18}$ | Poo | ${ }^{\text {Front to Rear }}$ | Rear End | Norathound | hicle Stoped di stale in in Roadway | Northbound | Sing forward | ${ }^{4793886.1334}$ | ${ }^{4979891.98}$ | 24.97217705 | -9326142774 | 2021/2/2091.18:78 | 2021/2/2099.18.18.0.c.c. |
| ${ }^{806025}$ | NT1 |  | Yes | Poice Pusut | 4 | 3 | 2020 | ${ }_{\text {fin }}^{\text {fit }}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{\text {Poo }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Front to Rear } \\ \text { front to Rear }}}{ }$ |  | Northbound Westbund | Moving forward | Northbound | Venicle Stopped or stalle in Roadw | ${ }_{4}^{479392920.11 .608}$ | ${ }_{\text {4979901.852 }}^{497971078}$ | 4.9 .9726569 44.975533 | ${ }_{-93.26135298}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | Police Pursu | 3 |  | 2021 | Sat | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |  | Rear End |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.9.9755533 44.97558824 | -93.25298386 | 2021703120:00:49 |  |
| (1037335 | (NT44 |  | Yes |  | ${ }_{4}^{12}$ | ${ }_{28}^{4}$ | 2022 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sun } \\ \text { Thu }}}$ | 10 14 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | Ange | Angle | Suthtound | Moung foward | Westbund | Mowinf fumard | 479714.0047 | 4979715.563 | 44.990598841 | -93.5572622 | ${ }^{2022}$ | 㑑 |
| ${ }_{\substack{1035646 \\ \text { 1066135 }}}$ | NT4 | SEGA | Yes | W8 | 10 | 25 | 2022 | Tue | 5 | poo | Unkown | Other |  |  |  |  | 47971.8045 | 4977721.793 | 44.9706546 | ${ }_{\text {a }} 93.2357212477$ | 2027/1/25.0.5:518 | $\frac{2022 / 10 / 5.505 \cdot 1.180}{2020}$ |
|  |  |  | ves |  |  | 12 | 2022 | ${ }_{\text {sat }}^{\text {sat }}$ | ${ }_{3}^{10}$ | poo |  |  | we | Vehicle Stooned Mor forsward |  | 退, Entering or leaung a Parke stall | ${ }_{4}^{49797475.527}$ | 49797068.729 | ${ }^{44.9975051894}$ | -93,52373606 | 2022121010.0.50 |  |
| ${ }_{1016565}$ | NT4 |  | yes |  | ${ }_{4}^{12}$ | 12 | 2022 | ${ }_{\text {fri }}^{\text {sid }}$ | 11 | ${ }_{\text {PDO }}$ | Angle |  | Westo | Moving forward | Southbound |  | 479713.9369 | 497970.028 | 44.97053058 | -93.25723826 | 2022/04/08-11 | 2022/04/188-1:100-C.C-1 |
| ${ }_{\text {c }}^{833554}$ | NT4 |  | Yes |  | 9 | 13 | 2202 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Mon } \\ \text { Mon }}}^{\text {M }}$ | 23 20 | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{\text { PDO }}$ | Ancle |  |  |  |  |  | 202 | ${ }_{497979710}^{4901}$ | 4.9 .97548824 44.9055631 | $\xrightarrow{-933.25730455}$ | (ex |  |
| 940399 841320 | NT4 |  | yes |  | 9 | 17 | 2020 | ${ }_{\text {Thu }}^{\text {Mon }}$ | ${ }_{22}^{20}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Poo }}{\text { a }}$ | Angle | Sideswie | Weestoond | Changing lanes | Northoound | Moung fowerd | ${ }_{4}^{47997073.3714}$ | ${ }^{\text {4979710.901 }}$ | ${ }^{44.9 .975556631}$ | ${ }_{\text {-9, } 932573346688}$ | 2020/0917-22:23 | c.D |
| 1045099 |  | SEGA | ves | r struck parked <br> vehic | 9 | 11 | 2022 | sun | 22 | c |  | Rear End | Westbo | Moving forward | Westbound | Parked, Entering of Leasing a Parked stall | 47900.8642 | 4979712.316 | 98 | -93.25733312 | 2027/09/1-22:40 | 2022/09/11-22:40-DIC.C.D |
| ${ }_{\text {lol }}^{100918}$ | ${ }_{\text {NT }}$ |  | res Yes res |  | 2 | 26 22 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\text { Mouninf foruard }}_{\text {Mouinf forward }}$ |  | Vehicle Stoped or or Staled in Roadway |  |  | 4.9 .9711847 44.9725491 | -93.25879414 | 2027/2/2/6-1: | $\frac{2022 / 02 / 26-11: 10-1-C-S}{2022 / 01 / 22-1436-1-C-D}$ |
| ${ }_{838332}^{100779}$ |  |  | Ves | ng Way Oriver | ${ }_{9}^{1}$ |  | ${ }_{2020}^{2022}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Sat } \\ \text { Tue }}}$ | ${ }_{11}^{14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDO } \\ & \text { PDO } \end{aligned}$ | Angle Angle | ${ }_{\text {angle }}^{\text {Angle }}$ |  | Moving fowerd |  | Moving Forward | 479396.7346 479607.1581 |  |  | -93,28882016 | ${ }^{\text {cosen }}$ |  |
| 975835 |  | SEGA | ves | er pulled out from | 11 | 26 | 2021 | ${ }_{\text {fii }}$ |  | poo | Other | Angle | Northbound | Moving forward | westbound | Moving formard | 479611.4698 | 497978.661 | 44.9712178 | -93,2585677 | 2021/11/26-99:14 | 2021/1/1/6-09:14L |

# AM Existing Conditions 

251: 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 | 1728 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.16 |
| Stops (\#) | 159 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 284 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 24 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 24 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 25 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 620 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 857 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 29 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 40 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 21.4 | NA | NA | 20.4 | 21.2 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 2.83 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.55 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.66 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction | 1724 | 285 | 252 | 2261 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 13 | 31 | 38 | 18 |
| Control Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Queue Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 13 | 31 | 39 | 18 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 6 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.64 | 0.63 |
| Stops / Veh | 1057 | 202 | 162 | 1421 |
| Stops (\#) | 15 | 7 | 6 | 12 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 15 | 3 | 4 | 22 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 229 | 22 | 20 | 270 |
| Distance Traveled | 19 | 4 | 3 | 26 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 12.1 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 10.4 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 1.32 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 1.82 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.35 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.42 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1242 | 167 | 290 | 1699 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 | 22 | 15 | 16 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 | 24 | 16 | 16 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.44 |
| Stops (\#) | 546 | 88 | 113 | 747 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 17 | 8 | 10 | 16 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 17 | 2 | 2 | 21 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 290 | 13 | 23 | 326 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 19 | 2 | 2 | 23 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 15.2 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 14.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.33 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 1.62 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.32 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.38 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 774: Park Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1246 | 912 | 2158 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 46 | 33 | 41 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 9 | 1 | 5 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 55 | 34 | 46 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 19 | 9 | 28 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.94 |
| Stops (\#) | 1219 | 799 | 2018 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 23 | 11 | 34 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 97 | 71 | 168 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 23 | 13 | 36 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 4.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.61 | 0.88 | 2.48 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.48 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.58 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Zone P Totals

| Number of Intersections | 4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 20 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 22 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 48 |
| Stops / /eh | 0.57 |
| Stops (\#) | 4470 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 14 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 113 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 1620 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 125 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 12.9 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 8.76 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.70 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 2.03 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 |
| Performance Index | 60.5 |


| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 44 | ${ }^{1}$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.865 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3019 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3019 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 401 | 401 |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3093 |  |  | 1923 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 84.4 |  |  | 43.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.94 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | R NA | L NA | R NA | L NA |  |
| Median Width(ft) | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) |  | 15 | 9 | 30 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Thru | Left | Right | Left |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 100 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 6 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA | Prot | Prot | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | $2!$ | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% | , | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | *中 ${ }^{\text {W }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |  |  | 4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 50 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.981 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.987 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4188 | 0 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.987 |  | 0.529 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4188 | 0 | 840 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1233 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 409 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.0 |  |  | 19.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.73 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 | 1132 | 227 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1836 | 0 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 28.2 | 28.2 |  |  | 28.2 | 28.2 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 75.0 | 75.0 |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 68.2\% | 68.2\% |  | 31.8\% | 31.8\% |  |  | 31.8\% | 31.8\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 69.0 | 69.0 |  | 28.8 | 28.8 |  |  | 28.8 | 28.8 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |



Splits and Phases: 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S



Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Existing Conditions
Alliant Engineering, Inc
Synchro 11 Report
Page 6

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | ¢个號 |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 80 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length ( ft ) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |  | 0.95 |  |  |  |  | 0.91 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4245 | 0 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.539 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4186 | 0 | 813 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1231 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 411 |  |  | 1233 |  |  | 407 |  |  | 412 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 11.2 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 41 |  | 43 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.72 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | , | 180 | 1073 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | , | 223 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1321 | 0 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | 1 veh | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector ( t ) |  |  |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | , | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) |  |  |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type |  |  |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.7 | 64.7 |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.1\% | 59.1\% |  | 40.9\% | 40.9\% |  |  | 40.9\% | 40.9\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 58.8 | 58.8 |  | 38.8 | 38.8 |  |  | 38.8 | 38.8 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  | -1.6 |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |  |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |
| lotal Lost Time (s) 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 <br> Lead/Lag  4.6   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | Max | Max |  |  | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  |  | 60.4 |  | 40.4 | 40.4 |  |  | 40.4 | 40.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.55 |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.57 |  | 0.36 | 0.20 |  |  | 0.38 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  | 23.7 | 19.6 |  |  | 18.5 | 10.0 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 5.1 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  | 28.8 | 19.6 |  |  | 18.5 | 12.5 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  | C | B |  |  | B | B |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 15.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 58 ( $53 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.1
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


Timings
579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | 性中 |  |  | ¢中4 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 | 160 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4288 | 0 | 0 | 4272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4288 | 0 | 0 | 4209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 165 |  |  | 411 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 410 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 4.5 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 300 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1363 | 0 | 0 | 1009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 28.3 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 45.0 |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  |  | 40．9\％ |  | 59．1\％ | 59．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 38.7 |  | 59.5 | 59.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.3 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |




# AM Build Conditions 

251: 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 | 1728 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.32 |
| Stops (\#) | 420 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 545 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 23 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 27 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 37 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 620 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 857 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 31 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 43 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 19.8 | NA | NA | 20.4 | 20.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 3.00 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.69 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction | 1724 | 285 | 252 | 2261 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 39 | 29 | 38 |
| Control Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 1 | 0 | 10 | 2 |
| Queue Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 41 | 39 | 39 | 40 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 20 | 3 | 3 | 25 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0.78 |
| Stops / Veh | 1333 | 220 | 216 | 1769 |
| Stops (\#) | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 29 | 4 | 4 | 36 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 229 | 22 | 20 | 270 |
| Distance Traveled | 30 | 4 | 4 | 38 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 7.7 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 7.2 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 2.08 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 2.62 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.51 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.61 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1242 | 167 | 290 | 1699 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 | 18 | 16 | 16 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 | 18 | 16 | 16 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 0.47 |
| Stops (\#) | 485 | 84 | 229 | 798 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 17 | 9 | 10 | 16 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 17 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 290 | 13 | 23 | 326 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 19 | 2 | 3 | 23 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 15.4 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 14.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.31 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 1.62 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.32 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.38 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 774: Park Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1246 | 912 | 2158 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 | 17 | 18 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 7 | 4 | 11 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.55 |
| Stops (\#) | 595 | 587 | 1182 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 11 | 7 | 18 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 97 | 71 | 168 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 12 | 9 | 20 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.81 | 0.60 | 1.41 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.27 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.33 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Zone P Totals

| Number of Intersections | 4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 1 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 22 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 47 |
| Stops / /eh | 0.55 |
| Stops ( (\#) | 4294 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 15 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 112 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 1620 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 124 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 13.1 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 8.65 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.68 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 2.00 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 6 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 |
| Performance Index | 58.8 |


| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.865 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1589 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1589 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 309 | 309 |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3093 |  |  | 1923 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 84.4 |  |  | 52.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.94 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | R NA | L NA | R NA | LNA |  |
| Median Width(ft) | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) |  | 15 | 9 | 30 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Thru | Left | Right | Left |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 100 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 6 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA | Prot | Prot | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | $2!$ | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |

Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Build Alt 2 Conditions
Synchro 11 Report
Alliant Engineering, Inc
Page 1

| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 21.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 66.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 66.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $60.5 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 61.0 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 61.0 | 23.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  |  | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | None | C-Max | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 99.3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 99.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.90 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.90 |  |
| V/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.51 |  |
| Control Delay | 7.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |
| Total Delay | 8.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.5 | A |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 8.4 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary
Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 67 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWWB, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 118.2\%
ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period (min) 15
! Phase conflict between lane groups.
Splits and Phases: 251: 7th St S


Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Build Alt 2 Conditions

| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | 2 ! | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 21.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 66.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 66.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 60.5\% | 14.1\% | 14.1\% | 60.5\% | 25\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 61.0 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 61.0 | 23.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  |  | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | None | C-Max | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 104.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 104.5 | 0.0 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Skip | Skip | Coord | Skip |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 104.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 104.5 | 0.0 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Skip | Skip | Coord | Skip |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 67 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWWB, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
! Phase conflict between lane groups.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | * | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |  | 4 |  |  | 个 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 150 | 50 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  | 0.947 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2973 | 1350 | 0 | 1571 | 0 | 0 | 1505 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.740 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2973 | 1320 | 0 | 1176 | 0 | 0 | 1505 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1233 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 409 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 33.6 |  |  | 19.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.73 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 | 1132 | 227 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1609 | 227 | 0 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.3 | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |  |  | 40.6\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.3 | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 |  |  | 38.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 |  |


| 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | , | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | -1.5 |  |  | -1.5 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.7 |  |  | 4.7 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Recall Mode |  |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max |  |  | Max |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.3 | 59.3 |  | 40.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  | 0.54 | 0.54 |  | 0.36 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.29 |  | 0.78 |  |  | 0.56 |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |  | 44.7 | 5.3 |  | 38.9 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  | 1.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 10.2 |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |  | 45.8 | 5.3 |  | 39.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |
| LOS |  |  |  | D | A |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  | 40.8 |  |  | 39.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $55(50 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 40.3 |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 92.8\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S


|  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 4 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.5 | 64.5 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 13.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 55 ( $50 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 80 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 0.87 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  | 0.91 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2998 | 1350 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.537 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2953 | 1181 | 810 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1231 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (tt) |  | 411 |  |  | 1233 |  |  | 407 |  |  | 412 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 11.2 |  |  | 33.6 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 41 |  | 43 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.72 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 1073 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1253 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | 1 veh | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) |  |  |  | 20 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type |  |  |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftrightarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.7 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.3 | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |  |  | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.1 | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 |  |  | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  | -1.6 | 0.0 | -1.6 | -1.6 |  |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  |  | 4.6 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max |  |  | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  |  | 60.7 | 59.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |  |  | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.77 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.39 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.6 | 6.3 | 20.2 | 16.5 |  |  | 18.7 | 12.9 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.8 | 6.3 | 20.2 | 16.5 |  |  | 18.7 | 12.9 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | B | A | C | B |  |  | B | B |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 18.3 |  |  | 16.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |

Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 69 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.8
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.4\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


|  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 4 |  |  | 8 |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.7 | 64.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 69 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $4$ | $4$ | 9 | $p$ | （ | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | 中4 | F゙ |  | ¢个4 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 150 | 160 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  | 0.94 |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3019 | 1350 | 0 | 4272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3019 | 1265 | 0 | 4209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 165 |  |  | 411 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 410 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 4.5 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 300 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 0 | 1009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 64.8 | 64.8 | 44.0 | 44.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  |  | 59．1\％ | 59．1\％ | 40．9\％ | 40．9\％ |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 | 39.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |

Scenario 1 7th \＆8th St BAT Lane Project 7：15 am 05／19／2021 AM Peak－Build Alt 2 Conditions


|  | $\downarrow$ | 4 | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBT |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.8 | 64.8 | 44.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.0 | 65.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.1\% | 59.1\% | 40.9\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | C-Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 18 (16\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

M
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route | 7th Street | District | Metro | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin RP | n/a | End RP | n/a | Miles | 0.46 |
| Location | Minneapolis, Minnesota |  |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Alter lane configuration on 7th Street to 1 BAT Lane, 1 Thru-Lane, and 1 Dynamic Lane |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$10,075,820 | Installation Year | 2029 |
| Project Service Life | 30 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 1.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.53 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | CMF ID 2841 for converting four-lane roadway to three- <br> lane roadway with center turn lane (road diet) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.53 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes | Crash Type | All Intersection Related Crashes |
| Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.53 |  |  |  |
| 0.53 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.53 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| 0.33 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | CMF ID 2842 (see description above) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.33 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  | CMF ID 153 for prohibiting on-street parking. |
| 0.33 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  | Combined using CMF Additive Method from FHWA. |
| 0.33 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes | Crash Type | All Parking, Sideswipe, and Driveway Crashes |
| 0.33 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity | Crash Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,600,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 800,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 250,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 130,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 15,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

| Real Discount Rate: | $0.8 \%$ | Default |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Traffic Growth Rate: | $1.0 \%$ | Revised |
| Project Service Life: | 30 years | Revised |

## G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.47 | 0.16 | $\$ 125,333$ |
| B crashes | 2.08 | 0.69 | $\$ 173,333$ |
| C crashes | 1.14 | 0.38 | $\$ 49,400$ |
| PDO crashes | 5.10 | 1.70 | $\$ 25,500$ |

## H. Amortized Benefit

| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2029 | \$373,567 | \$373,567 | Total $=$ \$11,535,476 |
| 2030 | \$377,302 | \$374,308 |  |
| 2031 | \$381,075 | \$375,051 |  |
| 2032 | \$384,886 | \$375,795 |  |
| 2033 | \$388,735 | \$376,540 |  |
| 2034 | \$392,622 | \$377,287 |  |
| 2035 | \$396,549 | \$378,036 |  |
| 2036 | \$400,514 | \$378,786 |  |
| 2037 | \$404,519 | \$379,538 |  |
| 2038 | \$408,564 | \$380,291 |  |
| 2039 | \$412,650 | \$381,045 |  |
| 2040 | \$416,777 | \$381,801 |  |
| 2041 | \$420,944 | \$382,559 |  |
| 2042 | \$425,154 | \$383,318 |  |
| 2043 | \$429,405 | \$384,078 |  |
| 2044 | \$433,699 | \$384,840 |  |
| 2045 | \$438,036 | \$385,604 |  |
| 2046 | \$442,417 | \$386,369 |  |
| 2047 | \$446,841 | \$387,136 |  |
| 2048 | \$451,309 | \$387,904 |  |
| 2049 | \$455,822 | \$388,674 |  |
| 2050 | \$460,381 | \$389,445 |  |
| 2051 | \$464,984 | \$390,217 |  |
| 2052 | \$469,634 | \$390,992 |  |
| 2053 | \$474,331 | \$391,767 |  |
| 2054 | \$479,074 | \$392,545 |  |
| 2055 | \$483,865 | \$393,324 |  |
| 2056 | \$488,703 | \$394,104 | NOTE: |
| 2057 | \$493,590 | \$394,886 | This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which accounts |
| 2058 | \$498,526 | \$395,669 | for inflation. No further discounting is necessary. |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

## CMF \& Safety Summary

## CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 2841
CMF Name: Converting four-lane roadways to three-lane roadways with center
Description: Conversion of road segments from a four-lane to a three-lane cros

## Prior Condition: Four-lane undivided roadway

## Category: Roadway

Study ID: Comparison of empirical Bayes and full Bayes approaches for before-after road safety evaluations, Persaud et. al 2010

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Star Quality Rating |
| Star Quality Rating: | 5 Stars |
|  |  |
|  | Crash Modification Factor (CMF) |
| Value: | 0.53 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: |  |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 0.02 |


|  | Crash Reduction Factor |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Value: | 47 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: |  |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 2 |

## Applicability

| Crash Type: | All |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crash Severity: | All |
| Roadway Types: | Not Specified |
| Minimum Number of Lanes: | 4 |
| Maximum Number of Lanes: | 4 |
| Number of Lanes Direction: |  |
| Number of Lanes Comment: |  |
| Road Division Type: | Undivided |
| Minimum Speed Limit: |  |
| Maximum Speed Limit: |  |
| Speed Unit: |  |
| Speed Limit Comment: |  |
| Area Type: | Urban and suburban |
| Traffic Volume: |  |
| Average Traffic Volume: |  |
| Time of Day: | All |
|  | If countermeasure is intersection-based. |
| Intersection Type: |  |
| Intersection Geometry: |  |
| Traffic Control: |  |
| Major Road Traffic Volume: |  |
| Minor Road Traffic Volume: |  |

Average Major Road Volume:

Average Minor Road Volume:

## Development Details

| Date Range of Data Used: | 1982 to 2004 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Municipality: |  |
| State: |  |
| Country: |  |
| Type of Methodology Used: | Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes |

## Other Details

| Included in HSM: | No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Date Added to Clearinghouse: | Mar 21, 2011 |
| Comments: | When this CMF was initially entered in the Clearinghouse, it was incorrectly <br> entered as a CMF of 0.47. In March 2015, this was corrected to be 0.53, as <br> presented in the original paper. In February 2021, the area type for this CMF <br> was changed from suburban to urban/suburban to account for the fact that the <br> treatment sites were largely located in small urban areas. |

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the
University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S.
Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The
information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.

CRASH MODIFICATION FACTORS CLEARINGHOUSE

## CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 153
CMF Name: Prohibit on-street parking

## Description:

## Prior Condition: No Prior Condition(s)

## Category: On-street parking

Study ID: Handbook of Road Safety Measures, Elvik, R. and Vaa, T. 2004

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Star Quality Rating |
| Star Quality Rating: | 4 Stars |
|  | Crash Modification Factor (CMF) |
| Value: | 0.8 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: | 0.05 |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 0.03 |
| Value: | 20 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: | 5 |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 3 |
|  |  |

## Applicability

| Crash Type: | All |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crash Severity: | A (serious injury), B (minor injury), C (possible injury) |
| Roadway Types: | Minor Arterial |
| Minimum Number of Lanes: |  |
| Maximum Number of Lanes: |  |
| Number of Lanes Direction: |  |
| Number of Lanes Comment: |  |
| Road Division Type: |  |
| Minimum Speed Limit: |  |
| Maximum Speed Limit: |  |
| Speed Unit: |  |
| Speed Limit Comment: |  |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| Traffic Volume: |  |
| Average Traffic Volume: |  |
| Time of Day: |  |
|  | If countermeasure is intersection-based. |
| Intersection Type: |  |
| Intersection Geometry: |  |
| Traffic Control: |  |
| Major Road Traffic Volume: |  |
| Minor Road Traffic Volume: |  |

Average Major Road Volume:

Average Minor Road Volume:

## Development Details

| Date Range of Data Used: |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: |  |
| State: |  |
| Country: |  |
| Type of Methodology Used: | Meta-analysis |


|  | Other Details |
| ---: | :--- |
| Included in HSM: | No |
| Date Added to Clearinghouse: | Dec 01, 2009 |
| Comments: |  |
|  |  |

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.

