Application

01968-2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization
02192 - Ramsey County Road C (CSAH 23)/Hennepin CSAH 94 (29th Ave. NE) Reconstruction- CSAH 88 to Long Lake Road
Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements
Status: Submitted
Submitted Date:
12/01/2014 10:26 AM

## Primary Contact

| Name:* |  | Joseph |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Salutation | First Name | Middle Name | Last Name |
| Title: | Senior Planner |  |  |  |
| Department: | Ramsey County Public Works |  |  |  |
| Email: | joseph.lux@co.ramsey.mn.us |  |  |  |
| Address: | 1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive |  |  |  |
| * | Arden Hills | Minnesota |  | 55112 |
|  | City | State/Province |  | Postal Code/Zip |
| Phone:* | 651-266-7114 |  |  |  |
|  | Phone |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: | 651-266-711 |  |  |  |
| What Grant Programs are you most interested in? | Regional So Elements | ation - Roadway | s Includin | Multimodal |

## Organization Information

## Name:

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):
Organization Type: County Government
Organization Website:

Address: | DEPT OF PUBLIC WORKS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 1425 PAUL KIRKWOOD DR |

| * | ARDEN HILLS | Minnesota | 55112 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | City | State/Province | Postal Code/Zip |
| County: | Ramsey |  |  |
| Phone:* | 651-266-7100 |  |  |
|  |  | Ext. |  |
| Fax: |  |  |  |
| PeopleSoft Vendor Number | 0000023983A30 |  |  |

## Project Information

Project Name
Primary County where the Project is Located
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

Ramsey County Road C (CSAH 23)/Hennepin CSAH 94 (29th
Ave. NE) Reconstruction
Ramsey
Ramsey County and Hennepin County
Reconstruction of 29th Avenue NE and County
Road C from 100 feet east of CSAH 88 to 25 west of Long Lake Road. The project will reconstruct a failing concrete roadway, reconstruct storm sewers and curb and gutter and construct a multi-use trail on the south side of the road. An existing traffic signal at Walnut Street will be replaced and the Minnesota Commercial Railroad crossing will be replaced with a new concrete surface and the signals upgraded to include gates. Access management improvements will be negotiated as part of the public involvement process.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.
Project Length (Miles)
0.96

Connection to Local Planning:
Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

## Project Funding

| Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement <br> this project? | No |
| :--- | :--- |
| If yes, please identify the source(s)  <br> Federal Amount $\$ 4,496,848.00$ <br> Match Amount $\$ 1,124,213.00$ <br> Minimum of 20\% of project total $\$ 5,621,061.00$ <br> Project Total $20.0 \%$Match Percentage <br> Minimum of 20\% <br> Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total |  |

Source of Match Funds CSAH and local funds.

Preferred Program Year
Select one:
2019

## MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects

County, City, or Lead Agency
Functional Class of Road

Road System
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET

Name of Road

Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date
(Approximate) End Construction Date
LOCATION
From:
(Intersection or Address)
Do not include legal description;
Include name of roadway if majority of facility
runs adjacent to a single corridor.

Ramsey County Public Works
Class A Minor Arterial- Augmenter
CSAH- Ramsey CSAH 23 and Hennepin CSAH 94

Ramsey CSAH 23- County Road C; Hennepin CSAH 94- 29th Avenue Northeast

55113
05/06/2019
11/15/2019

250' East of CSAH 88

| To: <br> (Intersection or Address) | 25' West of Long Lake Road |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type of Work | Grading, Aggregate Base, Strom Sewer, Concrete Surfacin Multi-use Trail, Traffic Signal, including Audible Pedestrian Signals and Countdown Timers |
| Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge, Park \& Ride, etc.) |  |
| Old Bridge/Culvert? | No |
| New Bridge/Culvert? | No |
| Structure is Over/Under <br> (Bridge or culvert name): |  |
| Specific Roadway Elements |  |
| CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES | Cost |
| Mobilization (approx. 5\% of total cost) | \$185,000.00 |
| Removals (approx. 5\% of total cost) | \$265,344.54 |
| Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.) | \$515,198.50 |
| Roadway (aggregates and paving) | \$2,051,224.75 |
| Subgrade Correction (muck) | \$0.00 |
| Storm Sewer | \$1,294,000.00 |
| Ponds | \$0.00 |
| Concrete Items (curb \& gutter, sidewalks, median barriers) | \$420,768.04 |
| Traffic Control | \$185,000.00 |
| Striping | \$9,653.15 |
| Signing | \$0.00 |
| Lighting | \$0.00 |
| Turf - Erosion \& Landscaping | \$28,872.00 |
| Bridge | \$0.00 |
| Retaining Walls | \$0.00 |
| Noise Wall | \$0.00 |
| Traffic Signals | \$550,000.00 |
| Wetland Mitigation | \$0.00 |
| Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection | \$0.00 |
| RR Crossing | \$110,000.00 |
| Roadway Contingencies | \$0.00 |
| Other Roadway Elements | \$6,000.00 |

Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES Cost
Path/Trail Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Sidewalk Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction ..... $\$ 0.00$
Right-of-Way ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK) ..... $\$ 0.00$
Pedestrian-scale Lighting ..... $\$ 0.00$
Streetscaping ..... $\$ 0.00$
Wayfinding ..... $\$ 0.00$
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Specific Transit and TDM Elements
CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Stations, Stops, and Terminals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Support Facilities ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)
Vehicles ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit and TDM Contingencies ..... $\$ 0.00$
Other Transit and TDM Elements ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$
Transit Operating Costs
OPERATING COSTS ..... Cost
Transit Operating Costs ..... $\$ 0.00$
Totals ..... $\$ 0.00$

## Totals

Total Cost
\$5,621,060.98
Construction Cost Total
\$5,621,060.98
Transit Operating Cost Total
$\$ 0.00$

## Requirements - All Projects

## All Projects

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
4. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between $\$ 1,000,000$ and $\$ 7,000,000$. Roadway system management must be between \$250,000 and \$7,000,000.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
5.The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
7.The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
9.The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
10.The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

## Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only
1.The project must be designed to meet 10 -ton load limit standards.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
2.Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.
The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes
Bridge Projects Only
3.The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
6.The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
7.The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
8.Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Replacement Projects Only
10.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.
Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only
11.The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

## Other Attachments

| File Name | Description | File Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2192 Ramsey Co HSIP.pdf | Crash B/C | 29 KB |
| Accident Diagram County Road C \& Walnut St.pdf | County Road C/Walnut Street Crash Diagram | 78 KB |
| County Road C_RegSolic_Support Letter_HennepinCo.pdf | Hennepin County Support Letter | 275 KB |
| CountyRoadCLocation.pdf | Ramsey County Road C (CSAH <br> 23)/Hennepin CSAH 94 (29th Ave. NE) <br> Location Map | 23.5 MB |
| CSAH 94 E of CSAH 88-2014 Hvy Comm Counts.pdf | Hennepin County 2014 Classification Count, East of CSAH 88 | 166 KB |
| RdwayAreaDef.pdf | Roadway Area Definition | 838 KB |
| RegionalEcon.pdf | Regional Economy | 1.4 MB |
| Roseville Pathway Master Plan Map.pdf | City of Roseville Pathway Master Plan Map | 912 KB |
| Roseville RC County Road C Federal Funding Letter of Support Nov 2014.pdf | City of Roseville Support Letter | 97 KB |
| SocioEcon.pdf | Socio Economic | 1.4 MB |
| TransitCon.pdf | Transit Connections | 1.5 MB |

## Reliever: Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)

## Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or

Facility being relieved
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below)

## Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour NB/EB Volume SB/WB Volume

Capacity
Volume exceeds
capacity

```
12:00am-1:00am
1:00am-2:00am
2:00am-3:00am
3:00am-4:00am
4:00am-5:00am
5:00am-6:00am
6:00am - 7:00am
7:00am-8:00am
8:00am-9:00am
9:00am-10:00am
10:00am-11:00am
11:00am-12:00pm
12:00pm - 1:00pm
1:00pm-2:00pm
2:00pm-3:00pm
3:00pm-4:00pm
4:00pm - 5:00pm
5:00pm-6:00pm
6:00pm-7:00pm
7:00pm-8:00pm
8:00pm-9:00pm
9:00pm-10:00pm
10:00pm-11:00pm
11:00pm - 12:00am
```


## Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:
Area
Project Length
Average Distance
Upload Map

