

### Application

01968 - 2014 Roadway Reconstruction/Modernization

02134 - Brooklyn Boulevard Improvements (49th Avenue to just beyond Bass Lake Road) - City of Brooklyn Center/Hennepin County

Regional Solicitation - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements

Status:

Submitted

Submitted Date:

11/26/2014 1:50 PM

# **Primary Contact**

Name:*	Mr. Salutation	Steven First Name	L. Middle Name	Lillehaug
Title:	City Engineer/Director of Public Works			
Department:	Public Works			
Email:	slillehaug@ci.brooklyn-center.mn.us			
Address:	6301 Shingle Creek Parkway			
	Brooklyn Cente	r Minnesota	a 5	5430
*	City	State/Province	P	ostal Code/Zip
Phone:*	763-569-3340 Phone		Ext.	
Fax:				
What Grant Programs are you most interested in?	Municipal Inflow and Infiltration Grants (I&I)			

### **Organization Information**

Name:

BROOKLYN CENTER EDA

Jurisdictional Agency (if different):			
Organization Type:	City		
Organization Website:			
Address:	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT		
	6301 SHINGLE CREEK PKWY		
*	BROOKLYN CENTER	Minnesota	55430
	City	State/Province	Postal Code/Zip
County:	Hennepin		
Phone:*	763-569-3320		
		Ext.	
Fax:			
PeopleSoft Vendor Number	0000026811A2		

# **Project Information**

Project Name	Brooklyn Boulevard Reconstruction/Modernization
Primary County where the Project is Located	Hennepin
Jurisdictional Agency (If Different than the Applicant):	Hennepin County

Brief Project Description (Limit 2,800 characters; approximately 400 words)

The proposed Brooklyn Boulevard reconstruction/modernization project will improve roadway safety, enhance traffic operations, reduce access points, and provide improved bicycle and pedestrian facilities for a 1.3-mile segment of the corridor in Brooklyn Center between 49th Avenue and Bass Lake Road. The project will enhance bicycle and pedestrian travel by adding a trail, improving sidewalks, adding streetscaping and landscaping, and improving the functionality of intersections with modified turn lanes. Several free right turn lanes will be reconfigured to improve sight lines. Overhead utilities will be moved underground.

Brooklyn Boulevard is an A Minor Arterial roadway, which serves as a reliever route for TH 100 and serves as an important freight route for the northbound TH 100 to westbound I-94/694 movements. The proposed project also provides a direct connection to the former Brookdale Mall site and surrounding parcels, which is an identified job concentration center, as well as a manufacturing and distribution center. It is also within the one-mile threshold for an educational institution. Existing safety and geometric issues include the 51st Avenue intersection and insufficient turn lane configurations at multiple other intersections. The project is located within a Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty and will provide improvements for a range of mode choices to enable low-income populations and people of color to access jobs. The project will improve corridor access to Brooklyn Center Transit Center, a few blocks away from Brooklyn Boulevard, which provides connections to 15 bus routes. The Twin Lakes Regional Trail crosses Brooklyn Boulevard with a substandard trail crossing where the trail becomes a narrow sidewalk with insufficient ramps; the project will install a crosswalk and widen the sidewalk to a trail.

The project will capitalize on recent and anticipated

future investments within and adjacent to the project corridor, including:

Anticipated future transitway improvements (Chicago-Fremont and C Line Arterial BRT lines would run on Brooklyn Boulevard and terminate at Brooklyn Center Transit Center) would benefit from improved multimodal connections and streetscaping.

Brooklyn Blvd/TH 100 bridge redecking (construction completed Fall 2014), which is located within the project area.

The City of Minneapolis completed resurfacing of Osseo Road (CSAH 152) from 44th Ave to 49th Ave (southern project limits), which added a bike lane.

Major redevelopment efforts in and around the former Brookdale Mall site (now Shingle Creek Crossing).

The project will also improve bus stop amenities, relocate problematic (mid-block) bus stops, add streetscaping, a landscaped median, and gateway signage.

Include location, road name/functional class, type of improvement, etc.

### **Project Length (Miles)**

1.3

#### **Connection to Local Planning:**

Reference the name of the appropriate comprehensive plan, regional/statewide plan, capital improvement program, corridor study document [studies on trunk highway must be approved by MnDOT and the Metropolitan Council], or other official plan or program of the applicant agency [includes Safe Routes to School Plans] that the project is included in and/or a transportation problem/need that the project addresses. List the applicable documents and pages.

### Brooklyn Boulevard Corridor Study (all pages)

**Connection to Local Planning** 

City of Brooklyn Center Comprehensive Plan, 2010 (pages 2-7; 3-11-3-13)

# **Project Funding**

Are you applying for funds from another source(s) to implement this project?	No
If yes, please identify the source(s)	
Federal Amount	\$7,000,000.00
Match Amount	\$2,310,000.00
Minimum of 20% of project total	
Project Total	\$9,310,000.00
Match Percentage	24.81%
Minimum of 20% Compute the match percentage by dividing the match amount by the project total	
Source of Match Funds	City of Brooklyn Center
Preferred Program Year	
Select one:	2018

# **MnDOT State Aid Project Information: Roadway Projects**

County, City, or Lead Agency	City of Brooklyn Center
Functional Class of Road	A Minor Arterial
Road System	CSAH
TH, CSAH, MSAS, CO. RD., TWP. RD., CITY STREET	
Name of Road	Brooklyn Boulevard (CSAH 152)
Example; 1st ST., MAIN AVE	
Zip Code where Majority of Work is Being Performed	55429
(Approximate) Begin Construction Date	03/01/2018
(Approximate) End Construction Date	11/30/2018
LOCATION	
From: (Intersection or Address)	49th Ave N
Do not include legal description; Include name of roadway if majority of facility runs adjacent to a single corridor.	
To: (Intersection or Address)	Bass Lake Road
Type of Work	Roadway (grading, aggregates, paving), curb & gutter, sidewalks, traffic control, landscaping, streetscaping, trail, storm sewer, utilities
Examples: grading, aggregate base, bituminous base, bituminous surface, sidewalk, signals, lighting, guardrail, bicycle path, ped ramps, bridge,	

Park & Ride, etc.)