| Incioe | intessetion | Semment | Clude | Notes | молт | dar | year | day of wee | нour | Severrir | Manner of cousion | coulsion-Allant | direction 1 | crash manuever 1 | direction 2 | Crash manuever 2 | UTMX | UTMY | Lattude | LONGITUDE | date time | colusion dagram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{806525}$ | NTT1 |  | Ves |  | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ |  | 2021 | Tue | ${ }_{17}^{18}$ | Poo | ${ }^{\text {Front to Rear }}$ | Rear End | Norathound | hicle Stoped di stale in in Roadway | Northbound | Sing forward | ${ }^{4793886.1334}$ | ${ }^{4979891.98}$ | 24.97217705 | -9326142774 | 2021/2/2091.18:78 | 2021/2/2099.18.18.0.c.c. |
| ${ }^{806025}$ | NT1 |  | Yes | Poice Pusut | 4 | 3 | 2020 | ${ }_{\text {fin }}^{\text {fit }}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{\text {Poo }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Front to Rear } \\ \text { front to Rear }}}{ }$ |  | Northbound Westbund | Moving forward | Northbound | Venicle Stopped or stalle in Roadw | ${ }_{4}^{479392920.11 .608}$ | ${ }_{\text {4979901.852 }}^{497971078}$ | 4.9 .9726569 44.975533 | ${ }_{-93.26135298}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | Police Pursu | 3 |  | 2021 | Sat | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |  | Rear End |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.9.9755533 44.97558824 | -93.25298386 | 2021703120:00:49 |  |
| (1037335 | (NT44 |  | Yes |  | ${ }_{4}^{12}$ | ${ }_{28}^{4}$ | 2022 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sun } \\ \text { Thu }}}$ | 10 14 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | Ange | Angle | Suthtound | Moung foward | Westbund | Mowinf fumard | 479714.0047 | 4979715.563 | 44.990598841 | -93.5572622 | ${ }^{2022}$ | 㑑 |
| ${ }_{\substack{1035646 \\ \text { 1066135 }}}$ | NT4 | SEGA | Yes | W8 | 10 | 25 | 2022 | Tue | 5 | poo | Unkown | Other |  |  |  |  | 47971.8045 | 4977721.793 | 44.9706546 | ${ }_{\text {a }} 93.2357212477$ | 2027/1/25.0.5:518 | $\frac{2022 / 10 / 5.505 \cdot 1.180}{2020}$ |
|  |  |  | ves |  |  | 12 | 2022 | ${ }_{\text {sat }}^{\text {sat }}$ | ${ }_{3}^{10}$ | poo |  |  | we | Vehicle Stooned Mor forsward |  | 退, Entering or leaung a Parke stall | ${ }_{4}^{49797475.527}$ | 49797068.729 | ${ }^{44.9975051894}$ | -93,52373606 | 2022121010.0.50 |  |
| ${ }_{1016565}$ | NT4 |  | yes |  | ${ }_{4}^{12}$ | 12 | 2022 | ${ }_{\text {fri }}^{\text {sid }}$ | 11 | ${ }_{\text {PDO }}$ | Angle |  | Westo | Moving forward | Southbound |  | 479713.9369 | 497970.028 | 44.97053058 | -93.25723826 | 2022/04/08-11 | 2022/04/188-1:100-C.C-1 |
| ${ }_{\text {c }}^{833554}$ | NT4 |  | Yes |  | 9 | 13 | 2202 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Mon } \\ \text { Mon }}}^{\text {M }}$ | 23 20 | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{\text { PDO }}$ | Ancle |  |  |  |  |  | 202 | ${ }_{497979710}^{4901}$ | 4.9 .97548824 44.9055631 | $\xrightarrow{-933.25730455}$ | (ex |  |
| 940399 841320 | NT4 |  | yes |  | 9 | 17 | 2020 | ${ }_{\text {Thu }}^{\text {Mon }}$ | ${ }_{22}^{20}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Poo }}{\text { a }}$ | Angle | Sideswie | Weestoond | Changing lanes | Northoound | Moung fowerd | ${ }_{4}^{47997073.3714}$ | ${ }^{\text {4979710.901 }}$ | ${ }^{44.9 .975556631}$ | ${ }_{\text {-9, } 932573346688}$ | 2020/0917-22:23 | c.D |
| 1045099 |  | SEGA | ves | r struck parked <br> vehic | 9 | 11 | 2022 | sun | 22 | c |  | Rear End | Westbo | Moving forward | Westbound | Parked, Entering of Leasing a Parked stall | 47900.8642 | 4979712.316 | 98 | -93.25733312 | 2027/09/1-22:40 | 2022/09/11-22:40-DIC.C.D |
| ${ }_{\text {lol }}^{100918}$ | ${ }_{\text {NT }}$ |  | res Yes res |  | 2 | 26 22 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\text { Mouninf foruard }}_{\text {Mouinf forward }}$ |  | Vehicle Stoped or or Staled in Roadway |  |  | 4.9 .9711847 44.9725491 | -93.25879414 | 2027/2/2/6-1: | $\frac{2022 / 02 / 26-11: 10-1-C-S}{2022 / 01 / 22-1436-1-C-D}$ |
| ${ }_{838332}^{100779}$ |  |  | Ves | ng Way Oriver | ${ }_{9}^{1}$ |  | ${ }_{2020}^{2022}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Sat } \\ \text { Tue }}}$ | ${ }_{11}^{14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDO } \\ & \text { PDO } \end{aligned}$ | Angle Angle | ${ }_{\text {angle }}^{\text {Angle }}$ |  | Moving fowerd |  | Moving Forward | 479396.7346 479607.1581 |  |  | -93,28882016 | ${ }^{\text {cosen }}$ |  |
| 975835 |  | SEGA | ves | er pulled out from | 11 | 26 | 2021 | ${ }_{\text {fii }}$ |  | poo | Other | Angle | Northbound | Moving forward | westbound | Moving formard | 479611.4698 | 497978.661 | 44.9712178 | -93,2585677 | 2021/11/26-99:14 | 2021/1/1/6-09:14L |

# AM Existing Conditions 

251: 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 | 1728 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.16 |
| Stops (\#) | 159 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 284 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 24 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 24 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 25 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 620 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 857 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 29 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 40 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 21.4 | NA | NA | 20.4 | 21.2 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 2.83 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.55 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.66 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction | 1724 | 285 | 252 | 2261 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 13 | 31 | 38 | 18 |
| Control Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Queue Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 13 | 31 | 39 | 18 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 6 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.64 | 0.63 |
| Stops / Veh | 1057 | 202 | 162 | 1421 |
| Stops (\#) | 15 | 7 | 6 | 12 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 15 | 3 | 4 | 22 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 229 | 22 | 20 | 270 |
| Distance Traveled | 19 | 4 | 3 | 26 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 12.1 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 10.4 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 1.32 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 1.82 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.35 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.42 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1242 | 167 | 290 | 1699 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 | 22 | 15 | 16 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 | 24 | 16 | 16 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.44 |
| Stops (\#) | 546 | 88 | 113 | 747 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 17 | 8 | 10 | 16 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 17 | 2 | 2 | 21 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 290 | 13 | 23 | 326 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 19 | 2 | 2 | 23 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 15.2 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 14.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.33 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 1.62 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.32 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.38 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 774: Park Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1246 | 912 | 2158 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 46 | 33 | 41 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 9 | 1 | 5 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 55 | 34 | 46 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 19 | 9 | 28 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.94 |
| Stops (\#) | 1219 | 799 | 2018 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 23 | 11 | 34 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 97 | 71 | 168 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 23 | 13 | 36 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 4.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.61 | 0.88 | 2.48 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.48 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.58 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Zone P Totals

| Number of Intersections | 4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 20 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 22 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 48 |
| Stops / /eh | 0.57 |
| Stops (\#) | 4470 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 14 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 113 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 1620 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 125 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 12.9 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 8.76 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.70 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 2.03 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 |
| Performance Index | 60.5 |


| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 44 | ${ }^{1}$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.865 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3019 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3019 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 401 | 401 |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3093 |  |  | 1923 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 84.4 |  |  | 43.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.94 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | R NA | L NA | R NA | L NA |  |
| Median Width(ft) | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) |  | 15 | 9 | 30 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Thru | Left | Right | Left |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 100 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 6 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA | Prot | Prot | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | $2!$ | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% | , | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | *中 ${ }^{\text {W }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |  |  | 4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 50 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.981 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.987 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4188 | 0 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.987 |  | 0.529 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4188 | 0 | 840 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1233 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 409 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.0 |  |  | 19.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.73 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 | 1132 | 227 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1836 | 0 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 28.2 | 28.2 |  |  | 28.2 | 28.2 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 75.0 | 75.0 |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 68.2\% | 68.2\% |  | 31.8\% | 31.8\% |  |  | 31.8\% | 31.8\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 69.0 | 69.0 |  | 28.8 | 28.8 |  |  | 28.8 | 28.8 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |



Splits and Phases: 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S



Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Existing Conditions
Alliant Engineering, Inc
Synchro 11 Report
Page 6

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | ¢个號 |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 80 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length ( ft ) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |  | 0.95 |  |  |  |  | 0.91 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4245 | 0 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.539 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4186 | 0 | 813 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1231 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 411 |  |  | 1233 |  |  | 407 |  |  | 412 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 11.2 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 41 |  | 43 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.72 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | , | 180 | 1073 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | , | 223 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1321 | 0 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | 1 veh | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector ( t ) |  |  |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | , | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) |  |  |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type |  |  |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.7 | 64.7 |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.1\% | 59.1\% |  | 40.9\% | 40.9\% |  |  | 40.9\% | 40.9\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 58.8 | 58.8 |  | 38.8 | 38.8 |  |  | 38.8 | 38.8 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  | -1.6 |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |  |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |
| lotal Lost Time (s) 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 <br> Lead/Lag  4.6   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | Max | Max |  |  | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  |  | 60.4 |  | 40.4 | 40.4 |  |  | 40.4 | 40.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.55 |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.57 |  | 0.36 | 0.20 |  |  | 0.38 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  | 23.7 | 19.6 |  |  | 18.5 | 10.0 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 5.1 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  | 28.8 | 19.6 |  |  | 18.5 | 12.5 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  | C | B |  |  | B | B |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 15.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 58 ( $53 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.1
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


Timings
579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | 性中 |  |  | ¢中4 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 | 160 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4288 | 0 | 0 | 4272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4288 | 0 | 0 | 4209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 165 |  |  | 411 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 410 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 4.5 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 300 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1363 | 0 | 0 | 1009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 28.3 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 45.0 |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  |  | 40．9\％ |  | 59．1\％ | 59．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 38.7 |  | 59.5 | 59.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.3 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |




# AM Build Conditions 

251: 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 | 1728 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.32 |
| Stops (\#) | 420 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 545 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 23 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 27 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 37 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 620 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 857 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 31 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 43 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 19.8 | NA | NA | 20.4 | 20.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 3.00 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.69 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction | 1724 | 285 | 252 | 2261 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 39 | 29 | 38 |
| Control Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 1 | 0 | 10 | 2 |
| Queue Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 41 | 39 | 39 | 40 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 20 | 3 | 3 | 25 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0.78 |
| Stops / Veh | 1333 | 220 | 216 | 1769 |
| Stops (\#) | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 29 | 4 | 4 | 36 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 229 | 22 | 20 | 270 |
| Distance Traveled | 30 | 4 | 4 | 38 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 7.7 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 7.2 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 2.08 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 2.62 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.51 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.61 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1242 | 167 | 290 | 1699 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 | 18 | 16 | 16 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 | 18 | 16 | 16 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 0.47 |
| Stops (\#) | 485 | 84 | 229 | 798 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 17 | 9 | 10 | 16 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 17 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 290 | 13 | 23 | 326 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 19 | 2 | 3 | 23 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 15.4 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 14.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.31 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 1.62 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.32 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.38 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 774: Park Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1246 | 912 | 2158 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 | 17 | 18 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 7 | 4 | 11 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.55 |
| Stops (\#) | 595 | 587 | 1182 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 11 | 7 | 18 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 97 | 71 | 168 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 12 | 9 | 20 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.81 | 0.60 | 1.41 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.27 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.33 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Zone P Totals

| Number of Intersections | 4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 1 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 22 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 47 |
| Stops / /eh | 0.55 |
| Stops ( (\#) | 4294 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 15 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 112 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 1620 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 124 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 13.1 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 8.65 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.68 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 2.00 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 6 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 |
| Performance Index | 58.8 |


| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.865 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1589 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1589 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 309 | 309 |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3093 |  |  | 1923 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 84.4 |  |  | 52.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.94 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | R NA | L NA | R NA | LNA |  |
| Median Width(ft) | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) |  | 15 | 9 | 30 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Thru | Left | Right | Left |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 100 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 6 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA | Prot | Prot | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | $2!$ | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |

Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Build Alt 2 Conditions
Synchro 11 Report
Alliant Engineering, Inc
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| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 21.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 66.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 66.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $60.5 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 61.0 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 61.0 | 23.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  |  | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | None | C-Max | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 99.3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 99.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.90 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.90 |  |
| V/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.51 |  |
| Control Delay | 7.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |
| Total Delay | 8.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.5 | A |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 8.4 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary
Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 67 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWWB, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 118.2\%
ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period (min) 15
! Phase conflict between lane groups.
Splits and Phases: 251: 7th St S


Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Build Alt 2 Conditions

| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | 2 ! | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 21.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 66.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 66.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 60.5\% | 14.1\% | 14.1\% | 60.5\% | 25\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 61.0 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 61.0 | 23.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  |  | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | None | C-Max | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 104.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 104.5 | 0.0 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Skip | Skip | Coord | Skip |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 104.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 104.5 | 0.0 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Skip | Skip | Coord | Skip |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 67 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWWB, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
! Phase conflict between lane groups.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | * | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |  | 4 |  |  | 个 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 150 | 50 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  | 0.947 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2973 | 1350 | 0 | 1571 | 0 | 0 | 1505 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.740 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2973 | 1320 | 0 | 1176 | 0 | 0 | 1505 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1233 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 409 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 33.6 |  |  | 19.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.73 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 | 1132 | 227 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1609 | 227 | 0 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.3 | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |  |  | 40.6\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.3 | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 |  |  | 38.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 |  |


| 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | , | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | -1.5 |  |  | -1.5 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.7 |  |  | 4.7 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Recall Mode |  |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max |  |  | Max |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.3 | 59.3 |  | 40.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  | 0.54 | 0.54 |  | 0.36 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.29 |  | 0.78 |  |  | 0.56 |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |  | 44.7 | 5.3 |  | 38.9 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  | 1.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 10.2 |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |  | 45.8 | 5.3 |  | 39.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |
| LOS |  |  |  | D | A |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  | 40.8 |  |  | 39.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $55(50 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 40.3 |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 92.8\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S


|  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 4 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.5 | 64.5 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 13.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 55 ( $50 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 80 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 0.87 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  | 0.91 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2998 | 1350 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.537 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2953 | 1181 | 810 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1231 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (tt) |  | 411 |  |  | 1233 |  |  | 407 |  |  | 412 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 11.2 |  |  | 33.6 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 41 |  | 43 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.72 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 1073 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1253 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | 1 veh | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) |  |  |  | 20 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type |  |  |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftrightarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.7 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.3 | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |  |  | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.1 | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 |  |  | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  | -1.6 | 0.0 | -1.6 | -1.6 |  |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  |  | 4.6 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max |  |  | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  |  | 60.7 | 59.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |  |  | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.77 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.39 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.6 | 6.3 | 20.2 | 16.5 |  |  | 18.7 | 12.9 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.8 | 6.3 | 20.2 | 16.5 |  |  | 18.7 | 12.9 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | B | A | C | B |  |  | B | B |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 18.3 |  |  | 16.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |

Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 69 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.8
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.4\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


|  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 4 |  |  | 8 |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.7 | 64.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 69 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $4$ | $4$ | 9 | $p$ | （ | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | 中4 | F゙ |  | ¢个4 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 150 | 160 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  | 0.94 |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3019 | 1350 | 0 | 4272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3019 | 1265 | 0 | 4209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 165 |  |  | 411 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 410 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 4.5 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 300 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 0 | 1009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 64.8 | 64.8 | 44.0 | 44.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  |  | 59．1\％ | 59．1\％ | 40．9\％ | 40．9\％ |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 | 39.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |

Scenario 1 7th \＆8th St BAT Lane Project 7：15 am 05／19／2021 AM Peak－Build Alt 2 Conditions


|  | $\downarrow$ | 4 | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBT |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.8 | 64.8 | 44.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.0 | 65.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.1\% | 59.1\% | 40.9\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | C-Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 18 (16\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Traffic Safety Benefit-Cost Calculation
Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) Reactive Project

M
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## A. Roadway Description

| Route | 7th Street | District | Metro | County | Hennepin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Begin RP | n/a | End RP | n/a | Miles | 0.46 |
| Location | Minneapolis, Minnesota |  |  |  |  |

## B. Project Description

| Proposed Work <br> Project Cost* | Alter lane configuration on 7th Street to 1 BAT Lane, 1 Thru-Lane, and 1 Dynamic Lane |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$10,075,820 | Installation Year | 2029 |
| Project Service Life | 30 years | Traffic Growth Factor | 1.0\% |
| * exclude Right of Way from Project Cost |  |  |  |

## C. Crash Modification Factor

| 0.53 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | CMF ID 2841 for converting four-lane roadway to three- <br> lane roadway with center turn lane (road diet) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.53 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes | Crash Type | All Intersection Related Crashes |
| Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  |  |  |
| 0.53 |  |  |  |
| 0.53 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes |  |  |
| 0.53 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  |  |

D. Crash Modification Factor (optional second CMF)

| 0.33 | Fatal (K) Crashes | Reference | CMF ID 2842 (see description above) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.33 | Serious Injury (A) Crashes |  | CMF ID 153 for prohibiting on-street parking. |
| 0.33 | Moderate Injury (B) Crashes |  | Combined using CMF Additive Method from FHWA. |
| 0.33 | Possible Injury (C) Crashes | Crash Type | All Parking, Sideswipe, and Driveway Crashes |
| 0.33 | Property Damage Only Crashes |  | www.CMFclearinghouse.org |


F. Analysis Assumptions

| Crash Severity | Crash Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| K crashes | $\$ 1,600,000$ |
| A crashes | $\$ 800,000$ |
| B crashes | $\$ 250,000$ |
| C crashes | $\$ 130,000$ |
| PDO crashes | $\$ 15,000$ |

Link: mndot.gov/planning/program/appendix_a.html

| Real Discount Rate: | $0.8 \%$ | Default |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Traffic Growth Rate: | $1.0 \%$ | Revised |
| Project Service Life: | 30 years | Revised |

## G. Annual Benefit

| Crash Severity | Crash Reduction | Annual Reduction | Annual Benefit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K crashes | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\$ 0$ |
| A crashes | 0.47 | 0.16 | $\$ 125,333$ |
| B crashes | 2.08 | 0.69 | $\$ 173,333$ |
| C crashes | 1.14 | 0.38 | $\$ 49,400$ |
| PDO crashes | 5.10 | 1.70 | $\$ 25,500$ |

## H. Amortized Benefit

| Year | Crash Benefits | Present Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2029 | \$373,567 | \$373,567 | Total $=$ \$11,535,476 |
| 2030 | \$377,302 | \$374,308 |  |
| 2031 | \$381,075 | \$375,051 |  |
| 2032 | \$384,886 | \$375,795 |  |
| 2033 | \$388,735 | \$376,540 |  |
| 2034 | \$392,622 | \$377,287 |  |
| 2035 | \$396,549 | \$378,036 |  |
| 2036 | \$400,514 | \$378,786 |  |
| 2037 | \$404,519 | \$379,538 |  |
| 2038 | \$408,564 | \$380,291 |  |
| 2039 | \$412,650 | \$381,045 |  |
| 2040 | \$416,777 | \$381,801 |  |
| 2041 | \$420,944 | \$382,559 |  |
| 2042 | \$425,154 | \$383,318 |  |
| 2043 | \$429,405 | \$384,078 |  |
| 2044 | \$433,699 | \$384,840 |  |
| 2045 | \$438,036 | \$385,604 |  |
| 2046 | \$442,417 | \$386,369 |  |
| 2047 | \$446,841 | \$387,136 |  |
| 2048 | \$451,309 | \$387,904 |  |
| 2049 | \$455,822 | \$388,674 |  |
| 2050 | \$460,381 | \$389,445 |  |
| 2051 | \$464,984 | \$390,217 |  |
| 2052 | \$469,634 | \$390,992 |  |
| 2053 | \$474,331 | \$391,767 |  |
| 2054 | \$479,074 | \$392,545 |  |
| 2055 | \$483,865 | \$393,324 |  |
| 2056 | \$488,703 | \$394,104 | NOTE: |
| 2057 | \$493,590 | \$394,886 | This calculation relies on the real discount rate, which accounts |
| 2058 | \$498,526 | \$395,669 | for inflation. No further discounting is necessary. |
| 0 | \$0 | \$0 |  |

## CMF \& Safety Summary

## CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 2841
CMF Name: Converting four-lane roadways to three-lane roadways with center
Description: Conversion of road segments from a four-lane to a three-lane cros

## Prior Condition: Four-lane undivided roadway

## Category: Roadway

Study ID: Comparison of empirical Bayes and full Bayes approaches for before-after road safety evaluations, Persaud et. al 2010

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Star Quality Rating |
| Star Quality Rating: | 5 Stars |
|  |  |
|  | Crash Modification Factor (CMF) |
| Value: | 0.53 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: |  |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 0.02 |


|  | Crash Reduction Factor |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Value: | 47 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: |  |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 2 |

## Applicability

| Crash Type: | All |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crash Severity: | All |
| Roadway Types: | Not Specified |
| Minimum Number of Lanes: | 4 |
| Maximum Number of Lanes: | 4 |
| Number of Lanes Direction: |  |
| Number of Lanes Comment: |  |
| Road Division Type: | Undivided |
| Minimum Speed Limit: |  |
| Maximum Speed Limit: |  |
| Speed Unit: |  |
| Speed Limit Comment: |  |
| Area Type: | Urban and suburban |
| Traffic Volume: |  |
| Average Traffic Volume: |  |
| Time of Day: | All |
|  | If countermeasure is intersection-based. |
| Intersection Type: |  |
| Intersection Geometry: |  |
| Traffic Control: |  |
| Major Road Traffic Volume: |  |
| Minor Road Traffic Volume: |  |

Average Major Road Volume:

Average Minor Road Volume:

## Development Details

| Date Range of Data Used: | 1982 to 2004 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Municipality: |  |
| State: |  |
| Country: |  |
| Type of Methodology Used: | Before/after using empirical Bayes or full Bayes |

## Other Details

| Included in HSM: | No |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Date Added to Clearinghouse: | Mar 21, 2011 |
| Comments: | When this CMF was initially entered in the Clearinghouse, it was incorrectly <br> entered as a CMF of 0.47. In March 2015, this was corrected to be 0.53, as <br> presented in the original paper. In February 2021, the area type for this CMF <br> was changed from suburban to urban/suburban to account for the fact that the <br> treatment sites were largely located in small urban areas. |

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the
University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S.
Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The
information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.

CRASH MODIFICATION FACTORS CLEARINGHOUSE

## CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 153
CMF Name: Prohibit on-street parking

## Description:

## Prior Condition: No Prior Condition(s)

## Category: On-street parking

Study ID: Handbook of Road Safety Measures, Elvik, R. and Vaa, T. 2004

|  |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | Star Quality Rating |
| Star Quality Rating: | 4 Stars |
|  | Crash Modification Factor (CMF) |
| Value: | 0.8 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: | 0.05 |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 0.03 |
| Value: | 20 |
| Adjusted Standard Error: | 5 |
| Unadjusted Standard Error: | 3 |
|  |  |

## Applicability

| Crash Type: | All |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crash Severity: | A (serious injury), B (minor injury), C (possible injury) |
| Roadway Types: | Minor Arterial |
| Minimum Number of Lanes: |  |
| Maximum Number of Lanes: |  |
| Number of Lanes Direction: |  |
| Number of Lanes Comment: |  |
| Road Division Type: |  |
| Minimum Speed Limit: |  |
| Maximum Speed Limit: |  |
| Speed Unit: |  |
| Speed Limit Comment: |  |
| Area Type: | Urban |
| Traffic Volume: |  |
| Average Traffic Volume: |  |
| Time of Day: |  |
|  | If countermeasure is intersection-based. |
| Intersection Type: |  |
| Intersection Geometry: |  |
| Traffic Control: |  |
| Major Road Traffic Volume: |  |
| Minor Road Traffic Volume: |  |

Average Major Road Volume:

Average Minor Road Volume:

## Development Details

| Date Range of Data Used: |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: |  |
| State: |  |
| Country: |  |
| Type of Methodology Used: | Meta-analysis |


|  | Other Details |
| ---: | :--- |
| Included in HSM: | No |
| Date Added to Clearinghouse: | Dec 01, 2009 |
| Comments: |  |
|  |  |

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.