Augmenter
1.761
0.939
1.8754

County Road C Roadway Definition.pdf

## Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

## Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration Yes
Direct connection to or within a mile of a
Manufacturing/Distribution Location

Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution Yes
Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or city plan

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters;
approximately 100 words)
Upload Map County Road C Regional Economy.pdf

## Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Location | East of Walnut Street |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current AADT Volume | 13600.0 |
| Existing Transit Routes on the Project | 32,264 |

## Response: Current Daily Person Throughput

| Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership | 266.0 |
| :--- | :--- |

## Measure B: $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume 18000.0

## OR

Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume

Forecast (2030) ADT volume
0

## Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select one:

Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color

Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

Upload Map

The project is not in any of the areas listed above because there are no residences immediately adjacent to the project. The project area does include a concentrated area of poverty and an area above the regional average concentration of race/poverty. The route provides a direct connection to a significant area of manufacturing jobs, as well as freight terminals, which provide job opportunities for laborers, semi-skilled, skilled, and technical workers.

County Road c Socio-Economic.pdf

## Measure B: Affordable Housing

City/Township
St. Anthony Village
Roseville

Segment Length (Miles) 0.16

Total Project Length
Total Project Length

Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

| City/TownshipSegment <br> Length (Miles) | Total Length <br> (Miles) | Score | Segment <br> Length/Total <br> Length | Housing Score <br> Multiplied by <br> Segment <br> percent |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Roseville | 0.8 | 0.96 | 81.0 | 0.833 | 67.5 |
| St. Anthony <br> Village | 0.16 | 0.96 | 55.0 | 0.167 | 9.167 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 n}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |

# Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff 

| Total Project Length (Miles) | 0.96 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Housing Score | 76.667 |

## Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original
Roadway Construction
or Most Recent
Roadway Segment
Length (Miles)
Reconstruction

| 1979.0 | 0.96 | 1899.84 | 1979.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1 | 1900 | 1979 |

## Average Construction Year

Weighted Year
1979.0

## Total Segment Length (Miles)

Total Segment Length
0.96

## Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

The roadway in the project area was constructed in 1979 with a 3.5 ' subcut, providing a sound base which will be retained. The pavement design, however, was inadequate to accommodate the traffic loads generated by the nearby freight terminals and has failed. The curb and gutter are deteriorated to the point that they require replacement. Due to its age, there are storm sewer deficiencies which will be rehabilitated and current BMPs incorporated into the project. The traffic signal at Walnut Street is also in need of replacement, due to its age. This project will address all of these issues and add a trail on the south side of the road to accommodate bikes and pedestrians.

## Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

| Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet | $\$ 5,621,060.98$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project | 17.36 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project | 10.18 |
| Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project | 7.18 |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 782,877.57$ |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | CR C-Walnut Retimed-PM LT Lane.pdf |

## Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction

| Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet | $\$ 5,621,060.98$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project | 7.18 |
| Cost Effectiveness | $\$ 782,877.57$ |
| Synchro or HCM Reports | CR C-Walnut Retimed-PM LT Lane.pdf |

## Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

Project Benefit/Cost Ratio
Worksheet Attachment

## Measure A: Transit Connections

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project
32, 264
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)

Upload Map
County Road C Transit Connections.pdf

## Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only
Route Ridership
464595.0

Transitway Ridership

## Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

The multi-use trail that we propose to construct as part of the project will connect to the City of Roseville's County Road C trail on the east end of the project and provide a connection to the Minneapolis Diagonal Trail on the west end via Walnut Street or CSAH 88. This connection is identified in Roseville's Comprehensive Plan and will remove a significant gap in non-motorized facilities.

## Measure C: Multimodal Facilities

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)
The multi-use trail that we propose to construct as part of the project will remove a significant gap in non-motorized facilities between Roseville's County Road C trail and the Minneapolis Diagonal Trail, both regional facilities. In addition to the trail, we will construct ADA-compliant curb ramps at all intersections, audible pedestrian signals with countdown timers at the Walnut Street traffic signal. We will coordinate with Metro Transit to accommodate stops on routes 32 and 264 in the project area.