### Old Bridge/Culvert?

New Bridge/Culvert?

Structure is Over/Under (Bridge or culvert name):

# **Specific Roadway Elements**

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Mobilization (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$300,000.00
Removals (approx. 5% of total cost)	\$120,000.00
Roadway (grading, borrow, etc.)	\$1,320,000.00
Roadway (aggregates and paving)	\$1,760,000.00
Subgrade Correction (muck)	\$0.00
Storm Sewer	\$680,000.00
Ponds	\$0.00
Concrete Items (curb & gutter, sidewalks, median barriers)	\$760,000.00
Traffic Control	\$460,000.00
Striping	\$30,000.00
Signing	\$50,000.00
Lighting	\$200,000.00
Turf - Erosion & Landscaping	\$360,000.00
Bridge	\$0.00
Retaining Walls	\$100,000.00
Noise Wall	\$0.00
Traffic Signals	\$480,000.00
Wetland Mitigation	\$0.00
Other Natural and Cultural Resource Protection	\$0.00
RR Crossing	\$0.00
Roadway Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Roadway Elements	\$1,250,000.00
Totals	\$7,870,000.00

# **Specific Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements**

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES

Path/Trail Construction	\$120,000.00
Sidewalk Construction	\$0.00
On-Street Bicycle Facility Construction	\$0.00
Right-of-Way	\$0.00
Pedestrian Curb Ramps (ADA)	\$0.00
Crossing Aids (e.g., Audible Pedestrian Signals, HAWK)	\$0.00
Pedestrian-scale Lighting	\$0.00
Streetscaping	\$1,320,000.00
Wayfinding	\$0.00
Bicycle and Pedestrian Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Bicycle and Pedestrian Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$1,440,000.00

# Specific Transit and TDM Elements

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT ELEMENTS/COST ESTIMATES	Cost
Fixed Guideway Elements	\$0.00
Stations, Stops, and Terminals	\$0.00
Support Facilities	\$0.00
Transit Systems (e.g. communications, signals, controls, fare collection, etc.)	\$0.00
Vehicles	\$0.00
Transit and TDM Contingencies	\$0.00
Other Transit and TDM Elements	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

# **Transit Operating Costs**

OPERATING COSTS	Cost
Transit Operating Costs	\$0.00
Totals	\$0.00

# Totals

Total Cost	\$9,310,000.00
Construction Cost Total	\$9,310,000.00

### **Requirements - All Projects**

### **All Projects**

1. The project must be consistent with the goals and policies in these adopted regional plans: Thrive MSP 2040 (2014), the 2030 Transportation Policy Plan (amended 2013), the 2030 Regional Parks Policy Plan (amended 2013), and the 2030 Water Resources Management Policy Plan (2005).

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. Applicants that are not cities or counties in the seven-county metro area with populations over 5,000 must contact the MnDOT Metro State Aid Office prior to submitting their application to determine if a public agency sponsor is required.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

3.Applicants must not submit an application for the same project in more than one funding sub-category.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

4. The requested funding amount must be more than or equal to the minimum award and less than or equal to the maximum award. The cost of preparing a project for funding authorization can be substantial. For that reason, minimum federal amounts apply. Other federal funds may be combined with the requested funds for projects exceeding the maximum award, but the source(s) must be identified in the application. Expansion, reconstruction/modernization, and bridges must be between \$1,000,000 and \$7,000,000. Roadway system management must be between \$250,000 and \$7,000,000.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

5. The project must comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

6. The project must be accessible and open to the general public.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

7. The owner/operator of the facility must operate and maintain the project for the useful life of the improvement.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

8. The project must represent a permanent improvement with independent utility. The term independent utility means the project provides benefits described in the application by itself and does not depend on any construction elements of the project being funded from other sources outside the regional solicitation, excluding the required non-federal match. Projects that include traffic management or transit operating funds as part of a construction project are exempt from this policy.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

9. The project must not be a temporary construction project. A temporary construction project is defined as work that must be replaced within five years and is ineligible for funding. The project must also not be staged construction where the project will be replaced as part of future stages. Staged construction is eligible for funding as long as future stages build on, rather than replace, previous work.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

10. The project applicant must send written notification regarding the proposed projected to all affected communities and other levels and units of government prior to submitting the application.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

### **Requirements - Roadways Including Multimodal Elements**

### Expansion and Reconstruction/Modernization Projects Only

1. The project must be designed to meet 10-ton load limit standards.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

2. Federal funds are available for roadway construction and reconstruction on new alignments or within existing right-of-way, including associated construction and excavation, bridges, or installation of traffic signals, signs, utilities, bikeway or walkway components and transit components.