| Incioe | intessetion | Semment | Clude | Notes | молт | dar | year | day of wee | нour | Severrir | Manner of cousion | coulsion-Allant | direction 1 | crash manuever 1 | direction 2 | Crash manuever 2 | UTMX | UTMY | Lattude | LONGITUDE | date time | colusion dagram |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{806525}$ | NTT1 |  | Ves |  | ${ }_{4}^{2}$ |  | 2021 | Tue | ${ }_{17}^{18}$ | Poo | ${ }^{\text {Front to Rear }}$ | Rear End | Norathound | hicle Stoped di stale in in Roadway | Northbound | Sing forward | ${ }^{4793886.1334}$ | ${ }^{4979891.98}$ | 24.97217705 | -9326142774 | 2021/2/2091.18:78 | 2021/2/2099.18.18.0.c.c. |
| ${ }^{806025}$ | NT1 |  | Yes | Poice Pusut | 4 | 3 | 2020 | ${ }_{\text {fin }}^{\text {fit }}$ | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{\text {Poo }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Front to Rear } \\ \text { front to Rear }}}{ }$ |  | Northbound Westbund | Moving forward | Northbound | Venicle Stopped or stalle in Roadw | ${ }_{4}^{479392920.11 .608}$ | ${ }_{\text {4979901.852 }}^{497971078}$ | 4.9 .9726569 44.975533 | ${ }_{-93.26135298}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | Yes | Police Pursu | 3 |  | 2021 | Sat | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ |  | Rear End |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.9.9755533 44.97558824 | -93.25298386 | 2021703120:00:49 |  |
| (1037335 | (NT44 |  | Yes |  | ${ }_{4}^{12}$ | ${ }_{28}^{4}$ | 2022 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { sun } \\ \text { Thu }}}$ | 10 14 | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | Ange | Angle | Suthtound | Moung foward | Westbund | Mowinf fumard | 479714.0047 | 4979715.563 | 44.990598841 | -93.5572622 | ${ }^{2022}$ | 㑑 |
| ${ }_{\substack{1035646 \\ \text { 1066135 }}}$ | NT4 | SEGA | Yes | W8 | 10 | 25 | 2022 | Tue | 5 | poo | Unkown | Other |  |  |  |  | 47971.8045 | 4977721.793 | 44.9706546 | ${ }_{\text {a }} 93.2357212477$ | 2027/1/25.0.5:518 | $\frac{2022 / 10 / 5.505 \cdot 1.180}{2020}$ |
|  |  |  | ves |  |  | 12 | 2022 | ${ }_{\text {sat }}^{\text {sat }}$ | ${ }_{3}^{10}$ | poo |  |  | we | Vehicle Stooned Mor forsward |  | 退, Entering or leaung a Parke stall | ${ }_{4}^{49797475.527}$ | 49797068.729 | ${ }^{44.9975051894}$ | -93,52373606 | 2022121010.0.50 |  |
| ${ }_{1016565}$ | NT4 |  | yes |  | ${ }_{4}^{12}$ | 12 | 2022 | ${ }_{\text {fri }}^{\text {sid }}$ | 11 | ${ }_{\text {PDO }}$ | Angle |  | Westo | Moving forward | Southbound |  | 479713.9369 | 497970.028 | 44.97053058 | -93.25723826 | 2022/04/08-11 | 2022/04/188-1:100-C.C-1 |
| ${ }_{\text {c }}^{833554}$ | NT4 |  | Yes |  | 9 | 13 | 2202 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Mon } \\ \text { Mon }}}^{\text {M }}$ | 23 20 | $\stackrel{\text { c }}{\text { PDO }}$ | Ancle |  |  |  |  |  | 202 | ${ }_{497979710}^{4901}$ | 4.9 .97548824 44.9055631 | $\xrightarrow{-933.25730455}$ | (ex |  |
| 940399 841320 | NT4 |  | yes |  | 9 | 17 | 2020 | ${ }_{\text {Thu }}^{\text {Mon }}$ | ${ }_{22}^{20}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Poo }}{\text { a }}$ | Angle | Sideswie | Weestoond | Changing lanes | Northoound | Moung fowerd | ${ }_{4}^{47997073.3714}$ | ${ }^{\text {4979710.901 }}$ | ${ }^{44.9 .975556631}$ | ${ }_{\text {-9, } 932573346688}$ | 2020/0917-22:23 | c.D |
| 1045099 |  | SEGA | ves | r struck parked <br> vehic | 9 | 11 | 2022 | sun | 22 | c |  | Rear End | Westbo | Moving forward | Westbound | Parked, Entering of Leasing a Parked stall | 47900.8642 | 4979712.316 | 98 | -93.25733312 | 2027/09/1-22:40 | 2022/09/11-22:40-DIC.C.D |
| ${ }_{\text {lol }}^{100918}$ | ${ }_{\text {NT }}$ |  | res Yes res |  | 2 | 26 22 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underbrace{\text { Mouninf foruard }}_{\text {Mouinf forward }}$ |  | Vehicle Stoped or or Staled in Roadway |  |  | 4.9 .9711847 44.9725491 | -93.25879414 | 2027/2/2/6-1: | $\frac{2022 / 02 / 26-11: 10-1-C-S}{2022 / 01 / 22-1436-1-C-D}$ |
| ${ }_{838332}^{100779}$ |  |  | Ves | ng Way Oriver | ${ }_{9}^{1}$ |  | ${ }_{2020}^{2022}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Sat } \\ \text { Tue }}}$ | ${ }_{11}^{14}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PDO } \\ & \text { PDO } \end{aligned}$ | Angle Angle | ${ }_{\text {angle }}^{\text {Angle }}$ |  | Moving fowerd |  | Moving Forward | 479396.7346 479607.1581 |  |  | -93,28882016 | ${ }^{\text {cosen }}$ |  |
| 975835 |  | SEGA | ves | er pulled out from | 11 | 26 | 2021 | ${ }_{\text {fii }}$ |  | poo | Other | Angle | Northbound | Moving forward | westbound | Moving formard | 479611.4698 | 497978.661 | 44.9712178 | -93,2585677 | 2021/11/26-99:14 | 2021/1/1/6-09:14L |

# AM Existing Conditions 

251: 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 | 1728 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.16 |
| Stops (\#) | 159 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 284 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 24 | 24 | 25 | 23 | 24 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 25 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 35 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 620 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 857 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 29 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 40 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 21.4 | NA | NA | 20.4 | 21.2 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 2.83 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.55 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.66 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction | 1724 | 285 | 252 | 2261 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 13 | 31 | 38 | 18 |
| Control Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Queue Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 13 | 31 | 39 | 18 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 6 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.64 | 0.63 |
| Stops / Veh | 1057 | 202 | 162 | 1421 |
| Stops (\#) | 15 | 7 | 6 | 12 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 15 | 3 | 4 | 22 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 229 | 22 | 20 | 270 |
| Distance Traveled | 19 | 4 | 3 | 26 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 12.1 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 10.4 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 1.32 | 0.25 | 0.24 | 1.82 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.35 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.42 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1242 | 167 | 290 | 1699 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 | 22 | 15 | 16 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 16 | 24 | 16 | 16 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.44 | 0.53 | 0.39 | 0.44 |
| Stops (\#) | 546 | 88 | 113 | 747 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 17 | 8 | 10 | 16 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 17 | 2 | 2 | 21 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 290 | 13 | 23 | 326 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 19 | 2 | 2 | 23 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 15.2 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 14.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.33 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 1.62 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.32 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.38 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 774: Park Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1246 | 912 | 2158 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 46 | 33 | 41 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 9 | 1 | 5 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 55 | 34 | 46 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 19 | 9 | 28 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.94 |
| Stops (\#) | 1219 | 799 | 2018 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 23 | 11 | 34 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 97 | 71 | 168 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 23 | 13 | 36 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 4.2 | 5.6 | 4.7 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.61 | 0.88 | 2.48 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.31 | 0.17 | 0.48 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.58 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Zone P Totals

| Number of Intersections | 4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 20 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 2 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 22 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 48 |
| Stops / /eh | 0.57 |
| Stops (\#) | 4470 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 14 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 113 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 1620 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 125 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 12.9 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 8.76 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.70 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 2.03 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 |
| Performance Index | 60.5 |


| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 44 | ${ }^{1}$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.865 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3019 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3019 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 401 | 401 |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3093 |  |  | 1923 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 84.4 |  |  | 43.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.94 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | R NA | L NA | R NA | L NA |  |
| Median Width(ft) | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) |  | 15 | 9 | 30 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Thru | Left | Right | Left |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 100 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 6 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA | Prot | Prot | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | $2!$ | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | \% | , | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | *中 ${ }^{\text {W }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 |  |  | 4 | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 50 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.981 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.987 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4188 | 0 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.987 |  | 0.529 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4188 | 0 | 840 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1233 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 409 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.0 |  |  | 19.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.73 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 | 1132 | 227 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1836 | 0 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 26.0 | 26.0 |  | 28.2 | 28.2 |  |  | 28.2 | 28.2 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 75.0 | 75.0 |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |  |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 68.2\% | 68.2\% |  | 31.8\% | 31.8\% |  |  | 31.8\% | 31.8\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 69.0 | 69.0 |  | 28.8 | 28.8 |  |  | 28.8 | 28.8 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |



Splits and Phases: 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S



Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Existing Conditions
Alliant Engineering, Inc
Synchro 11 Report
Page 6

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | ¢个號 |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 80 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length ( ft ) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |  | 0.95 |  |  |  |  | 0.91 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4245 | 0 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.539 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4186 | 0 | 813 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1231 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 411 |  |  | 1233 |  |  | 407 |  |  | 412 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 11.2 |  |  | 28.0 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 41 |  | 43 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.72 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | , | 180 | 1073 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | , | 223 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1321 | 0 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | 1 veh | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector ( t ) |  |  |  | 50 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | , | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) |  |  |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type |  |  |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.7 | 64.7 |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.1\% | 59.1\% |  | 40.9\% | 40.9\% |  |  | 40.9\% | 40.9\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 58.8 | 58.8 |  | 38.8 | 38.8 |  |  | 38.8 | 38.8 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  | -1.6 |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |  |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |
| lotal Lost Time (s) 4.6 4.6 4.6 4.6 <br> Lead/Lag  4.6   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | Max | Max |  |  | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  |  | 60.4 |  | 40.4 | 40.4 |  |  | 40.4 | 40.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.55 |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.57 |  | 0.36 | 0.20 |  |  | 0.38 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  | 23.7 | 19.6 |  |  | 18.5 | 10.0 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |  | 5.1 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  | 28.8 | 19.6 |  |  | 18.5 | 12.5 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  | C | B |  |  | B | B |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 13.4 |  |  | 24.0 |  |  | 15.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 58 ( $53 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.57
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.1
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.4\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


Timings
579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | 性中 |  |  | ¢中4 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 | 160 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4288 | 0 | 0 | 4272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4288 | 0 | 0 | 4209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 165 |  |  | 411 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 410 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 4.5 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 300 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1363 | 0 | 0 | 1009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  |  | 7.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 28.3 |  | 27.5 | 27.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 45.0 |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  |  | 40．9\％ |  | 59．1\％ | 59．1\％ |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 38.7 |  | 59.5 | 59.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.3 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |




# AM Build Conditions 

251: 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | NW | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 | 1728 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.32 |
| Stops (\#) | 420 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 545 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 23 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 27 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 37 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 620 | 1 | 0 | 236 | 857 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 31 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 43 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 19.8 | NA | NA | 20.4 | 20.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 2.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 3.00 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.58 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.69 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direction | 1724 | 285 | 252 | 2261 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 39 | 29 | 38 |
| Control Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 1 | 0 | 10 | 2 |
| Queue Delay / Veh $(\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v})$ | 41 | 39 | 39 | 40 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 20 | 3 | 3 | 25 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0.78 |
| Stops / Veh | 1333 | 220 | 216 | 1769 |
| Stops (\#) | 8 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 29 | 4 | 4 | 36 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 229 | 22 | 20 | 270 |
| Distance Traveled | 30 | 4 | 4 | 38 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 7.7 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 7.2 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 2.08 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 2.62 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.40 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.51 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.48 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.61 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1242 | 167 | 290 | 1699 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 | 18 | 16 | 16 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 15 | 18 | 16 | 16 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.79 | 0.47 |
| Stops (\#) | 485 | 84 | 229 | 798 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 17 | 9 | 10 | 16 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 17 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 290 | 13 | 23 | 326 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 19 | 2 | 3 | 23 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 15.4 | 8.4 | 8.0 | 14.0 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 1.31 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 1.62 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.32 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.38 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 774: Park Av S \& 7th St S

| Direction | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1246 | 912 | 2158 |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 18 | 17 | 18 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 19 | 17 | 18 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 7 | 4 | 11 |
| Stops / Veh | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.55 |
| Stops (\#) | 595 | 587 | 1182 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 11 | 7 | 18 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 97 | 71 | 168 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 12 | 9 | 20 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 8.4 | 8.2 | 8.3 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 0.81 | 0.60 | 1.41 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.27 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.33 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Zone P Totals

| Number of Intersections | 4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Control Delay / Veh (s/v) | 21 |
| Queue Delay / Veh (s/v) | 1 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 22 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 47 |
| Stops / /eh | 0.55 |
| Stops ( (\#) | 4294 |
| Average Speed (mph) | 15 |
| Total Travel Time (hr) | 112 |
| Distance Traveled (mi) | 1620 |
| Fuel Consumed (gal) | 124 |
| Fuel Economy (mpg) | 13.1 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 8.65 |
| NOx Emissions (kg) | 1.68 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 2.00 |
| Unserved Vehicles (\#) | 6 |
| Vehicles in dilemma zone (\#) | 0 |
| Performance Index | 58.8 |


| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1058 | 17 | 5 | 648 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.865 |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1589 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1589 | 1509 | 1374 | 1509 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 309 | 309 |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 3093 |  |  | 1923 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 84.4 |  |  | 52.4 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.98 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.94 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1080 | 24 | 8 | 689 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | R NA | L NA | R NA | LNA |  |
| Median Width(ft) | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |  |
| Turning Speed (mph) |  | 15 | 9 | 30 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Thru | Left | Right | Left |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 100 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 6 | 50 | 20 | 50 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | 94 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | NA | Prot | Prot | Prot |  |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | $2!$ | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |

Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Build Alt 2 Conditions
Synchro 11 Report
Alliant Engineering, Inc
Page 1

| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 21.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 66.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 66.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $60.5 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 61.0 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 61.0 | 23.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  |  | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | None | C-Max | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 99.3 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 99.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.90 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.90 |  |
| V/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.51 |  |
| Control Delay | 7.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |
| Total Delay | 8.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3.5 | A |
| LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay | 8.4 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | A |  |

Intersection Summary
Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 67 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWWB, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 150
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 118.2\%
ICU Level of Service H
Analysis Period (min) 15
! Phase conflict between lane groups.
Splits and Phases: 251: 7th St S


Scenario 1 7th \& 8th St BAT Lane Project 7:15 am 05/19/2021 AM Peak - Build Alt 2 Conditions

| Lane Group | WBT | NBL | SBR | NWL | $\varnothing 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Protected Phases | $2!$ | $4!$ | $4!$ | 2 ! | 1 |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 21.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 21.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 66.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 66.5 | 28.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 60.5\% | 14.1\% | 14.1\% | 60.5\% | 25\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 61.0 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 61.0 | 23.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  |  | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | None | None | C-Max | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 16.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 92.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 92.2 | 0.0 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Min | Min | Coord | Skip |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 104.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 104.5 | 0.0 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Skip | Skip | Coord | Skip |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 104.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 104.5 | 0.0 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Skip | Skip | Coord | Skip |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 67 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWWB, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
! Phase conflict between lane groups.