## Transit Projects Not Requiring Construction

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

## Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)

Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred
$100 \%$
Stakeholders have been identified
Yes
40\%
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted

## $0 \%$

2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)

Layout or Preliminary Plan completed
100\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan started
Yes
50\%
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
04/28/2017
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)

EIS
EA
PM
Yes
Document Status:

Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)
$100 \%$

Document submitted to State Aid for review

Document in progress; environmental impacts identified
50\%
Document not started Yes

0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval
11/10/2017
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100\%
Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated 80\%

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40\%
Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources
Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge
5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)
(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; $6 f$ is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area
Yes
100\%
Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100\%
Section 4 f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80\%
Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely

30\%
Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area
0\%
6)Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required
100\%
Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired
100\%
Right-of-way or easements required, offers made
75\%
Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made
50\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified Yes

25\%
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified
0\%
Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed
0\%
Anticipated date or date of acquisition
12/15/2017
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)

No railroad involvement on project
100\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature page)

Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated

60\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun

Yes

40\%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun

0\%
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)

Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)

100\%
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review
75\%
Construction plans in progress; at least 30\% completion
50\%
Construction plans have not been started Yes
0\%
Anticipated date or date of completion
10/12/2018
9)Letting

Anticipated Letting Date 01/10/2019


1 TOTAL ACCIDENTS
0 WITH INJURIES
0 FATALITIES
0 WITH PEDESTRIANS
$\qquad$


## LEGEND



## ACCIDENT DIAGRAM

RAMSEY COUNTY
Department of Public Works
County Road C at
Walnut St

November 24, 2014

Joseph Lux
Senior Planner
Ramsey County Public Works
1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive
Arden Hills, MN 55112-3933
RE: County Road C/29th Avenue NE from CSAH 88 to Long Lake Road Regional Solicitation Funding Submittal

Dear Mr. Lux:
Hennepin County has been notified that Ramsey County is submitting an application for regional solicitation funding for County Road C/29th Avenue NE (Hennepin County 94/Ramsey County 23). This project includes the reconstruction of County Road C from CSAH 88 to Long Lake Road. Hennepin County supports this funding application and acknowledges that the county has jurisdictional authority over and will operate and maintain CSAH 94 for the useful life of the improvement.

Hennepin County is willing to provide a portion of the local match funds for this project. Our agency will work together with Ramsey County to determine the appropriate split in local match funds if the project is successful in securing regional solicitation funding from the Met Council.

Sincerely,


James N. Grube, P.E.
Director of Transportation and County Engineer

Ramsey County Road C Reconstruction
C.S.A.H. 88 To Long Lake Road


## HENNEPIN COUNTY

## TRANSPORTATION PLANNING DIVISION

CLASS COUNT DATA
CSAH 94 E. OF CSAH 88
Site: 03
Monday, 10/20/2014 9:00 AM Wednesday, 10/22/2014 9:00 AM
Classification Grand Totals
Hourly Averages

| Interval Start | Total | Motor Bikes | Cars \& Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \end{array}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | $<5$ Axle Double | 5 Axle Double | $>6$ Axle Double | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 6 \text { Axle } \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6 \text { Axle } \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} >6 \text { Axle } \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | Tailgating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 AM | 9.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1:00 AM | 7.5 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2:00 AM | 7.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3:00 AM | 15.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4:00 AM | 44.5 | 1.0 | 28.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5:00 AM | 121.5 | 1.0 | 87.0 | 20.0 | 0.5 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6:00 AM | 236.0 | 1.0 | 181.0 | 32.0 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7:00 AM | 514.5 | 1.0 | 412.0 | 76.5 | 6.0 | 10.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8:00 AM | 512.5 | 1.0 | 387.0 | 83.5 | 11.5 | 14.5 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9:00 AM | 302.0 | 1.0 | 202.0 | 64.0 | 3.5 | 10.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10:00 AM | 250.0 | 0.0 | 166.5 | 50.5 | 7.0 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11:00 AM | 306.0 | 1.5 | 201.0 | 66.0 | 6.5 | 16.5 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12:00 PM | 387.0 | 0.5 | 279.5 | 70.0 | 8.0 | 14.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1:00 PM | 379.5 | 1.5 | 263.0 | 73.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2:00 PM | 343.5 | 1.0 | 235.0 | 75.5 | 3.5 | 18.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3:00 PM | 351.0 | 2.0 | 236.5 | 72.5 | 5.0 | 20.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4:00 PM | 471.5 | 1.0 | 353.0 | 84.5 | 6.0 | 17.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 5:00 PM | 499.0 | 0.5 | 406.5 | 66.0 | 5.5 | 12.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6:00 PM | 303.0 | 2.0 | 230.5 | 51.5 | 2.0 | 11.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7:00 PM | 150.0 | 0.0 | 117.5 | 22.5 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8:00 PM | 97.5 | 0.5 | 76.0 | 14.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9:00 PM | 63.5 | 0.0 | 51.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10:00 PM | 36.5 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11:00 PM | 18.5 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Daily Average | 5427.0 | 16.5 | 3970.5 | 956.0 | 88.0 | 194.0 | 34.0 | 2.5 | 53.0 | 109.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Study Grand Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Motor Bikes | Cars \& Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \end{array}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | <5 Axle Double | 5 Axle Double | $>6$ Axle Double | $\begin{array}{r} <6 \text { Axle } \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{aligned} & >6 \text { Axle } \\ & \text { Multi } \end{aligned}$ | Tailgating |
| EB. | 10854 | 33 | 7941 | 1912 | 176 | 388 | 68 | 5 | 106 | 219 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  | 0.3 \% | 73.2 \% | 17.6 \% | 1.6 \% | 3.6 \% | 0.6 \% | 0.0 \% | 1.0 \% | 2.0 \% | 0.0 \% | 0.0 \% | 0.0 \% | 0.0 \% | 0.0 \% |