The project must exclude costs for right-of-way, studies, preliminary engineering, design, or construction engineering. Noise barriers, drainage projects, fences, landscaping, etc., are not eligible for funding unless included as part of a larger project, which is otherwise eligible.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement. Yes

### **Bridge Projects Only**

3. The bridge project must be identified as a Principal Arterial (Non-Freeway facilities only) or A Minor Arterial as shown on the latest TAB approved roadway functional classification map.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

4.Bridges selected in previous Bridge Improvement and Replacement solicitations (1994 2011) are not eligible. A previously selected project is not eligible unless it has been withdrawn or sunset prior to the deadline for proposals in this solicitation.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

5.Projects requiring a grade-separated crossing of a Principal Arterial of freeway design must be limited to the federal share of those project costs identified as local (non-MnDOT) cost responsibility using MnDOTs Cost Participation for Cooperative Construction Projects and Maintenance Responsibilities manual. In the case of a federally funded trunk highway project, the policy guidelines should be read as if the funded trunk highway route is under local jurisdiction.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

6. The bridge must carry vehicular traffic. Bridges can carry traffic from multiple modes. However, bridges that are exclusively for bicycle or pedestrian traffic must apply under one of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities sub-categories. Rail-only bridges are ineligible for funding.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

7. The length of the bridge must equal or exceed 20 feet.

### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

8. Project limits for bridge projects are limited from abutment to abutment.

#### Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

9. The project must exclude costs for studies, preliminary engineering, design, construction engineering, and right-of-way.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

### **Bridge Replacement Projects Only**

10. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 50. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

### **Bridge Rehabilitiation Projects Only**

11. The bridge must have a sufficienty rating less than 80. Additionally, it must also be classified as structurally deficient or functionally obsolete.

Check the box to indicate that the project meets this requirement.

### **Other Attachments**

File Name	Description	File Size
Brooklyn Boulevard Concept Layout for Grant Application 141107.pdf	Project Layout	594 KB
CSAH 152_RegSolic_SupportLetter_Hennepin Co.pdf	Hennepin County Support Letter	304 KB
RdwayAreaDef.pdf	Roadway Area Definition	842 KB
RegionalEcon.pdf	Regional Economy	1.5 MB
SocioEcon.pdf	Socio Economic	1.5 MB
TransitCon.pdf	Transit Connections	1.6 MB

# **Reliever: Freeway Facility or**

Facility being relieved	TH 100
Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the Congestion Report)	2.0

# **Reliever: Non-Freeway Facility or**

Facility being relieved

Number of hours per day volume exceeds capacity (based on the table below) 0

# Non-Freeway Facility Volume/Capacity Table

Hour	NB/EB Volume	SB/WB Volume	Capacity	Volume exceeds capacity
12:00am - 1:00am				
1:00am - 2:00am				
2:00am - 3:00am				
3:00am - 4:00am				
4:00am - 5:00am				
5:00am - 6:00am				
6:00am - 7:00am				
7:00am - 8:00am				
8:00am - 9:00am				
9:00am - 10:00am				
10:00am - 11:00am				

11:00am - 12:00pm
12:00pm - 1:00pm
1:00pm - 2:00pm
2:00pm - 3:00pm
3:00pm - 4:00pm
4:00pm - 5:00pm
5:00pm - 6:00pm
6:00pm - 7:00pm
7:00pm - 8:00pm
8:00pm - 9:00pm
9:00pm - 10:00pm
10:00pm - 11:00pm
11:00pm - 12:00am

# Expander/Connector/Augmentor/Non-Freeway Principal Arterial

Select one:	
Area	3.947
Project Length	1.208
Average Distance	3.2674
Upload Map	Roadway Area Definition.pdf

# Measure B: Current Heavy Commercial Traffic

Location	Brooklyn Boulevard south of Bass lake Road
Current daily heavy commercial traffic volume	372.0

# Measure C: Project Location Relative to Jobs, Manufacturing, and Education

Select all that apply	
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Job Concentration	Yes
Direct connection to or within a mile of a Manufacturing/Distribution Location	Yes
Direct connection to or within a mile of an Educational Institution	Yes
Project provides a direct connection to or within a mile of an existing local activity center identified in an adopted county or city plan	

County or City Plan Reference (Limit 700 characters; approximately 100 words)

Regional Economy.pdf

# Measure A: Current Daily Person Throughput

Location	South of CSAH 10
Current AADT Volume	24600.0
Existing Transit Routes on the Project	5, 19, 22, 32, 717, 721, 723, 724, 761

# **Response: Current Daily Person Throughput**

Average Annual Daily Transit Ridership	5838.0
Current Daily Person Throughput	37818.0

# Measure B: 2030 Forecast ADT

Use Metropolitan Council model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume	
METC Staff - Forecast (2030) ADT volume	0
OR	
Approved county or city travel demand model to determine forecast (2030) ADT volume	Yes
Forecast (2030) ADT volume	28200.0

# Measure A: Project Location and Impact to Disadvantaged Populations

Select o	ne:
----------	-----

Project located in Racially Concentrated Area of Poverty	Yes
Project located in Concentrated Area of Poverty	
Projects census tracts are above the regional average for population in poverty or population of color	Yes
Project located in a census tract that is below the regional average for population in poverty or populations of color or includes children, people with disabilities, or the elderly.	

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

The Brooklyn Boulevard Project is located within an RCAP. The Met Councils Choice, Place and Opportunity: An Equity Assessment of the Twin Cities Region noted that there was growth of black and immigrant populations during the 2000s. During the 2000s this RCAP grew faster than any other in the metro region, driving the need for better infrastructure and investment. Improving access to jobs and education is especially critical for RCAP populations. The proposed project is connected all three ThriveMSP 2040 maps: a Job Concentration, Manufacturing/Distribution Location, and an Educational Institution.

The project provides multimodal benefits: mobility and safety improvements for drivers, and enhanced bicycle and pedestrian facilities which also benefit transit users, in particular RCAP populations. The improvements improve livability by balancing traffic needs with a comfortable bicyclist and pedestrian environment and set the stage for future transitway improvements.

The project improvements would improve safety and comfort for children, the elderly, and people with disabilities by widening sidewalks and trails and improving crosswalks and ramps. The project would also improve connections to Northport Elementary School.

