Application

01968-2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
02217 - CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) and CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) Intersection Improvements
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:
Submitted Date:
Submitted
12/01/2014 1:25 PM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Christopher |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Senior Project Manager |  |  |  |
| Department: | Dakota County Transportation Department |  |  |  |
| Email: | chris.hartzell@co.dakota.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 14955 Galaxie Ave. S. |  |  |  |
| * | Apple Valley | Minnes |  | 55124 |
|  | City | State/Prov |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 952-891-7106 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 952-891-7127 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional Solic Elements | ation - Road | s Including | Multimodal |

## Organization Information

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: County Government
Organization Website:
Address: 14955 GALAXIE AVE

* | APPLE VALLEY | Minnesota | City |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

County:

Phone:*

Fax:

PeopleSoft Vendor Number

Dakota
952-891-7545
Ext.

0000002621 A28

## Project Information

Project Name

Primary County where the Project is Located

CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) and CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue)
Intersection Improvements
Dakota

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 26 (Lone Oak Road) is a four-lane, divided, A-Minor Reliever Arterial roadway. The westbound and eastbound approach geometrics consists of an exclusive left turn lane, two through lanes, and an right turn lane with a free right turn movement at the southeast quadrant. The 2013 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) is 24,800 west of CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) and 15,100 to the east. The current speed limit is 40 miles per hour west of CSAH 43 and 45 miles per hour to the east.

CSAH 43 is four-lane, divided on the northbound and undivided on the southbound, B-Minor Arterial roadway. The geometrics for the northbound approach consists of exclusive dual left turn lanes, a through lane, and a right turn lane and the southbound approach consists of two through lanes and an exclusive left turn lane. The 2013 AADT is 14,700 south of CSAH 26 and 10,900 to the north. The current speed limit is 50 miles per hour south of CSAH 26 and 40 miles per hour to the north.

Currently, the signalized intersection operates with split phase timing because of the mismatched turn lanes and roadway geometrics. To improve the safety and operations of the intersection, the following improvements are proposed:

Construct exclusive dual left turn lanes on the northbound and southbound approaches.

Construct exclusive right turn lanes on the southbound approach.

Reconstruct the signal

Change the left turn movement operation on

Lexington Avenue to protected/permissive utilizing flashing yellow operations.

Address pedestrian and ADA issues.
Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles)

## Connection to Local Planning:

Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

This project has been identified in the Draft Dakota County 2015-2019 Capital Improvements Plan (pages 3-5) and the City of Eagan 2015-2019 Capital Improvement Program (pages 60, 62). In addition, this project was identified in the Dakota County Safety Plan as a high priority intersection to reduce right angle crashes (page 4-4).

## Project Funding

| Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| this project? |  |
| If yes, please identify the source(s) |  |
| Federal Amount | $\$ 2,000,000.00$ |
| Match Amount | $\$ 500,000.00$ |
| Minimum of 20\% of project total | $\$ 2,500,000.00$ |
| Project Total | $20.0 \%$ |
| Match Percentage | County/City Funds |
| Minimum of 20\% <br> Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |
| Source of Match Funds | 2019 |

## MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects

County, City, or Lead Agency

Functional Class of Road

Dakota County
A - Minor Reliever

Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET

Name of Road

Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date
(Approximate) End Construction Date
LOCATION
From:
(Intersection or Address)
Do not include legal description;
Include name of roadway if majority of facility
runs adjacent to a single corridor.
To:
(Intersection or Address)

Type of Work

Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge,
Park \& Ride, etc.)
Old Bridge/Culvert?
No
New Bridge/Culvert?
No

Structure is Over/Under
(Bridge or culvert name):

## Specific Roadway Elements

| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST | Cost |
| :--- | ---: |
| ESTIMATES | $\$ 90,000.00$ |
| Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) | $\$ 90,000.00$ |
| Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) | $\$ 65,000.00$ |
| Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) | $\$ 745,000.00$ |
| Roadway (aggregates and paving) | $\$ 0.00$ |
| Subgrade Correction (muck) | $\$ 430,000.00$ |
| Storm Sewer | $\$ 90,000.00$ |
| Ponds | $\$ 200,000.00$ |
| Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) | $\$ 20,000.00$ |
| Traffic Control | $\$ 10,000.00$ |
| Striping | $\$ 5,000.00$ |

Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping ..... \$5,000.00
Bridge ..... $\$ 0.00$
Retaining Walls ..... \$180,000.00
Noise Wall ..... $\$ 0.00$
Traffic Signals ..... \$405,000.00
Wetland Mitigation ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection ..... $\$ 0.00$
RR Crossing ..... $\$ 0.00$
Roadway Contingencies ..... \$90,000.00
Other Roadway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$2,425,000.00
Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES
Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... \$50,000.00
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... \$5,000.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... \$20,000.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$75,000.00
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... \$0.00
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTSTransit Operating Costs$\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

| Total Cost | $\$ 2,500,000.00$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Construction Cost Total | $\$ 2,500,000.00$ |
| Transit Operating Cost Total | $\$ 0.00$ |

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4.The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between \$1,000,000 and \$7,000,000. Roadway system management must be between \$250,000 and \$7,000,000.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

## Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only

1.The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

## Bridge Projects Only

3. The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Bridge Replacement Projects Only

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only
11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2217 Dakota Co HSIP.pdf | Crash B/C <br> Concept layout of the CSAH 26 (Lone <br> Oak Road) and CSAH 43 (Lexington <br> Avenue) Intersection Improvements | 32 KB |
| 2652 LAYOUT.pdf | MnDOT Letter of Support | 672 KB |
| CSAH 26_CSAH 43 (East of I-35E <br> interchange) MnDOT Letter of <br> support.pdf <br> Eagan - Letter of Support 26-43 Regional <br> Solicit.pdf | City of Eagan Letter of Support | 38 KB |

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

```
Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table
Hour NB/EB Volume SB/WB Volume Capacity \begin{array}{l}{\mathrm{ Volume exceeds}}\\{\mathrm{ capacity }}\end{array}
```


## Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:

| Area | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Length | 0 |
| Average Distance | 0 |
| Upload Map |  |

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

```
Location
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume
```

East of CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) at CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) Intersection
992.0

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply

| Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Direct connection to or within a mile of a <br> Manufacturing/Distribution Location | Yes |
| Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution | Yes |
| Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an <br> existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or <br> city plan | Yes |

This project is located in an area of job concentration, manufacturing and distribution, and multiple educational institutions as detailed in the attached regional economy map. In addition, this project provides a major east-west connection along Dakota County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 26 (Lone Oak Road) to the Eagan Community Center which is approximately 1 mile from the intersection of CSAH 26 \& CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) located along CSAH 31 (Pilot Knob Road). The Eagan Community Center is shown in the adopted City of Eagan 2030 Comprehensive Plan (page 59).

Regional Economy Map.pdf

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

```
Location
    CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) between I-35E and CSAH 43
Current AADT Volume
Existing Transit Routes on the Project
```

CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) between I-35E and CSAH 43 24800.0

2

Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

## Measure B: $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume
0
OR
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Forecast (2030) ADT volume

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:
Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Upload Map

Yes

The project is surrounded by areas of above average concentration of race and poverty as show in census tracts 607.26, 607.28, and 605.05. This corridor connects areas of employment, commercial, industrial, and a few residential areas. A shared use trail on both sides of both the major and minor legs of the intersection will provide for ADA compliant safe crossings for all users. The primary benefit to the community will be realized through reduced delays and increased safety at the intersection for motorists, transit, and pedestrian users.

Socio-Economic Conditions Map.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township
Segment Length (Miles)
Eagan


Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Dakota County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 26 (Lone Oak Road) and CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) are currently four-lane, divided roadways, except on the southbound approach. The signal operates with split phase timing because of mismatched turn lanes and roadway geometrics. To improve the safety and operations of the intersection, the intersection is proposed to be constructed with exclusive dual left turn lanes on the northbound and southbound approaches and exclusive right turn lanes on all approaches of the intersection.