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | * | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |  | 4 |  |  | 个 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 415 | 1098 | 211 | 59 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 162 | 90 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 100 |  | 150 | 50 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 75 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  | 0.98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  | 0.947 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2973 | 1350 | 0 | 1571 | 0 | 0 | 1505 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.740 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2973 | 1320 | 0 | 1176 | 0 | 0 | 1505 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 133 |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1233 |  |  | 700 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 409 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 33.6 |  |  | 19.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.87 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.84 | 0.73 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 | 1132 | 227 | 72 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 123 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1609 | 227 | 0 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 316 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.3 | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 |  |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |  |  | 40.6\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.3 | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 |  |  | 38.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 |  |


| 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | , | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | -1.5 |  |  | -1.5 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.7 |  |  | 4.7 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Recall Mode |  |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max |  |  | Max |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.3 | 59.3 |  | 40.0 |  |  | 40.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  | 0.54 | 0.54 |  | 0.36 |  |  | 0.36 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |  |  | 1.00 | 0.29 |  | 0.78 |  |  | 0.56 |  |
| Control Delay |  |  |  | 44.7 | 5.3 |  | 38.9 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  | 1.2 | 0.0 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 10.2 |  |
| Total Delay |  |  |  | 45.8 | 5.3 |  | 39.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |
| LOS |  |  |  | D | A |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  | 40.8 |  |  | 39.0 |  |  | 38.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $55(50 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 40.3 |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 92.8\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 357: 11th Av S \& 7th St S


|  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 4 | 8 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.5 | 64.5 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 13.0 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 59.3 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 55 ( $50 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 1030 | 45 | 81 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 132 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 150 | 100 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 80 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  | 0.99 | 0.87 | 0.95 |  |  |  |  | 0.91 |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2998 | 1350 | 1509 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1350 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.993 |  | 0.537 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2953 | 1181 | 810 | 1589 | 0 | 0 | 1589 | 1231 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 66 |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance (tt) |  | 411 |  |  | 1233 |  |  | 407 |  |  | 412 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 11.2 |  |  | 33.6 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 41 |  | 43 | 52 |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.66 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.71 | 0.72 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 1073 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1253 | 68 | 108 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 183 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | 1 veh | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector Template |  |  |  | Left |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) |  |  |  | 20 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 6 |  |  | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type |  |  |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turn Type |  |  |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\leftrightarrow$ |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) |  |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) |  |  |  | 64.7 | 64.7 | 64.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) |  |  |  | 65.3 | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 |  |  | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) |  |  |  | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |  |  | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) |  |  |  | 59.1 | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 |  |  | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) |  |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |  |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |  |  |  | -1.6 | 0.0 | -1.6 | -1.6 |  |  | -1.6 | -1.6 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |  |  |  | 4.6 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Recall Mode |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max |  |  | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |  |  |  | 60.7 | 59.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 |  |  | 40.1 | 40.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.55 | 0.54 | 0.36 | 0.36 |  |  | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio |  |  |  |  | 0.77 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.21 |  |  | 0.39 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.6 | 6.3 | 20.2 | 16.5 |  |  | 18.7 | 12.9 |
| Queue Delay |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.8 | 6.3 | 20.2 | 16.5 |  |  | 18.7 | 12.9 |
| LOS |  |  |  |  | B | A | C | B |  |  | B | B |
| Approach Delay |  |  |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 18.3 |  |  | 16.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |

Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 69 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.8
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.4\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 579: Chicago Av S \& 7th St S


|  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Protected Phases | 2 |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 | 4 |  |  | 8 |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.7 | 64.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.3 | 65.3 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 44.7 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.4\% | 59.4\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% | 40.6\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 59.1 | 59.1 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 | 38.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | Coord | Coord | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR | MaxR |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 69 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:WBTL, Start of 1st Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $4$ | $4$ | 9 | $p$ | （ | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  | 中4 | F゙ |  | ¢个4 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1188 | 58 | 246 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 | 1700 |
| Storage Length（ft） | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 150 | 160 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length（ft） | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  | 0.94 |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3019 | 1350 | 0 | 4272 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3019 | 1265 | 0 | 4209 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed（mph） |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |
| Link Distance（ft） |  | 165 |  |  | 411 |  |  | 410 |  |  | 410 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 4.5 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles（\％） | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ | 7\％ |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 300 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1291 | 72 | 0 | 1009 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset（ft） |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width（ft） |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.15 |
| Turning Speed（mph） | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 15 |
| Number of Detectors |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector（ft） |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  |  |  |  | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 64.8 | 64.8 | 44.0 | 44.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） |  |  |  |  | 65.0 | 65.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split（\％） |  |  |  |  | 59．1\％ | 59．1\％ | 40．9\％ | 40．9\％ |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green（s） |  |  |  |  | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 | 39.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| All－Red Time（s） |  |  |  |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |

Scenario 1 7th \＆8th St BAT Lane Project 7：15 am 05／19／2021 AM Peak－Build Alt 2 Conditions


|  | $\downarrow$ | 4 | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBT | WBR | NBT |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 64.8 | 64.8 | 44.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 65.0 | 65.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 59.1\% | 59.1\% | 40.9\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | C-Max |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 90th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 90th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 70th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 70th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 50th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 50th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 30th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 30th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| 10th \%ile Green (s) | 58.7 | 58.7 | 39.5 |
| 10th \%ile Term Code | MaxR | MaxR | Coord |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 18 (16\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL, Start of 1st Green
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated


December 4, 2023
Ms. Elaine Koutsoukos
Metropolitan Council
390 North Robert Street
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
Re: 2024 Regional Solicitation Applications
Dear Ms. Koutsoukos,
The City of Minneapolis Department of Public Works is submitting a series of applications for the 2024 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds. The applications and the required matching funds have been authorized by the Minneapolis City Council as described in the Official Proceedings of the Council meetings on November 16, 2023. The City is submitting applications for 12 projects, as listed in the table below, and commits to operate and maintain these facilities through their design life.

| Project Name | Regional Solicitation Category |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7th Street S from Park Avenue to 13th Avenue S | Roadway Reconstruction/ <br> Modernization |
| University Avenue NE from Central Avenue to 9 |  |

The specific applications are described in the attached "Request for City Council Committee Action." Thank you for the opportunity to submit these applications.

Sincerely,


Margaret Anderson Kelliher Director of Public Works


| RECORD OF COUNCIL VOTE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNCIL MEMBER | AYE | NAY | ABSTAIN | ABSENT |
| Payne | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Wonsley | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Rainville | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Vetaw | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Ellison | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Osman | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Goodman | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Jenkins | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Chavez | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Chughtai | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Koski | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Johnson | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| Palmisano |  |  |  |  |

NOV 162023
Presented to Mayor: $\qquad$


Certified an official action of the City Council


NOV 202023

The Minneapolis City Council hereby:

1. Authorizes the submittal of a series of applications through Metropolitan Council's 2024 Regional Solicitation Program for federal transportation funds.
2. Authorizes the commitment of local funds to provide the required local match for the federal funding.
```
Home > Legislative File 2023-01077 > RCA
```


## ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT

## Public Works

## To Committee(s)

| \# | Committee Name | Meeting Date |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Public Works \& Infrastructure Committee | Nov 9, 2023 |  |
| LEAD | Ethan Fawley, Vision Zero Program Coordinator, | PRESENTED BY: | Ethan Fawley, Vision Zero Program |
| STAFF: | Transportation Planning and Programming |  | Coordinator, Transportation Planning and <br> Programming |

## Action Item(s)

| $\#$ | File Type | Subcategory | Item Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Action | Grant | Authorizing the submittal of a series of applications through <br> Metropolitan Council's 2024 Regional Solicitation Program for federal <br> transportation funds. |
| 2 | Action | Grant | Authorizing the commitment of local funds to provide the required <br> local match for the federal funding. |

Ward / Neighborhood / Address

| $\#$ | Ward | Neighborhood | Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | All Wards |  |  |

## Background Analysis

Public Works will prepare a series of applications for the 2024 Regional Solicitation for Federal Transportation Funds in response to the current Metropolitan Council solicitation. This request includes a summary of the eligible project areas, a brief description of proposed City projects, estimate of requested amounts, and the minimum required local match. Each project requires a minimum $20 \%$ local match for construction in addition to the costs for design, engineering, administration, any right-of-way acquisition, and any additional construction costs to fully fund the project. These applications will maximize the use of federal funding. The funding is for projects to be constructed in federal fiscal years 2028 and 2029. Grant awards for these projects are expected to be announced in summer 2024.

This action does not include the package of projects being pursued by Metro Transit, Hennepin County, and MnDOT. Due to the increase in federal surface transportation funding available via the passage of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) in 2021, as well as the availability of new Regional Sales Tax funds for counties and Metro Transit, partner agencies are aggressively pursuing larger packages of projects that is putting additional pressure on local agencies to financially participate on these projects via cost participation policies. Public Works is closely evaluating the proposed city applications and those of partner agencies to
understand the broader impact on and the overall capacity of the City's capital improvement program. Public Works is recommending the submittal of up to 12 applications, the final submittal will be influenced by the evaluation of the overall impact and capacity of the City's capital improvement program.

Public Works identifies projects that meet the eligibility requirements for federal funding and will be competitive, and closely evaluates which applications to submit in a manner that is consistent with the equity-based approach used to select and prioritize projects as a part of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Additional consideration is given to the criteria used in application scoring, such as: role in the regional transportation system and economy, equity, affordable housing, asset condition, safety, connectivity, cost-benefit, operational benefits, number of users and multimodal elements. Public Works also considers project readiness, cost, deliverability, and alignment with adopted plans, policies, and initiatives (e.g., Minneapolis 2040, 20 Year Street Funding Plan, the Transportation Action Plan, Complete Streets Policy, Vision Zero, and Racial Equity Framework for Transportation).

The 2024 Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funding is part of Metropolitan Council's federally-required continuing, comprehensive, and cooperative transportation planning process for the Twin Cities Metropolitan Area. The funding program and related rules and requirements are established by the U.S. Department of Transportation and administered locally through collaboration with the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, and the Minnesota Department of Transportation.

Applications are grouped into three primary modal evaluation categories; each category includes several sub-categories as detailed below.

1. Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

- Strategic Capacity (Roadway Expansion)
- Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
- Traffic Management Technologies (Roadway System Management)
- Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement
- Spot Mobility and Safety

2. Transit and Travel Demand Management (TDM) Projects

- Arterial Bus Rapid Transit Project
- Transit Expansion
- Transit Modernization
- Travel Demand Management

3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

- Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
- Pedestrian Facilities
- Safe Routes to School (Infrastructure Projects)

4. Unique Projects

Public Works is recommending the submittal of up to 12 applications, which are summarized below. Public Works is not planning to submit in categories that don't align with our goals (Strategic Capacity), where we do not have timely priority projects that fit the category criteria well (Spot Mobility and Safety and Traffic Management Technologies) or where partner agencies will be submitting projects as the project sponsor (Transit and TDM).

| Project Name | Category | Maximum Federal <br> Amount (not every project will seek max) | Minimum Local Match Required for Maximum Award (20\%)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Amounts shown indicate minimums only. Total project cost and local match anticipated to be higher for many projects. |  |  |  |
| 7th Street S from Park Avenue to 13th Avenue S | Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization | \$7,000,000 | \$1,750,000 |
| University Avenue NE part of section between Central Ave and 27th Ave NE | Roadway Reconstruction/ Modernization | \$7,000,000 | $\$ 1,750,000$ <br> (match provided by MnDOT) |
| Cedar Lake Road bridge over the BNSF railroad | Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement | \$7,000,000 | \$1,750,000 |
| Northside Greenway Phase 2 (Irving Avenue N/Humboldt Avenue N from 26 th Avenue N to 4th Avenue N/Van White Blvd) | Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities | \$5,500,000 | \$1,375,000 |
| 34th Street W/E neighborhood greenway from Hennepin Avenue to Hiawatha Avenue and 35th Street E neighborhood greenway from Hiawatha Avenue to West River Pkwy | Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities | \$5,500,000 | \$1,375,000 |
| University Avenue/4th Street SE bikeway and safety improvements between Central Ave and I-35W | Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities | \$5,500,000 | $\$ 1,375,000$ <br> (match provided by MnDOT) |
| Nicollet Avenue from 14th Street to 46th Street pedestrian improvements | Pedestrian Facilities | \$2,000,000 | \$500,000 |
| 26th Street and 28th Street E from Nicollet Avenue to Hiawatha Avenue pedestrian improvements | Pedestrian Facilities | \$2,000,000 | \$500,000 |
| Marcy-Holmes/ Dinkytown area pedestrian improvements | Pedestrian Facilities | \$2,000,000 | \$500,000 |
| Hayes Street NE neighborhood greenway from 22nd Avenue to 33rd Avenue - Safe Routes to School | Safe Routes to School | \$1,000,000 | \$250,000 |
| Pleasant Avenue $S$ neighborhood greenway from 50th St to 34th St Safe Routes to School | Safe Routes to School | \$1,000,000 | \$250,000 |
| Ramp A/Glenwood Ave improvements | Unique Projects | \$2,500,000 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 625,000 \\ \text { (match provided by } \\ \text { MnDOT) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Totals |  | \$48,000,000 | \$12,000,000 |

Details of the proposed applications are described below.
7 th Street S from Park Avenue to 13 th Avenue S

The proposed project is a complete reconstruction of 7th Street North from Park Avenue to 13 th Avenue South, approximately 0.4 miles. 7th Street South has been identified as a future reconstruction candidate, driven primarily by deteriorating and aging infrastructure conditions. This is also a High Injury Street, on the Pedestrian Priority Network, and a Transit Priority Project. This segment is not yet programmed in the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The proposed project will reconstruct the pavement surface, curb and gutter, signage, storm drains, driveway approaches, traffic signals, striping, lighting, street trees, sidewalks, and pedestrian curb ramps. The project will also provide an opportunity for safety enhancements along the street, improvements to the pedestrian realm, and infrastructure to support transit.