Adverse impacts would be minimized and mitigated by maintaining access to homes and businesses during construction for drivers, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Socio-Economic Conditions.pdf

### Measure B: Affordable Housing

# City/Township

Segment Length (Miles)

Brooklyn Center

Upload Map

1.3 **1** 

# Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

City/Township	Segment Length (Miles)	Total Length (Miles)	Score	Segment Length/Total Length	Housing Score Multiplied by Segment percent
Brooklyn Center	1.3	1.3	34.0	1.0	34.0
		1	34	1	34

### Affordable Housing Scoring - To Be Completed By Metropolitan Council Staff

Total Project Length (Miles)	1.3
Total Housing Score	34.0

# Measure A: Year of Roadway Construction

Year of Original Roadway Construction or Most Recent Reconstruction	Roadway Segment Length (Miles)	Calculation	Calculation 2	
1984.0	1.3	2579.2	1984.0	
	1	2579	1984	
Average Construct Weighted Year	tion Year	1984.0		
Total Segment Le	ngth (Miles)			

# Measure B: Geometric, Structural, or Infrastructure Improvements

Brooklyn Boulevard north of TH 100 will be reconstructed to improve the service life of the road. This portion of the road has higher traffic volumes and speeds which increases wear and tear on the roadway.

The road has several problematic free right turn lanes that will be reconfigured to improve sightlines.

The Twin Lakes Regional Trail crossing at 55th Avenue is deficient the trail transitions to a narrow sidewalk instead of a 10 foot multiuse trail.

Other sidewalks in the project area are primarily less than the minimum standard of six feet. The project will widen sidewalks and add a boulevard to provide better spacing between pedestrians and vehicles. The current sidewalks and crosswalks are not in compliance with Americans with Disabilities standards. The project will improve all crossings to be ADA compliant.

The project includes turn lane improvements to meet turn length standards. Current turn lane lengths in several locations are too short based on design standards.

The project will relocate problematic mid-block bus stop locations to better align with intersections to discourage illegal pedestrian crossings.

The project will address access control issues at 51st Avenue and will address frontage road spacing distance issues at 55th Avenue.

The project will also replace the aging storm sewer.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

# Measure A: Cost Effectiveness of Vehicle Delay Reduction

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet	\$9,310,000.00
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Without The Project	87265.0
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay With The Project	67560.0
Total Peak Hour Vehicle Delay Reduced by Project	19705.0
Cost Effectiveness	\$472.47
Synchro or HCM Reports	Synchro Brooklyn Blvd.pdf

# **Measure B: Cost Effectiveness of Emissions Reduction**

Total Project Cost from Cost Sheet	\$9,310,000.00
Total Peak Hour Kilograms Reduced by Project	0.43
Cost Effectiveness	\$21,651,162.79
Synchro or HCM Reports	Synchro Brooklyn Blvd.pdf

# Measure A: Benefit/Cost of Crash Reduction

Project Benefit/Cost Ratio	1.72
Worksheet Attachment	CSAH 152 Completed Crash Analysis.pdf

# **Measure A: Transit Connections**

Existing Routes Directly Connected to the Project	5, 19, 22, 32, 717, 721, 723, 724, 761
Planned Transitways directly connected to the project (alignment and mode determined and identified in the 2030 TPP)	Chicago Ave BRT, Emerson/Fremont Aves BRT
Upload Map	Transit Connections.pdf

### Response

Met Council Staff Data Entry Only	
Route Ridership	1.1883608E7
Transitway Ridership	6742400.0

# Measure B: Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

The corridor connects to newly constructed bicycle facilities in Minneapolis, including an on-street bike lane on 49th Avenue and paved shoulder on Osseo Road, as well as the sidewalk network in Minneapolis.

The Twin Lakes Regional Trail crosses Brooklyn Boulevard at 55th Avenue and extends west to Crystal Lake and east to the Mississippi River. This trail also connects with the Shingle Creek Regional Trail approximately 0.5 miles east of Brooklyn Boulevard which connects to recreation destinations.

Shingle Creek Crossing is a major destination and is identified as a mixed-use area in the Brooklyn Center 2030 Comprehensive Plan. This redevelopment area includes retail and service businesses which serve both regional and neighborhood customers.

Northport Elementary School is located along the project area at 55th Avenue, but inadequate pedestrian and bicycle facilities make it difficult for students to get to school on foot or by bike from surrounding neighborhoods.

The Brooklyn Center Transit Center is located along Bass Lake Road, a few blocks away from Brooklyn Boulevard. Bass Lake Road currently has sidewalks and on-street bicycle facilities are planned. The project would improve connections to the Brooklyn Center Transit Center from surrounding residential areas and commercial destinations along Brooklyn Boulevard.

Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)

	The Brooklyn Boulevard project will safely integrate all modes of transportation, including pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users, through the following improvements: Adding a multi-use trail with a boulevard on the west side of the road , where only a narrow sidewalk currently exists
	Improving the Twin Lakes Regional Trail connection at 55th Avenue, including a striped crosswalk
	Reconstructing the sidewalk along the east side of the road to current design standards, and adding boulevards
	Enhancing bus stops with amenities (benches, trash receptacles, etc.)
Response (Limit 1,400 characters; approximately 200 words)	Relocating several bus stops to align with intersections (currently mid-block locations)
	Modifications to the roadway will also improve the comfort and functionality of the corridor for multimodal users:
	Removal of the free right-turn onto Bass Lake Road
	Reconfiguration of TH 100 northbound off-ramp to a signalized intersection so that vehicles must slow before merging onto Brooklyn Blvd. The current movement occurs at an angle that is poor for watching for pedestrians.
	Establish improved bicycle and pedestrian network for future transitway improvements (Chicago- Fremont and C Line Arterial BRT routes)

If the applicant is completing a transit or TDM application, only Park-and-Ride and other construction projects require completion of the Risk Assessment below. Check the box below if the project does not require the Risk Assessment fields, and do not complete the remainder of the form. These projects will receive full points for the Risk Assessment.