Drainage improvements to the project will be constructed as a result of the installation of additional turn lanes and new impervious surfaces including upgrading the existing storm sewer for capacity and providing ponding per the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and Local Watershed requirements.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet

Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project
Cost Effectiveness
Synchro or HCM Reports
\$2,500,000.00
31.0
15.0
16.0
\$156,250.00
Synchro Reports.pdf

## Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet
Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project
Cost Effectiveness
Synchro or HCM Reports
\$2,500,000.00
1.52
\$1,644,736.84
Synchro Reports - Emission Reduction.pdf

Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

## Measure A: Transit Connections

| Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project | 446, 489 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment <br> and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP) | N/A |
| Upload Map | Transit Connections Map.pdf |

## Response

## Met Council Staff Data Entry Only

Route Ridership
116787.0

Transitway Ridership 0

## Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

Both Dakota County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 26
(Lone Oak Road) and CSAH 43 (Lexington
Avenue) currently have shared use trails on both sides of the roadway that provide pedestrian access to the heart of the business and commercial /industrial corridor surrounding this intersection, including the Eagandale Center Industrial Park, the United States Postal Service Bulk Mail Center, and
Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words) the Eagan Promenade. The primary pedestrian and bicycle traffic that this intersection experiences will be commuter traffic that connects people to these areas of employment. CSAH 26 is listed as a Tier 2 Regional Bicycle Transportation Corridor and the CSAH 26 and CSAH 43 intersection is the primary intersection that feeds pedestrian traffic to Lexington Park via the trail systems along the roadway corridor.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

The proposed project includes reconstruction of the existing trails on the west side of the northbound approach and both sides of the southbound approach. The entire signal will be reconstructed with accessible pedestrian signals and ADA standards being applied to provide safe pedestrian and bicycle movements through the intersection.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred
$100 \%$
Stakeholders have been identified Yes
40\%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted
0\%
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed Yes

## $100 \%$

Layout or Preliminary Plan started
50\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
03/30/2018
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS
EA
PM
Yes
Document Status:

## Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50\%
Document not started Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
06/30/2018
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated

80\%
Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water
Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)
No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area Yes

100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4 f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources
likely
30\%

Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area 0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%

Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified
Yes
25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified 0\%

Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed 0\%

Anticipated date or date of acquisition
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
Yes
100\%

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%

Construction plans in progress; at least $30 \%$ completion

## 50\%

Construction plans have not been started Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
11/30/2018
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date
02/27/2019

## Summary

HSIP Benefit/Cost Worksheet
Dakota County
CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) and CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) Intersection Improvements


-co

HORZ.

C.S.A.H. 26 (LONE OAK RD.) \&
C.S.A.H. 43 (LEXINGTON AVE.) INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS CONCEPT LAYOUT

Minnesota Department of Transportation
Metro District
1500 West County Road B-2
Roseville, MN 5511

November 25, 2014
Brian K. Sorenson
Assistant County Engineer
Dakota County Transportation Department
14955 Galaxie Avenue
Apple Valley, MN 55124
RE: Regional Solicitation Application for intersection improvements at CSAH26 and CSAH 43 (just east of I-35E interchange)

Dear Mr. Sorenson:

Thank you for requesting a letter of support from MnDOT for the Metropolitan Council's 2014 Regional Solicitation. Your application for intersection improvements at CSAH26 and CSAH 43 (just east of I-35E interchange) impacts MnDOT right of way on along I-35E.

As the agency with jurisdiction over I-35E, MnDOT supports the application for intersection improvements near I-35E. Details of a future maintenance agreement with the county will be determined during project development to define how the project will be maintained for the project's useful life.