## Program Category: Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization

University Avenue NE portion of section between Central Ave and 27th Ave NE
This proposed project is a complete reconstruction of a portion of University Avenue NE between Central Ave and 27th Ave NE. University Avenue NE is a Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) roadway--Highway 47. MnDOT and Public Works are finalizing details on this project, including what section of University Ave NE will be included. University Ave NE has been identified as a reconstruction candidate due to aging and deteriorating infrastructure and safety challenges (it is a High Injury Street). The proposed project will reconstruct the pavement surface, curb and gutter, signage, storm drains, driveway approaches, traffic signals, striping, lighting, street trees, sidewalks, and pedestrian curb ramps, while adding safety and pedestrian realm improvements. MnDOT will provide the required local match for this project and the City may be required to cost participate per MnDOT policy.

## Program Category: Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization

## Cedar Lake Road bridge over the BNSF railroad

This project is a replacement of the Cedar Lake Road bridge over the BNSF railroad in the Bryn Mawr neighborhood. The current bridge was built in 1941 and is in need of replacement. It is also an opportunity to improve pedestrian and bicycle access across the bridge. This project is programmed in the City's CIP for 2027.

Program Category: Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement

## Northside Greenway Phase 2

The proposed project will create a Neighborhood Greenway along Irving/Humboldt Avenue N for approximately 2 miles in North Minneapolis, extending from 26th Avenue N to 4 th Avenue N and Van White Memorial Blvd. This segment is currently a low traffic residential street that connects several schools and parks. The corridor will receive a range of different neighborhood greenway treatments (as identified in the City's Street Design Guide) from block to block, including bicycle boulevard treatments, intersection improvements, and trail segments. The project will also include some ADA improvements to intersections. The project will extend phase 1, which will be constructed in 2026 north of 26 th Avenue N.

Program Category: Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities

## 34th Street W/E \& 35th St E neighborhood greenway from Hennepin Avenue to West River Pkwy

The proposed project will create a Neighborhood Greenway along 34th Street from Hennepin Avenue to Hiawatha Avenue and 35th Street E from Hiawatha Avenue to West River Pkwy. These segments are generally low traffic residential streets. The route connects numerous schools and parks across South Minneapolis and will address a major gap in the east-west bikeway network. The corridor may receive a range of different neighborhood greenway treatments (as identified in the City's Street Design Guide) from block to block, including bicycle boulevard treatments, intersection improvements, and trail segments. The project will also include some ADA improvements to intersections. This project will build on the Green Central Safe Routes to School project, which will be installed in 2024, and a bikeway connection over Interstate 35W planned in coordination with the 2027 reconstruction of 35th Street East.

Program Category: Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
University Avenue/4th Street SE bikeway and safety improvements between Central Ave and I-35W
The proposed project will include a curb protected bike lane, pedestrian safety and access improvements, and potentially some signal upgrades on University Avenue SE and 4th Street SE from Central Avenue to Interstate 35W. University Ave and 4th St SE in this section are MnDOT roadways. MnDOT and Public Works are collaborating on this project; MnDOT will provide the required local match and the City may be required to cost participate per MnDOT policy.

Program Category: Multiuse Trails and Bicycle Facilities
Nicollet Avenue pedestrian safety improvements

The proposed project would include the implementation of pedestrian focused safety and access improvements at select intersections along Nicollet Avenue between 14th Street and 46th Street. Nicollet Avenue is a High Injury Street and the improvements will build on other planned safety treatments in the area. Intersection improvements may include ADA-compliant pedestrian curb ramps, bump outs, medians, signage, traffic control devices, and pavement markings at select locations. Complimentary bikeway improvements may be considered as well. This street was also included as part of the City's 2023 Safe Streets for All federal grant application. If that application is successful, Public Works does not anticipate advancing this application in the Regional Solicitation.

## Program Category: Pedestrian Facilities

## 26th Street and 28th Street Epedestrian improvements

The proposed project would improve pedestrian safety and access at select intersections along 26th Street and 28th Street from Nicollet Avenue to Hiawatha Avenue. Both streets are High Injury Streets and have many pedestrian curb ramps that are not fully ADA compliant. Intersection improvements may include ADA-compliant pedestrian curb ramps, bump outs, medians, signage, traffic control devices, and pavement markings at select locations. Complimentary bikeway improvements may be considered as well. These streets were included as part of the City's 2023 Safe Streets for All federal grant application. If that application is successful, Public Works will still advance the Regional Solicitation application with the intent of further augmenting that work.

## Program Category: Pedestrian Facilities

Marcy-Holmes/Dinkytown area pedestrian improvements
The proposed project would improve pedestrian safety and access at select intersections in the Marcy-Holmes neighborhood near Dinkytown. Intersection improvements may include ADA-compliant pedestrian curb ramps, bump outs, medians, traffic circles, signage, traffic control devices, and pavement markings at select locations. This project will be coordinated with street resurfacing currently planned for 2027.

Program Category: Pedestrian Facilities

## Hayes Street NE - Safe Routes to School

The proposed project will create a Neighborhood Greenway along Hayes Street Northeast from 33rd Ave NE to 22 nd Ave NE. The project will connect to Pillsbury Elementary School, Waite Park Elementary School, and Northeast Middle School. Improvements may include ADA-compliant pedestrian curb ramps, traffic circles, speed humps, speed tables, bump outs, medians, diverters, signage, traffic control devices, protected bikeways, and pavement markings at select locations.

## Program Category: Safe Routes to School

## Pleasant Ave S - Safe Routes to School

The proposed project will create a Neighborhood Greenway along Pleasant Ave S from 34th Street to 50th Street. The project will connect to Lyndale Elementary School, Washburn High School, and Justice Page Middle School. Improvements may include ADAcompliant pedestrian curb ramps, traffic circles, speed humps, speed tables, bump outs, medians, diverters, signage, traffic control devices, protected bikeways, and pavement markings at select locations.

## Program Category: Safe Routes to School

## RampA/Glenwood Ave improvements

Ramp A is a State-owned parking ramp that goes over Glenwood Avenue between 10th St and 7th Street. Ramp construction was completed over 30 years ago and the State and City have a long-term contractual relationship for the City to manage, operate, and maintain the ramp. The proposed project is a renovation of the interior and exterior areas at the ground level of Ramp $A$ at Glenwood Ave. It will improve interior environments by removing storage area walls, painting ramp undersides, improving pedestrian lighting, providing wayfinding to nearby destinations through ceiling and pavement gestures, designating carshare and motorcycle areas, adding bike lockers and secure storage, improving bike lanes, and adding wall art. Exterior improvements will be made to enhance pedestrian access, add landmark stair features for a sense of destination, and support 9th St. Plaza activation. The Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) will provide the required local match for this project.

## Program Category: Unique Projects

The proposed projects were presented to the Pedestrian Advisory Committee on October 23, 2023, and to the Bicycle Advisory Committee on November 8, 2023.

Attachment: 2024 Regional Solicitation Project Map

## FISCAL NOTE

- Grant applications for 2024 Metropolitan Council Regional Solicitation for federal transportation funds - Fiscal Note Attachments

| Property_Name | Address | Development_Stage | Total_Units | Affordable_Units_Total | Affordable _OBR | Affordable_18R | Affordable_28R | Affordable_38R | Affordable_48R | Units_ 30AMI | Units_50M1 | Units_60M1 | Units_ 80 MM | Unit_ Pctaffordable | Funding_Categry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| House of Charity | 510 88th st | Preservation | 119 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 119 | 0 | 100\% | Subsidized - Other |
| Forte on the Park | 1125 s 2 d St | Preservation | 225 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 8\% | Subsidized - Other |
| Emmanuel Housing | 822 S 3 rd 5 818 S 3rd St | New Construction | 101 | 101 | 95 | ${ }^{6}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }^{54}$ | ${ }^{47}$ | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 100\% | Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Riverdale Station Apartments | 233 Park Ave | Preservation | 65 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | , | 0 | 0 | 9\% | Project-Based Subsidy |
| D0872 - No Name Provided | 6145 3rd St | Preservation | 109 | 10 | 89 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9\% | Subsidized - Other |
| Northstar East | 6082 nd Ave S | New Construction | 216 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 20\% | Subsidized - Other |
| Minneapolis Portfolio Preservation (aka Buri Manor, Aeon Refinance - Mp3, Paige Hall, The Adams, Elliot Park IV) |  | Preservation | 582 | 582 | 402 | 157 | 23 | ${ }_{0}$ | - | 213 | 354 | 15 | 0 | 100\% | Project-Based Subsidy Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Adirondack Apartments | 6085 9th St | Preservation | 36 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 22\% | Local 4 d |
| Barrington | 911 Park Ave | Preservation | 26 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 69\% | Tax Credit Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) |
| Grant Street Commons | 1300 Portland Ave 515 E Grant St | Preservation | 84 | 59 | 3 | 46 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 42 | 70\% | Subsidized - Other |
| Elliot Parki if (sater Square) | 1400 Portland Ave 1412 Portland Ave 1420 Portland Ave 1425 Portland Ave 416 Portland Ave | Preservation | 162 | 162 | 124 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | ${ }^{41}$ | 24 | 100\% | Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) |
| Madison Apts | 513 E 15th St <br> 505 E 15th st 509 E 15th St 501 E 15th St | New Construction | 51 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 38 | ${ }^{9}$ | 4 | 0 | ${ }^{51}$ | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Project-Based Subsidy Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Elliot Park Commons |  | Preservation | 25 | 25 | 0 | ${ }^{24}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{25}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10\%\% | Subsidized-Other |
| ${ }_{1}^{61500 \text { Parark } 1 \text { Ave St }}$ So | ${ }_{1} 61500$ Patrik At | $\frac{\text { Preservation }}{}$ | ${ }_{11}^{22}$ | $\frac{22}{11}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{11}$ | 0 | 100\% | Local 4 d |
| Park Center Highrise | 630 Cedar Ave 1515 Park Ave | Preservation | 182 | 182 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Public Hous |
| Allinace Addition aka Alliance | 730 E 17th St 715 E 16th St 719 E 16 th S | New Construction | 184 | 184 | 182 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 100\% |  |
| Chicago Avenue Apts | 1508 Chicago Ave 1504 Chicago Ave 1500 Chicago Ave | Preservation | 60 | 60 | 0 | ${ }^{44}$ | 10 | 6 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Project-Based Subisdy Subsidized - Other |
| Elliot Park Apts | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 719 E 14th St } \\ & 727 \text { Ethth St } \\ & 1601 \text { Ellion Ave } \\ & 1516 \text { Elliot Ave } \end{aligned}$ | Preservation | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Exodus 2.0 | 1007 E 14th St | New Construction | 167 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 100\% | Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) |
| Augustana Chapel View Homes | 1510 11th Ave S 1425 10th Ave S | Preservation | 151 | 33 | 17 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{33}$ | 0 | 0 | 22\% | Subsidized - Other |
| Stadium Apartments | 150111 th Ave S | Preservation | 22 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 100\% | Local 4 d |
| East Village North Apts | 1122 8th St S 1105 8th St S | New Construction | 70 | 70 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 100\% | Subsidized - Other <br> Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Elliot Twins | 12255 sth $5 t$ <br> 12125 sth $5 t$ | Preservation | 184 | 184 | 92 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 184 | 0 | 100\% | Tax Creait (LHTC 4\%) |
| Seven Corners | 1400 S 2nd St | Preservation | 248 | 149 | 21 | 58 | 63 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 49 | 0 | 60\% | Subsidized - Other |