Check Here if Your Transit Project Does Not Require Construction

### Measure A: Risk Assessment

1)Project Scope (5 Percent of Points)	
Meetings or contacts with stakeholders have occurred	Yes
100%	
Stakeholders have been identified	
40%	
Stakeholders have not been identified or contacted	
0%	
2)Layout or Preliminary Plan (5 Percent of Points)	
Layout or Preliminary Plan completed	Yes
100%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan started	
50%	
Layout or Preliminary Plan has not been started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	01/11/2013
3)Environmental Documentation (10 Percent of Points)	
EIS	
EA	
PM	Yes
Document Status:	
Document approved (include copy of signed cover sheet)	100%
Document submitted to State Aid for review	75%
Document in progress; environmental impacts identified	Yes
50%	
Document not started	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion/approval	
4)Review of Section 106 Historic Resources (15 Percent of	Points)

No known potential for archaeological resources, no historic resources known to be eligible for/listed on the National Register of Historic Places located in the project area, and project is not located on an identified historic bridge

100%

Historic/archeological review under way; determination of no historic properties affected or no adverse effect anticipated

80%

Historic/archaeological review under way; determination of adverse effect anticipated

40%

Unknown impacts to historic/archaeological resources

0%

Anticipated date or date of completion of historic/archeological review:

Project is located on an identified historic bridge

### 5)Review of Section 4f/6f Resources (15 Percent of Points)

(4f is publicly owned parks, recreation areas, historic sites, wildlife or waterfowl refuges; 6f is outdoor recreation lands where Land and Water Conservation Funds were used for planning, acquisition, or development of the property)

Yes

Yes

#### No Section 4f/6f resources located in the project area

100%

Project is an independent bikeway/walkway project covered by the bikeway/walkway Negative Declaration statement; letter of support received

100%

Section 4f resources present within the project area, but no known adverse effects

80%

Adverse effects (land conversion) to Section 4f/6f resources likely

30%

Unknown impacts to Section 4f/6f resources in the project area

0%

6) Right-of-Way (15 Percent of Points)

Right-of-way or easements not required

100%

Right-of-way or easements has/have been acquired

100%

Right-of-way or easements required, offers made

75%

Right-of-way or easements required, appraisals made

50%

Right-of-way or easements required, parcels identified	
25%	
Right-of-way or easements required, parcels not identified	
0%	
Right-of-way or easements identification has not been completed	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of acquisition	01/31/2018
7)Railroad Involvement (25 Percent of Points)	
No railroad involvement on project	Yes
100%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement is executed (include signature	
page)	100%
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; Agreement has been initiated	
60%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations have begun	
40%	
Railroad Right-of-Way Agreement required; negotiations not begun	
0%	
Anticipated date or date of executed Agreement	
8)Construction Documents/Plan (10 Percent of Points)	
Construction plans completed/approved (include signed title sheet)	
100%	
Construction plans submitted to State Aid for review	
75%	
Construction plans in progress; at least 30% completion	
50%	
Construction plans have not been started	Yes
0%	
Anticipated date or date of completion	06/30/2016
9)Letting	
Anticipated Letting Date	02/28/2018



# which is not reflected in this figure.

Brooklyn Boulevard Project City of Brooklyn Center Roadway Reconstruction / Modernization Application Figure 1

H:\Projects\7589\HI-MU\Graphics\7589\_gr01\_Figure for Grant Application.dgn



### **Hennepin County Public Works**

**Transportation Department** Public Works Facility 1600 Prairie Drive Medina, MN 55340-5421 
 Phone:
 612-596-0300

 Fax:
 612-321-3410

 Web:
 www.hennepin.us

November 21, 2014

Steven L. Lillehaug, P.E., P.T.O.E City Engineer/Director of Public Works City of Brooklyn Center 6301 Shingle Creek Parkway Brooklyn Center, Minnesota 55430

# RE: CSAH 152 (Brooklyn Boulevard) between 49th Avenue North and 59th Avenue North Regional Solicitation Funding Submittal

Dear Mr. Lillehaug:

Hennepin County has been notified that the City of Brooklyn Center is submitting an application for regional solicitation funding for the proposed CSAH 152 (Brooklyn Boulevard) project. This project includes the reconstruction of CSAH 152 between 49th Avenue N. and 59th Avenue N., in addition to adding pedestrian and bicycle facilities. Hennepin County supports this funding application and acknowledges that the county will have jurisdictional authority over the roadway. Hennepin County will operate and maintain CSAH 152 for the useful life of the improvement.

Hennepin County is willing to provide a portion of the local match funds for this project. The county and city will work together to determine the appropriate split in local match funds amongst agencies if the city is successful in securing regional solicitation funding from the Met Council.