$\begin{array}{lc}\text { EASTBOUND ONLY - SUM OF THE DAILY AVERAGE OF CLASSES } 4 \text { THROUGH } 13= & 486 \\ \text { WESTBOUND ONLY - SUM OF THE DAILY AVERAGE OF CLASSES 4 THROUGH } 13= & 789 \\ \text { DAILY TOTAL OF HEAVY COMMERCIAL VEHICLES = } & \mathbf{1 , 2 7 5}\end{array}$

Robert P. Farniok

## HENNEPIN COUNTY

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING DIVISION

CLASS COUNT DATA CSAH 94 E. OF CSAH 88

Classification Grand Totals

| Hourly Averages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interval Start | Total | Motor Bikes |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \end{array}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | <5 Axle Double | 5 Axle Double | >6 Axle Double | $\begin{array}{r} \text { <6 Axle } \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{array}{r} >6 \text { Axle } \\ \text { Multi } \end{array}$ | Tailgating |
| 12:00 AM | 14.5 | 1.5 | 11.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1:00 AM | 15.5 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2:00 AM | 17.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3:00 AM | 13.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4:00 AM | 22.0 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5:00 AM | 65.0 | 0.5 | 31.0 | 10.0 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6:00 AM | 139.0 | 1.0 | 78.5 | 28.5 | 4.5 | 10.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7:00 AM | 321.0 | 2.0 | 215.5 | 46.5 | 10.5 | 24.5 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8:00 AM | 335.0 | 1.0 | 195.0 | 71.5 | 9.0 | 33.0 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 16.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9:00 AM | 243.0 | 0.5 | 139.5 | 56.5 | 5.5 | 14.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10:00 AM | 257.0 | 0.5 | 151.5 | 56.5 | 7.0 | 16.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11:00 AM | 379.5 | 1.5 | 250.0 | 73.0 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 20.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 12:00 PM | 440.5 | 1.5 | 299.5 | 78.0 | 10.5 | 18.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1:00 PM | 383.5 | 2.0 | 254.0 | 75.0 | 10.5 | 18.5 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2:00 PM | 413.5 | 1.5 | 289.5 | 73.0 | 8.0 | 17.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 3:00 PM | 643.5 | 4.0 | 443.5 | 121.5 | 11.0 | 25.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 21.5 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4:00 PM | 897.5 | 7.0 | 673.5 | 146.5 | 16.5 | 20.5 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 14.0 | 11.5 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 5:00 PM | 860.5 | 5.5 | 663.5 | 142.0 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 11.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 6:00 PM | 446.0 | 1.5 | 359.0 | 63.0 | 3.5 | 8.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7:00 PM | 313.5 | 1.5 | 243.0 | 50.5 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8:00 PM | 229.5 | 0.5 | 194.0 | 24.0 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9:00 PM | 151.0 | 1.0 | 122.0 | 18.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10:00 PM | 95.5 | 0.5 | 77.5 | 10.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11:00 PM | 61.5 | 0.5 | 47.0 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Daily Average | 6758.0 | 36.0 | 4770.5 | 1164.0 | 128.5 | 256.0 | 46.5 | 2.5 | 83.5 | 256.0 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | y Grand | tals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Motor Bikes |  <br> Trailers | 2 Axle Long | Buses | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \text { Axle } 6 \\ \text { Tire } \end{array}$ | 3 Axle Single | 4 Axle Single | <5 Axle Double | 5 Axle Double | >6 Axle Double | <6 Axle Multi | 6 Axle Multi | $\begin{aligned} & >6 \text { Axle } \\ & \text { Multi } \end{aligned}$ | Tailgating |
| WB. | 13516 | 72 | 9541 | 2328 | 257 | 512 | 93 | 5 | 167 | 512 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | 0.5 \% | 70.6 \% | 17.2 \% | 1.9 \% | 3.8 \% | 0.7 \% | 0.0 \% | 1.2 \% | 3.8 \% | 0.0 \% | 0.1 \% | 0.0 \% | 0.0 \% | 0.0 \% |