| Riverside Homes |  | Preservation | 191 | 191 | 2 | 51 | 102 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 | 100\% | Tax Creait (LHTTC 4\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Riverside Plaza | 1615 s 4 th St 1530 S 6 th st 1601 S 6th St 1600 S 6 th St | Preservation | 1303 | 1303 | 192 | 511 | 534 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 669 | 634 | 0 | 100\% | Project-Based Subsidy Subsidized - Other ax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Five15 On the Park |  | New Construction | 259 | 208 | 41 | 92 | 52 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 156 | 0 | 80\% | Tax Credit Subsidized-Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Cedar High | ${ }^{630}$ Cedar Ave | Preseration | 189 | 189 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Public Housing Susidideo - |
| Blue Goose Apts |  | Preservation | 38 | 38 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 10 | 28 | ${ }^{0}$ | 100\% | Tax Credit <br> Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| 901 Cedar Ave Apartments <br> 1804 16th Ave S | 901 Cedar Ave <br> 1804 16th Ave S | $\xrightarrow{\text { New Construction }}$ Preservation | $\frac{157}{5}$ | $\frac{157}{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{43}$ | 54 | 60 5 | 0 | 100\% | $\frac{\text { Subsidized - Other }}{\text { Local } 4 \text { d }}$ |
| Anishinabe Bii Gii Win (aka: Anishinabe Wakiagun) | 1600 E Franklin Ave 1600 E 19th St | New Construction | 77 | 77 | 77 | 。 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Many Rivers East | 1829 S 5th Ave 1500 E Franklin Ave 1518 E Franklin Ave | New Construction | 53 | 40 | 3 | ${ }^{11}$ | 26 | ${ }^{13}$ | 0 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 75\% | Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) |
| Many Rivers West | 1410 E Franklin Av 1915 14th Ave S 1921 14th Ave S 1400 E Franklin Ave | New Constrution | 28 | 28 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 14 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 100\% | Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) |
| Phililis Place | 1313 E 19th St 1915 13th Ave S 1904 14th Ave S 1305 E 19th St 1317 E 19th St 1309 E 19th St 1315 E 19th St 1902 14th Ave S 1901 13th Ave S 1319 E 19th St 1900 14th Ave S 1903 13th Ave S 1905 13th Ave S | Preservation | 23 | 23 | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{9}$ | 14 | - | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 100\% | Subsidized - Other Local 4d |
| $\frac{91719 \text { St St E }}{\text { Canadian Terrace }}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Preservation }}$ Preseration | $\frac{2}{19}$ | ${ }_{1}^{2}$ | 0 | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }_{1}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 100\% | $\frac{\text { Local } 4 \mathrm{~d}}{\text { Subsidized- Other }}$ |


| PPL DECC Recapitalization Project |  | Preservation | 51 | 51 | 0 | 7 | 27 | 4 | ${ }^{13}$ | 7 | 38 | 6 | 0 | 100\% | Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resource, Inc. | 1826 Chicago Ave | Preservation | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20\% | Subsidized-Other |
| Collaborative Village lititative |  | New Construction | 20 | 18 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{4}$ | 8 | ${ }_{6}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }^{18}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 90\% | Project-Based Subisdy Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC $9 \%$ ) |
| 1822 Park | 1822 Park Ave | Preservation | 18 | 18 |  | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Subsidized-Other |
| ${ }_{\text {Indian }}^{1818 \text { Parke Ave }}$ S | ${ }_{1888}^{1885 \text { Park Ave }} 1$ | $\frac{\text { Preservation }}{\text { New Constuction }}$ | 19 20 | 19 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 19 | 1 | 100\% | $\frac{\text { Local } 4 \mathrm{~d}}{\text { Subsidied -Other }}$ |
| Franklin Gateway (the Rose, South Quarter IV) |  | Preservation | 120 | 77 | 88 | 18 | 34 | 17 | 0 | 19 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 64\% | Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Franklin-Portland Gateway Phase I | 2120 Oakland Ave 612 E22nd St 2118 Oakland Ave 2112 Oakland Ave 2116 Oakland Ave 2114 Oakland Ave 611 E Franklin Ave | New Construction | 36 | 36 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 9 | 0 | ${ }^{23}$ | 13 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| The Jourdain-Frankiin Portand Gateway (Phase II) | 1931 Portland Ave 612 E Franklin Ave 616 Eranklin Ave 2000 Portland Ave 2006 Portland Ave | New Construction | ${ }^{41}$ | 24 | ${ }^{6}$ | 8 | 9 | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 24 | ${ }^{0}$ | ${ }^{0}$ | 59\% | Tax Credit Subsidized - Other Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
| Pineclif f pts | $501 \mathrm{El9th}$ St | Preservation | 30 | 30 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Subsidized - Other |
| The Welltone At Franklin Portland Gateway Phase III | 620 E Franklii Ave | New Construction | 49 | 37 | ${ }^{5}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 16 | 13 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{37}$ | 0 | 0 | 76\% |  |
| Frankin Towers | 1920 4th Ave | Preservation | 110 | 110 | 0 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Public Housing |
| nton Avenue Townhomes (fka 18th \& Clinton Townh | 325 E 18th St 1803 Clinton Ave 1809 Clinton Ave 1811 Clinton Ave 1807 Clinton Ave | New Construction | ${ }^{8}$ | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | ${ }^{4}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 1 | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | 100\% | Project-Based Subsidy Subsidized - Other |
| Third Avenue Towers | 17773 rd Ave S | Preservation | 198 | 198 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | , | 100\% | Public Housing |
| $17 \times \mathrm{3}$ rd Avenue South | 17213 rddaves | Preservation | 16 | 12 | 5 | 7 |  | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 75\% | Local 4 d |
| The Lonoke (fla 1926 - $\mathbf{r c}$ d Av S) | 1926 3rd Ave S | Preservation | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 100\% | Subsidized - Other <br> Tax Credit (LIHTC 4\%) Tax Credit (LIHTC 9\%) |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum Tota } \\ \text { Snits } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sum Afforable Units 5,271 | Sum Affordable OBR 1,399 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum Affordable } \\ 1 B R \\ \mathbf{2 , 0 0 0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum Affordable } \\ 2 B R \\ 1,051 \end{gathered}$ | Sum Affordable $3 B R$ 272 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum Affordable } \\ 4 B R \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum Units at } \\ & 30 \% \text { AMI } \\ & \mathbf{1 , 4 0 8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum Units at } \\ 50 \% \text { AMI } \\ 1,890 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sum Units at } \\ & 60 \% \text { AMI } \\ & 1,898 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sum Units at } \\ 80 \% \text { AMI } \\ 75 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average Percent } \\ \text { Affordable } \\ \text { oวo, } \end{gathered}$ 83\% |  |

# HENNEPIN COUNTY <br> MINNESOTA 

December 5, 2023

Elaine Koutsoukos - TAB Coordinator
Metropolitan Council
390 North Robert Street
St. Paul, MN 55101
Re: Support for 2024 Regional Solicitation Application
7th Street S Reconstruction Project
Dear Ms. Koutsoukos,

Hennepin County has been notified that the City of Minneapolis is submitting a funding application as part of the 2024 Regional Solicitation through the Metropolitan Council. The proposed project includes reconstruction of 7th Street from CSAH 33 (Park Avenue) to 13th Avenue. Improvements are anticipated to replace aging and deteriorating pavement, as well as multimodal safety improvements.

As proposed, it's understood that this project is anticipated to terminate east of Park Avenue, which is currently under Hennepin County jurisdiction. At the time of application submittal, county staff would like to formally notify the city of the following planned improvements - understanding that these improvements, and others not yet programmed, are subject to change.

- Pavement preservation along Park Avenue and CSAH 35 (Portland Avenue) from approximately I-94 to CSAH 152 (Washington Avenue S), tentatively scheduled for 2024 (CP 4630000)

Hennepin County supports this funding application. At this time, Hennepin County has no funding programmed for this project in its 2023-2027 Transportation Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Therefore, county staff is currently unable to commit county cost participation in this project. Additionally, we kindly request that the city includes county staff in the project development process for the 7th Street S Reconstruction Project to ensure success. We look forward to working together to improve the accessibility, safety, and mobility of people walking and biking in Minneapolis.

Sincerely,

## Coner Stuelve

Carla Stueve, P.E.
Transportation Project Delivery Director and County Engineer
cc: Jason Pieper, P.E. - Capital Program Manager

Hennepin County Public Works
1600 Prairie Drive | Medina, MN
612-596-0356 | hennepin.us



7th Street S Reconstruction from east of Park Ave to 13th Ave S
Section 106 Historic Resources


## Legend

$\begin{array}{lll}---1 & \text { Project Corridor } \\ \text { 1. } \\ & & \text { mile project buffer }\end{array}$
National Register of Historic Places
1-- - 1

National Register of Historic Places District

|  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 0.4 Miles |

a service of the Metropolitan Council

560 Sixth Avenue North
Minneapolis, MN 55411-4398

December 14, 2013

Elaine Koutsoukos - TAB Coordinator
Metropolitan Council
390 North Robert Street
St. Paul, MN 55101

Re: Support for 2024 Regional Solicitation Application
7th St S Roadway Modernization/Reconstruction
Dear Ms. Koutsoukos,
Metro Transit supports the city's efforts to expand the bus lane network as part of their 7th Street S Reconstruction application (Roadway Modernization). This portion of th Street $S$ - from 13th Avenue $S$ to Park Avenue - is a critical segment that connects US Bank Stadium, Hennepin Healthcare, North Central College, large religious institutions, and all other residential, employment, and entertainment destinations in downtown. This project is anticipated to include accessibility, mobility, and safety improvements.

Among those improvements is the opportunity to extend the existing 7 th Street $S$ bus lane (Chicago Avenue $S$ to 1st Avenue N) five additional blocks eastward to 13 th Avenue S. Implemented in 2021, the current bus lane on 7th Street has improved travel times and reliability for the mix of BRT, local, and express service operating along the corridor. Extending the bus lane to 13th Avenue $S$ would benefit an additional 110 trips per day. Transit shelters and other transit accommodations will be included, as needed.

Metro Transit supports the city's funding application and agrees to operate and maintain the transit infrastructure in accordance with existing and future agreements with the City of Minneapolis.
Additionally, we anticipate close coordination with the City of Minneapolis through project development. We look forward to working together to improve the accessibility, mobility, and safety for people traveling in the downtown area.

Sincerely,


Ryan Heath
Manager of Transitways, and Speed and Reliability Planning
Metro Transit

# 7TH ST S ROADWAY MODERNIZATION 

## Project Overview

The City of Minneapolis has identified 7th Street South, between the I-94 off ramp and Park Avenue, as a future reconstruction candidate, driven primarily by pavement condition, multimodal connections, number of daily users, and access to critical services.
The Transportation Action Plan (2020), Complete Streets Policy (2021), and the City's commitment to Vision Zero (2017) provide guidance for the redesign of 7th Street South. The reconstruction project provides an opportunity for geometric changes with a design that addresses current and future needs. Improvements may include the following elements:

O Reduce the number of travel lanes from 4 lanes to 3 lanes, with off-peak parking

O Implement a dynamic lane that can extend the existing 7th St S bus only lane and also function for large event uses (e.g. US Bank Stadium events).

O Make sidewalk and intersections accessible for all users, install durable pavement markings and crosswalks, support pedestrian activities with space for planting and furnishing zones where feasible

O Replace aging traffic signal and stormwater infrastructure
O Maintain mobility and circulation for motor vehicles, including Hennepin Healthcare (f/k/a Hennepin County Medical Center)

Requested Federal Amount: \$7,000,000
Total Project Cost: \$11,764,550
7th Street South will be programmed in the City's 2025-2030
Capital Improvement Program for reconstruction in 2029.

## PROJECT SCHEDULE

| 2024 | 2025-2028 | Design | $>$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



## Existing Conditions:

It is a direct connection to Hennepin Healthcare, North Central College, religious institutions, and an important route for visitors to US Bank Stadium.


Daily users


## Our Goals



EASIER ACCESS TO MODERN PUBLIC TRANSIT

SAFER, FASTER, CLEANER COMMUTES FOR DRIVERS AND RIDERS

Transportation
Action Plan

This project is aligned with the Transportation Action Plan, the city's vision for safer, greener and more modern streets that serve all people and all the ways they want to get around.

## Contact us

Katie White, Senior Transportation Planner, Transportation Planning and Programming - Public Works
C. 612-283-2097
@ katie.white@minneapolismn.gov

For reasonable accommodations or alternative formats: People who are deaf or hard of hearing can use a relay service to call 311 at 612-673-3000. TTY users call 612-673-2157.
Para asistencia 612-673-2700 - Rau kev pab 612-673-2800 - Hadii aad Caawimaad u baahantahay 612-673-3500.


[^0]:    Limit 2,800 characters, approximately 400 words

[^1]:    1. What engagement methods and tools were used?
    2. How did you engage specific communities and populations likely to be directly impacted by the project?
    3. What techniques did you use to reach populations traditionally not involved in community engagement related to transportation projects?
    4. How were the project?s purpose and need identified?
    5. How was the community engaged as the project was developed and designed?
    6. How did you provide multiple opportunities for of Black, Indigenous, and People of Color populations, low-income populations, persons with disabilities, youth, older adults, and residents in affordable housing to engage at different points of project development?
    7. How did engagement influence the project plans or recommendations? How did you share back findings with community and re-engage to assess responsiveness of these changes?
    8. If applicable, howwill NEPA or Title VI regulations will guide engagement activities?