Sincerely,

amis n. Scube

James N. Grube, P.E. Director of Transportation and County Engineer















# 3: Bass Lake Rd & Brooklyn Blvd

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	2815	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	31	
CO Emissions (kg)	3.22	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.63	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.75	

# 3: Brooklyn Blvd & Bass Lake Rd

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	2815	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	24	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.92	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.57	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.68	

# 3: Bass Lake Rd & Brooklyn Blvd

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	2815	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	31	
CO Emissions (kg)	3.22	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.63	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.75	

# 3: Brooklyn Blvd & Bass Lake Rd

Direction	All	
Volume (vph)	2815	
Total Delay / Veh (s/v)	24	
CO Emissions (kg)	2.92	
NOx Emissions (kg)	0.57	
VOC Emissions (kg)	0.68	

HS	worksheet						Location	I		I	Beginning Ref. Pt.	En Re	ding f. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
WUIK	siice	ι		Brooklyn Blvd	At Bas	ss Lake Roa	d							Brooklyn Park	1/1/2011	12/31/2013
			Descript Proposed	ion of d Work	Install	a southbou	nd dual le	ft-turn lane ar	nd pavement i	mpr	ovement					
Accie	lent Di	agram Codos	1 Rear End	d	2 Sides	wipe	3 Left Tur	n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7 1	Ran off Road	8, 9 Hea	nd On/		6, 90, 99	
	<b>\</b>		<b></b>				و	← ]	<b>&gt;</b> #			Opposite	Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F														
	ry (PI)	A														
Study Period:	nal Inju	в											1			1
Number of Crashes	Perso	С		2				1	1						1	5
	Property Damage	PD		5		2		1							2	10
% Change	Fatal	F														
in Crashes		A														
*Lise Crash	PI	В											-85%			
Modification Factors		С		-79%				-69%	-37%						-41%	
Clearinghouse	Property Damage	PD		-80%		-41%		-83%			-44%				-41%	
	Fatal	F														
		A														
Change in Crashes	PI	В											-0.85			-0.85
= No. of		с		-1.58				-0.69	-0.37						-0.41	-3.05
crashes <b>A</b> % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD		-4.00		-0.82		-0.83							-0.82	-6.47
Year (Safety	Improv	emen	t Construct	tion)		2018										
Project Cost	: (exclı	ide Ri	ght of Way	<i>i</i> )	\$	9,310,000	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes		Cost per Crash	An Be	nual nefit		B/C=	0.27
Right of Wa	y Cos	t <b>s</b> (opt	ional)				F			\$	1,100,000			Using present	worth value	25,
Traffic Grov	wth Fa	actor				3%	А			\$	550,000			B=	\$	2,512,873
Capital Reco	overy						В	-0.85	-0.28	\$	160,000	\$	45,333	C=	\$	9,310,000
1. Discoun	t Rat	e				4.5%	С	-3.05	-1.02	\$	81,000	\$	82,350	See "Calculat	ions" sheet f	for amortization.
2. Project	Servi	e Lif	e (n)			20	PD	-6.47	-2.16	\$	7,400	\$	15,959			
							Total					\$	<u>143,643</u>	Office of Tra Technology	ffic, Safety Septer	and mber 20 <u>14</u>

HS	worksheet					Location					Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
				Brooklyn Blvd	At 56th Avenue							Brooklyn Park	1/1/2011	12/31/2013
			Descript Propose	ion of d Work	Roadway reconstr	uction wi	th pavement i	mprovement						
Acció	lent Di	agram Codes	1 Rear En	d	2 Sideswipe Same Direction	3 Left Tur	n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7	Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/ Sideswipe -		6, 90, 99	
					<b>→</b>	٦		<b>b</b>			Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F												
	( [P] )	A												
Study Poriod:	l Injury	в												
Number of	Persona	C						1					1	2
Crashes	perty	)												_
	al Dai	PD											1	1
% Change in Crashes	Fat	F												
		Α												
*Use Crash	PI	B												
Modification Factors		С						-21%					-41%	
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property	PD											-41%	
	Fatal	F												
		A												
Change in Crashes	PI	В												
= No. of		С						-0.21					-0.41	-0.62
crashes <b>X</b> % change in	roperty Damage												0.41	0.41
Year (Safety)	Improv	vemen	t Construc	tion)	2018			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1	I	-0.41	-0.41
Project Cost	(exclu	ıde Ri	ght of Way	y)	\$ 9,310,000	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes		Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.03
Right of Wa	y Cos	<b>ts</b> (op	tional)			F			\$	1,100,000		Using present	t worth value	<i>?S</i> ,
Traffic Grov	vth Fa	actor			3%	Α			\$	550,000		B=	\$	310,540
Capital Reco	overy					В			\$	160,000		C=	\$	9,310,000
1. Discoun	t Rat	e			4.5%	С	-0.62	-0.21	\$	81,000	\$ 16,740	See "Calculat	ions" sheet f	or amortization.
2. Project	Servi	ce Lif	če (n)		20	PD	-0.41	-0.14	\$	7,400	\$ 1,011			
Total     Office of Traffic, Safety and Technology       September 201					and nber 2014									

HS	worksheet			T.H. / Roadway	Location					J	Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt	,	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
WOIK	51100	, L		Brooklyn Blvd	At 55th	Avenue								Brooklyn Park	1/1/2011	12/31/2013
			Descript Proposed	ion of d Work	Install a	southbou	nd right-tı	Irn lane and I	pavement imp	rove	ement					
Accie	lent D	agram Codes	1 Rear End	d	2 Sideswi Same Dire	ipe ection	3 Left Tur	n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7	Ran off Road	8, 9 Head Or Sideswipe -	/		6, 90, 99	
	<b>\</b>						و	┥──	<del>\</del>			Opposite Direc	tion	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F														
	y (PI)	A														
Study Period:	al Injur	в														
Number of Crashes	Person	С		1				2	2	L.	1		2			8
	Property Damage	PD		2		1									1	4
% Change	Fatal	F														
in Crashes		A														
*Lleo Croch	PI	В														
Modification Factors		С		-73%				-46%	-28%		-46%	-4	46%			
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD		-71%		-43%									-43%	
	Fatal	F														
		A														
Change in Crashes	PI	В														
= No. of		С		-0.73				-0.92	-0.56		-0.46	-(	0.92			-3.59
crashes <b>A</b> % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD		-1.42	,	-0.43									-0.43	-2.28
Year (Safety	Impro	vemen	t Construct	tion)		2018										
Project Cost	: (excl	ude Ri	ght of Way	<i>i</i> )	\$9,	,310,000	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes		Cost per Crash	Annua Benefi	<b>l</b> t		B/C=	0.19
Right of Wa	y Cos	<b>ts</b> (op	tional)				F			\$	1,100,000			Using present	worth value	25,
Traffic Grov	wth F	actor			3	%	А			\$	550,000			<b>B</b> =	\$	1,794,071
Capital Reco	overy						В			\$	160,000			C=	\$	9,310,000
1. Discoun	ıt Rat	e			4.	5%	С	-3.59	-1.20	\$	81,000	\$ 96,	930	See "Calculat	ions" sheet f	for amortization.
2. Project	Servi	ce Lif	e (n)		2	20	PD	-2.28	-0.76	\$	7,400	\$5,	624			
	TotalOffice of Traffic, Safety and\$ 102,554TechnologySeptember 201						and mber 2014									