This project currently has no funding from MnDOT.
Sincerely,


Scott McBride, P.E.
Metro District Engineer
Cc: Elaine Koustsoukos, Metropolitan Council Jon Solberg, MnDOT Metro District - South Area Manager

Mike Maguire
Mayor
November 14, 2014

Paul Bakken
Cyndee Fields
Gary Hansen
Meg Wiley
Council Members

Dave Osberg
City Administrator

Municipal Center 3830 Pilot Knob Road Sagan, MN 55122-1810
651.675 .5000 phone 651.675.5012 fax 651.454.8535 TDD

Maintenance Facility
3501 Coachman Point
Eagan, MN 55122
651.675.5300 phone
651.675.5360 fax 651.454.8535 TDD
www.cityofeagan.com

The Lone Oak Tree
The symbol of
strength and growth in our community.

Mr. Mark Krebsbach, P.E.
Dakota County Engineer
Western Service Center
14955 Galaxie Ave. S.
Apple Valley, MN 55124

RE: Federal STP Letter of Support for Dakota County CSAH 26 and CSAH 43 Intersection Improvements (Roadway Reconstruction / Modernization) Project

Dear Mark:

The City of Eagan is supportive of Dakota County's application for federal funding for signal phasing and geometric improvements to the intersection of County State Aid Highway (CSAH) 26 (Lone Oak Road) and CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue). This project would be a joint effort between the City of Eagan and Dakota County.

The City of Eagan is aware of and understands the proposed project will affect Dakota County CSAH 26 and CSAH 43. Dakota County has jurisdiction over CSAH 26 and CSAH 43 and commits to operate and maintain this roadway for its design life.

The City of Eagan supports this proposed project for federal funding and agrees to provide a financial commitment for the improvements directly related to CSAH 26 and CSAH 43, consistent with the current County cost participation policy. Thank you for making us aware of this application effort and the opportunity to provide support.

Sincerely,


John Gorder, P.E.
City Engineer

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) \& CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) Interse | Map ID: 141 p912 Results

Project IN area of Job Concentration.
Project IN area of
Manufacturing and Distribution.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Education Institutions.


Project O PostSecondary Education Centers $\square$ Job Concentration Centers
Project Area $\square$ Manfacturing/Distribution Centers
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete eisclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) \& CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) Interse | Map D

Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.


Project
Project Area

Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
ittp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

## 11: CSAH 43/Lexington Ave \& CSAH 26/Lone Oak Rd

Dieclion
Volume (vph) 3233
Total Delay / Veh (s/v) 31
CO Emissions (kg) 6.86
NOX Emissions (kg) 1.34
VOC Emissions (kg) 1.59

## 11: CSAH 43/Lexington Ave \& CSAH 26/Lone Oak Rd

| Direction | All |
| :---: | :---: |
| Volume (vph) | 3233 |
| Total Delay / Veh ( $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{v}$ ) | 15 |
| COEmissions (kg) | 5.80 |
| NOX Emissions (kg) | 1.13 |
| VOC Emissions (kg) | 1.34 |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＇ 1 | 性 | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 44 | I＇ | \％ | ¢4 | 「 | \％ | 禹 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 462 | 418 | 50 | 50 | 111 | 61 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1526 | 3164 | 1500 | 1676 | 3175 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.985 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1526 | 3164 | 1500 | 1676 | 3175 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 232 |  |  | 136 |  |  | 177 |  | 61 |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 462 | 418 | 50 | 50 | 111 | 61 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 286 | 594 | 50 | 50 | 172 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 38.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 14.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 34.0 | 52.4 | 52.4 | 8.8 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 10.9 | 10.9 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.09 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.51 | 0.63 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.09 | 0.33 | 0.50 |  |
| Control Delay | 40.5 | 31.1 | 4.4 | 69.6 | 47.7 | 2.3 | 40.7 | 37.9 | 1.5 | 57.4 | 38.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 40.5 | 31.1 | 4.4 | 69.6 | 47.7 | 2.3 | 40.7 | 37.9 | 1.5 | 57.4 | 38.6 |  |
| LOS | D | C | A | E | D | A | D | D | A | E | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 28.3 |  |  | 47.1 |  |  | 36.8 |  |  | 42.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 156 | 310 | 0 | 47 | 90 | 0 | 212 | 219 | 0 | 37 | 42 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | 240 | 413 | 53 | 93 | 137 | 0 | 296 | 268 | 9 | 79 | 80 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1126 |  |  | 1236 |  |  | 735 |  |  | 1591 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 160 | 300 |  | 300 | 80 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 474 | 1463 | 785 | 139 | 702 | 421 | 540 | 1120 | 645 | 156 | 352 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.63 | 0.30 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.32 | 0.49 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBT，Start of Green，Master Intersection
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.63
Intersection Signal Delay： 34.4
Intersection LOS：C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67．9\％
ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15