NOTE THAT THIS A POPULAR FUEL TRANSPORT ROUTE WITH THE REFINERIES JUST TO THE EAST A BIT.

## Roadway Area Definition



Project
Project Area

Principal Arterials
Principal Arterials Planned
A Minor Arterials -- A Minor Arterials Planned

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit Itp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CountyRd C Reconstruction | Map ID: 1419951088091


PostSecondary Education Centers $\square$ Job Concentration Centers
Project Area $\square$ Manfacturing/Distribution Centers
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
itp://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx


Pathway Master Plan

# R SeyHA 

November 25, 2014

Mr. James Tolaas<br>County Engineer<br>Ramsey County Public Works<br>1425 Paul Kirkwold Drive<br>Arden Hills, MN 5112-3933

## RE: Proposed County Road C (CSAH 23) Reconstruction Project

Dear Mr. Tolaas,
The City of Roseville would like to communicate its support of Ramsey County's proposed project to reconstruct County Road C (County State Aid Highway 23) from CSAH 88 to Long Lake Road.

The proposed project involves reconstructing County Road C and installing a multi-use pathway along the south side of County Road C.

The City of Roseville recognizes that it would have a shared responsibility in both maintenance and cost of the new pathway. The project is also consistent with the City's Comprehensive plan and its Pathway Master Plan.

The City of Roseville supports the County's efforts to acquire federal funding for this project. If the County's efforts are successful, we will work with you to negotiate a cost participation and maintenance agreement.

If you have any questions about this letter of support, please feel free to contact me directly.
Sincerely,

Marcus J. Culver, P.E.
Assistant Public Works Director/City Engineer
cc: Duane Schwartz, Director of Public Works

Socio-Economic Conditions Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: CountyRd C Reconstruction IMap ID: 1419951088091

Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.


| $\square$ | Project |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $\square$ | Project Area |
| $\square$ |  |

Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty



Project
Project Area

Principal Arterials
Principal Arterials Planned
A Minor Arterials -. A Minor Arterials Planned

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx

Regional Economy Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization Project: County Road C Reconstruction | Map ID: 1414699243946 Results

Project IN area of Job Concentration.
Project IN area of
Manufacturing and Distribution.
Project WITHIN ONE MI of area of Education Institutions.


Project
PostSecondary Education Centers $\square$ Job Concentration Centers
Project Area $\square$ Manfacturing/Distribution Centers
For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit
http://giswebsite.metc.state.mn.us/gissitenew/notice.aspx
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## Results

Project NOT IN any area of concentrated poverty.

$\square$

Racially concentrated area of poverty $\square$ Above reg'l avg conc of race/poverty Concentrated area of poverty

For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit For complete disclaimer of accuracy, please visit

34: Walnut St \& County Road C

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2155 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 29 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 17 |
| CO Emissions (kg) | 4.40 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.86 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 1.02 |

34: Walnut St \& County Road C

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2155 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 17 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 10 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 3.88 |
| NOx Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.76 |
| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.90 |
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34: Walnut St \& County Road C

| Direction | All |
| :--- | ---: |
| Volume (vph) | 2155 |
| Total Delay / Veh (s/v) | 17 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 10 |
| CO Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 3.88 |
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| VOC Emissions $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.90 |