HS	worksheet			T.H. / Roadway		Location	l		F	Beginning Ref. Pt.	Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
WUIKS	mee	ι		Brooklyn Blvd	At TH 100 North	and South	ı Ramps					Brooklyn Park	1/1/2011	12/31/2013
			Descript Proposed	ion of d Work	Install signals and	l pavemen	t improvemen	ıt						
Acció	lent Di	agram Codes	1 Rear End	d	2 Sideswipe Same Direction	3 Left Tur	n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7 I	Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/ Sideswipe -		6, 90, 99	
					<b>_</b>	٩	◄	<del>\</del>			Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F												
	ry (PI)	A												
Study Period:	nal Inju	В		2				2					1	5
Number of Crashes	Perso	с		4				2						6
	Property Damage	PD		8				2		2			2	14
% Change	Fatal	F												
in Crashes		A												
*Use Crash	PI	В		-59%				-74%						
Modification Factors		С		-59%				-74%					-55%	
Clearinghouse	Property Damage	PD		-77%				-40%		-55%			-55%	
	Fatal	F												
		A												
Change in Crashes	PI	B		-1.18				-1.48					0.00	-2.66
= No. of		С		-2.36				-1.48						-3.84
crashes <b>X</b> % change in crashes	Property Damage	PD		-6.16				-0.80		-1.10			-1.10	-9.16
Year (Safety	Improv	/emen	t Construct	tion)	2018									
Project Cost	(exclu	ıde Ri	ght of Way	i)	\$ 9,310,000	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes		Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.50
Right of Wa	y Cos	<b>ts</b> (opt	tional)			F			\$	1,100,000		Using present	worth value	25,
Traffic Grov	vth Fa	actor			3%	А			\$	550,000		<b>B</b> =	\$	4,690,843
Capital Reco	overy					В	-2.66	-0.89	\$	160,000	\$ 141,867	C=	\$	9,310,000
1. Discoun	t Rat	e			4.5%	С	-3.84	-1.28	\$	81,000	\$ 103,680	See "Calculat	ions" sheet f	for amortization.
2. Project	Servi	ce Lif	e (n)		20	PD	-9.16	-3.05	\$	7,400	\$ 22,595			
TotalOffice of Traffic, Safety and\$ 268,141TechnologySeptember 20						and mber 2014								

HS	HSIP worksheetControl SectionT.H. / RoadwayBrooklyn					Location					Ending Ref. Pt.	State, County, City or Township	Study Period Begins	Study Period Ends
WULKS	mee	ι		Brooklyn Blvd	Between 51st Ave	enue and 4	19th Avenue					Brooklyn Park	1/1/2011	12/31/2013
			Descripti Proposed	ion of I Work	Reconstruction fr	om a 4 to 1	3-lane roadwa	v and parven	nent	improvement	t			
Accid	lent Di	agram Codes	1 Rear End	1	2 Sideswipe Same Direction	3 Left Tur	n Main Line	5 Right Angle	4,7 1	Ran off Road	8, 9 Head On/		6, 90, 99	
	<b>\</b>					و	-				Opposite Direction	Pedestrian	Other	Total
	Fatal	F			1									1
	y (PI)	Α												
Study Period:	aal Injur	в					2			1	1			4
Number of Crashes	Persor	С		2										2
	Property Damage	PD		4	2		5	2		1	2			16
% Change	Fatal	F			-56%									
in Crashes		A												
*Llee Crash	PI	В					-56%			-56%	-56%			
Modification Factors		С		-78%										
<u>Clearinghouse</u>	Property Damage	PD		-77%	-56%		-56%	-41%		-56%	-56%			
	Fatal	F			-0.56									-0.56
		A												
Change in Crashes	PI	В					-1.12			-0.56	-0.56			-2.24
= No. of		С		-1.56										-1.56
% change in crashes	Property	PD		-3.08	-1.12		-2.80	-0.82	,	-0.56	-1.12			-9.50
Year (Safety ]	Impro	vemen	t Construct	ion)	2018									
Project Cost	(exclu	ıde Ri	ght of Way	)	\$ 9,310,000	Type of Crash	Study Period: Change in Crashes	Annual Change in Crashes		Cost per Crash	Annual Benefit		B/C=	0.73
Right of Wa	y Cos	<b>ts</b> (op	tional)			F	-0.56	-0.19	\$	1,100,000	\$ 205,333	Using present	t worth value	? <i>S</i> ,
Traffic Grov	vth F	actor			3%	Α			\$	550,000		B=	\$	6,828,809
Capital Reco	overy					В	-2.24	-0.75	\$	160,000	\$ 119,467	C=	\$	9,310,000
1. Discoun	t Rat	e			4.5%	С	-1.56	-0.52	\$	81,000	\$ 42,120	See "Calculat	ions" sheet f	or amortization.
2. Project	Servi	ce Lif	e (n)		20	PD	-9.50	-3.17	\$	7,400	\$ 23,433			
	Total     Office of Traffic, Safety and       \$ 390,353     Technology     September 201						and nber <u>20</u> 14							