Splits and Phases：11：CSAH 43／Lexington Ave \＆CSAH 26／Lone Oak Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 44 | 「 | \％ | 44 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4＊ | 「 | 9 | 紈 |  |
| Volume（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 439 | 200 | 89 | 30 | 503 | 182 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1526 | 3128 | 1500 | 1676 | 3219 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 | 0.974 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1526 | 3128 | 1500 | 1676 | 3219 | 0 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 368 |  |  | 127 |  |  | 123 |  | 43 |  |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 439 | 200 | 89 | 30 | 503 | 182 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 219 | 420 | 89 | 30 | 685 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total Split（s） | 18.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 22.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 11.7 | 36.2 | 36.2 | 13.8 | 38.3 | 38.3 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 32.2 | 32.2 |  |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.27 |  |
| v／c Ratio | 0.63 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.77 |  |
| Control Delay | 67.1 | 24.6 | 10.4 | 64.6 | 49.9 | 4.1 | 48.6 | 40.8 | 2.8 | 31.7 | 43.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 67.1 | 24.6 | 10.4 | 64.6 | 49.9 | 4.1 | 48.6 | 40.8 | 2.8 | 31.7 | 43.8 |  |
| LOS | E | C | B | E | D | A | D | D | A | C | D |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 23.6 |  |  | 49.7 |  |  | 38.5 |  |  | 43.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 75 | 155 | 83 | 90 | 313 | 2 | 181 | 173 | 3 | 17 | 236 |  |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | m123 | 220 | 131 | m143 | 369 | m12 | 182 | 146 | m9 | 41 | 304 |  |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1126 |  |  | 1236 |  |  | 735 |  |  | 1591 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ ft ） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 160 | 300 |  | 300 | 80 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 195 | 1012 | 709 | 251 | 1070 | 565 | 326 | 669 | 417 | 488 | 969 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.67 | 0.63 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.71 |  |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBT，Start of Green，Master Intersection
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.77
Intersection Signal Delay： 38.6
Intersection LOS：D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 74．3\％
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period（min） 15
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal．
Splits and Phases：11：CSAH 43／Lexington Ave \＆CSAH 26／Lone Oak Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 禹 | F＇ | ${ }^{7}$ | 脊 | 7 | 710 | 來 | 「＂ | ＊／ |  | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 462 | 418 | 50 | 50 | 111 | 61 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 3252 | 3353 | 1500 | 3252 | 3353 | 1500 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.584 |  |  | 0.228 |  |  | 0.682 |  |  | 0.335 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1031 | 3353 | 1500 | 402 | 3353 | 1500 | 2335 | 3353 | 1500 | 1147 | 3353 | 1500 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 232 |  |  | 214 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 209 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 462 | 418 | 50 | 50 | 111 | 61 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 462 | 418 | 50 | 50 | 111 | 61 |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 |
| Total Split（s） | 21.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 12.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 | 21.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 10.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 72.6 | 68.5 | 68.5 | 73.4 | 60.7 | 60.7 | 35.4 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 34.9 | 14.9 | 12.4 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.61 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.12 | 0.10 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.56 | 0.54 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.27 | 0.18 |
| Control Delay | 10.2 | 17.7 | 1.9 | 9.1 | 14.1 | 0.1 | 32.2 | 39.4 | 0.4 | 28.4 | 48.5 | 1.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 10.2 | 17.7 | 1.9 | 9.1 | 14.1 | 0.1 | 32.2 | 39.4 | 0.4 | 28.4 | 48.5 | 1.1 |
| LOS | B | B | A | A | B | A | C | D | A | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.8 |  |  | 11.8 |  |  | 33.7 |  |  | 30.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 70 | 235 | 3 | 14 | 41 | 0 | 125 | 158 | 0 | 14 | 42 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | m96 | m383 | m15 | 29 | 66 | 0 | 148 | 143 | 0 | 27 | 67 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1126 |  |  | 1236 |  |  | 735 |  |  | 1591 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 160 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 738 | 1913 | 955 | 332 | 1696 | 864 | 842 | 859 | 506 | 465 | 530 | 386 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.55 | 0.49 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.21 | 0.16 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBWB and 6：EBWB，Start of Green，Master Intersection
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.56
Intersection Signal Delay： 21.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63．7\％
Intersection LOS：C
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal．
Splits and Phases：11：CSAH 43／Lexington Ave \＆CSAH 26／Lone Oak Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 7 | \％ | 44 | 7 | ㄴ．7 | 种 | \％ | 年交 | 44 | \％ |
| Volume（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 439 | 200 | 89 | 30 | 503 | 182 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 3252 | 3353 | 1500 | 3252 | 3353 | 1500 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.248 |  |  | 0.431 |  |  | 0.276 |  |  | 0.607 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 438 | 3353 | 1500 | 761 | 3353 | 1500 | 945 | 3353 | 1500 | 2078 | 3353 | 1500 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 368 |  |  | 168 |  |  | 118 |  |  | 182 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 439 | 200 | 89 | 30 | 503 | 182 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 439 | 200 | 89 | 30 | 503 | 182 |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | D．P | 4 | Per |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 13.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 22.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 5.5 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 59.6 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 59.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 48.4 | 28.0 | 25.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.64 | 0.39 |
| Control Delay | 23.4 | 29.4 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 24.3 | 21.6 | 3.1 | 18.9 | 45.1 | 7.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.4 | 29.4 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 24.3 | 21.6 | 3.1 | 18.9 | 45.1 | 7.9 |
| LOS | C | C | B | B | C | A | C | C | A | B | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.9 |  |  | 18.4 |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | 34.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ ft ） | 44 | 114 | 77 | 38 | 165 | 0 | 83 | 47 | 2 | 6 | 184 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | m74 | 153 | 109 | m62 | 204 | m0 | 164 | 77 | m9 | 15 | 234 | 58 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1126 |  |  | 1236 |  |  | 735 |  |  | 1591 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ ft ） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 160 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 337 | 1453 | 858 | 451 | 1442 | 741 | 769 | 1233 | 625 | 910 | 894 | 506 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 0.36 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBWB and 6：EBWB，Start of Green，Master Intersection
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.64
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68．5\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal．
Splits and Phases：11：CSAH 43／Lexington Ave \＆CSAH 26／Lone Oak Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 44 | 7 | 7 | 坐 | F | №n | 4 | 7 | 7\% | 种 | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ |
| Volume (vph) | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 462 | 418 | 50 | 50 | 111 | 61 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 3252 | 1765 | 1500 | 3252 | 3353 | 1500 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.572 |  |  | 0.196 |  |  | 0.682 |  |  | 0.218 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1009 | 3353 | 1500 | 346 | 3353 | 1500 | 2335 | 1765 | 1500 | 746 | 3353 | 1500 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 232 |  |  | 168 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 164 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 462 | 418 | 50 | 50 | 111 | 61 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 241 | 925 | 232 | 61 | 243 | 34 | 462 | 418 | 50 | 50 | 111 | 61 |
| Turn Type | D.P+P | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Perm | D.P+P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 |
| Total Split (s) | 17.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 10.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 11.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 10.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 6.5 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 63.0 | 59.2 | 59.2 | 63.8 | 51.4 | 51.4 | 45.0 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 44.5 | 34.0 | 31.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.26 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.40 | 0.56 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 0.76 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 9.0 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 15.2 | 20.4 | 0.1 | 24.7 | 41.7 | 0.3 | 19.9 | 29.5 | 0.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 9.0 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 15.2 | 20.4 | 0.1 | 24.7 | 41.7 | 0.3 | 19.9 | 29.5 | 0.5 |
| LOS | A | B | A | B | C | A | C | D | A | B | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 10.3 |  |  | 17.4 |  |  | 31.0 |  |  | 19.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 50 | 171 | 2 | 19 | 62 | 0 | 111 | 259 | 0 | 11 | 32 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | m89 | m230 | m11 | 38 | 90 | 1 | 130 | 277 | 0 | 22 | 51 | 0 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1126 |  |  | 1236 |  |  | 735 |  |  | 1591 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( ft ) | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 160 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 613 | 1655 | 857 | 258 | 1436 | 738 | 945 | 654 | 659 | 433 | 1201 | 614 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.39 | 0.56 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.49 | 0.64 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.10 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBWB and 6:EBWB, Start of Green, Master Intersection
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64.4\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 11: CSAH 43/Lexington Ave \& CSAH 26/Lone Oak Rd


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 坐 | ${ }^{7}$ | \％ | 44 | \％ | 71 | 4 | \％ | 711 | 甡 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 439 | 200 | 89 | 30 | 503 | 182 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 1676 | 3353 | 1500 | 3252 | 1765 | 1500 | 3252 | 3353 | 1500 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.248 |  |  | 0.431 |  |  | 0.276 |  |  | 0.541 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 438 | 3353 | 1500 | 761 | 3353 | 1500 | 945 | 1765 | 1500 | 1852 | 3353 | 1500 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 368 |  |  | 168 |  |  | 118 |  |  | 182 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 439 | 200 | 89 | 30 | 503 | 182 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 102 | 425 | 368 | 122 | 730 | 43 | 439 | 200 | 89 | 30 | 503 | 182 |
| Turn Type | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm | D．P＋P | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 4 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 13.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 22.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 10.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 5.5 |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 59.6 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 59.6 | 51.6 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 48.4 | 28.0 | 25.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.23 | 0.21 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.64 | 0.39 |
| Control Delay | 23.4 | 29.4 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 24.3 | 24.1 | 3.1 | 18.9 | 45.1 | 7.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.4 | 29.4 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 24.3 | 24.1 | 3.1 | 18.9 | 45.1 | 7.9 |
| LOS | C | C | B | B | C | A | C | C | A | B | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.9 |  |  | 18.4 |  |  | 21.6 |  |  | 34.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（ft） | 44 | 114 | 77 | 38 | 165 | 0 | 83 | 100 | 2 | 6 | 184 | 0 |
| Queue Length 95th（ft） | m74 | 153 | 109 | m62 | 204 | m0 | 164 | 164 | m9 | 15 | 234 | 58 |
| Internal Link Dist（ft） |  | 1126 |  |  | 1236 |  |  | 735 |  |  | 1591 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ft） | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 160 | 300 |  | 300 | 300 |  | 300 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 337 | 1453 | 858 | 451 | 1442 | 741 | 769 | 648 | 625 | 833 | 894 | 506 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.43 | 0.27 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.56 | 0.36 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： 0 （ $0 \%$ ），Referenced to phase 2：EBWB and 6：EBWB，Start of Green，Master Intersection
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.64
Intersection Signal Delay： 23.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68．5\％
Analysis Period（min） 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal．
Splits and Phases：11：CSAH 43／Lexington Ave \＆CSAH 26／Lone Oak Rd


## 11: CSAH 43/Lexington Ave \& CSAH 26/Lone Oak Rd

 DirectionVolume (vph) 3233

Total Delay / Veh (s/v) CO Emissions (kg) NOX Emissions (kg) VOC Emissions (kg)


## 11: CSAH 43/Lexington Ave \& CSAH 26/Lone Oak Rd

Volume (vph)
Total Delay / Veh (s/v) CO Emissions (kg)
NOx Emissions (kg)
VOC Emissions (kg)


Transit Connections Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CSAH 26 (Lone Oak Road) \& CSAH 43 (Lexington Avenue) Interse | Map ID: 14159 202: Results

Transit with a Direct Connection to project: 446489
*indicates Planned Alignments


For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