# CSAH 152 - created on 11-03-2014 by imsd1jac

### Crash data is managed by the Mn/DOT Office of Traffic, Safety, and Operations.

SYS	NUM	<b>REF_POINT</b>	GIS_ROUTE	GIS_TM	RD_DIR	ELEM	RELY	INV	R_U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	Z		2	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	S		1	3	U
<del>04</del>	<del>27000152</del>	<del>004+00.282</del>	<del>0427000152</del>	4.282	Z		1	<del>3</del>	Ĥ
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	Z		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	Ν		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	Ν		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	W		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	Ν		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	Z		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	Z		1	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.282	0427000152	4.282	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.284	0427000152	4.284	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.286	0427000152	4.286	Z		1	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.286	0427000152	4.286	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.291	0427000152	4.291	Z		1	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.464	0427000152	4.464	Z		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.464	0427000152	4.464	Ν		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.484	0427000152	4.484	S		1	3	U
04	<del>27000152</del>	<del>004+00.606</del>	0427000152	4.606	N		<del>2</del>	<del>3</del>	Ĥ
04	27000152	004+00.684	0427000152	4.684	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.684	0427000152	4.684	Z		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.684	0427000152	4.684	Z		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.685	0427000152	4.685	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.699	0427000152	4.699	Z		1	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.701	0427000152	4.701	Ν		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.701	0427000152	4.701	E		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.701	0427000152	4.701	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.701	0427000152	4.701	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.701	0427000152	4.701	Z		1	90	U

04	27000152	004+00.704	0427000152	4.704	Z		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.710	0427000152	4.710	Ν		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.725	0427000152	4.725	W		1	1	U
04	27000152	004+00.725	0427000152	4.725	Z		2	0	U
<mark>04</mark>	<del>27000152</del>	<del>004+00.738</del>	0427000152	4 <del>.738</del>	N		2	3	Ĥ
04	27000152	004+00.741	0427000152	4.741	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.817	0427000152	4.817	Z		4	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.882	0427000152	4.882	Ν		1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.935	0427000152	4.935	S		2	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Z		1	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Ν	409	1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Ν	C04	1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Ν	352	1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Ν		2	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Ν	352	2	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	S	352	1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	S	B19	1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Z	352	1	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Z	351	1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	W	351	1	1	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Ν	C04	1	1	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Z	351	2	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Ν	409	2	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	E	352	2	1	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	S	351	1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.950	0427000152	4.950	Ν	352	1	3	U
04	27000152	004+00.963	0427000152	4.963	Z		3	0	U
04	27000152	004+00.978	0427000152	4.978	S		1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.173	0427000152	5.173	Z		1	0	U
04	27000152	005+00.173	0427000152	5.173	Z		1	0	U
04	27000152	005+00.173	0427000152	5.173	Ν		1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.173	0427000152	5.173	Z		1	0	U
04	27000152	005+00.173	0427000152	5.173	Z		1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.173	0427000152	5.173	Z		2	0	U

04	27000152	005+00.173	0427000152	5.173	S	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.213	0427000152	5.213	Z	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.251	0427000152	5.251	Z	2	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.308	0427000152	5.308	S	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.308	0427000152	5.308	Z	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.308	0427000152	5.308	Z	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.437	0427000152	5.437	Z	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.441	0427000152	5.441	Ν	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.441	0427000152	5.441	S	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.441	0427000152	5.441	Z	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.441	0427000152	5.441	Z	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.441	0427000152	5.441	Z	1	3	U
04	27000152	005+00.441	0427000152	5.441	Z	1	3	U
05	04600114	000+00.550	0504600114	0.550	Z	1	3	U
05	04600124	000+00.000	0504600124	0.000	Z	1	0	U
05	25850263	000+00.003	0525850263	0.003	S	1	3	U
10	04600362	000+00.280	1004600362	0.280	Ν	2	3	U

V 1 WAS TRAVELING SB BROOKLYN BLVD AT COUNTY ROAD 10 WHEN IT SIDE SWIPED V2. V1 THEN FLEED AND V2 F #1 REAR ENDED #2. #1 WAS ARRESTED FOR 2ND DEGREE DUI REFUSAL. PLEASE SEE BROOKLYN CENTER POLICE REP ON 10/3/11 AT 1428 HOURS I, OFFICER LAO WAS DISPATCHED TO A CALL OF A HIT AND RUN ACCIDENT AT 5740 UNIT#3 COLLIDED WITH UNIT#2S REAR

DRIVER IN VEHICLE #1 STATED SHE WAS TURNING NORTH ONTO BROOKLYN BLVD FROM COUNTY 10 AND SLOWED TO M VEH#1 WAS SOUTHBOUND BROOKLYN BLVD MAKING A RIGHT TURN ON TO BASS LAKE RD. VEH#1 DRIVER STATED THAT VEH 1 WAS BEHIND VEH 2. BOTH HAD STOPPED FOR A PED. CROSSING AT THE CROSSWALK. VEH 2 BEGAN TO GO AN

DRIVER 2 OF VEH 2 STATED HE WAS DRIVING SB ON BROOKLYN BLVD AND WAS IN THE LEFT TURN LANE. DRIVER 2 VEH #1 WAS SOUTHBOUND BROOKLYN BLVD, SHE BELIEVED SHE WAS IN THE LEFT LANE LOOKED UP AND HAD A YELL

V1 REAR ENDED V2 STOPPED AT A RED LIGHT. D1 DIDNT REMEMBER THE ACCIDENT AND APPEARED TO HAVE INJUR' ON 12/19/2013 AT 1320 HOURS, I, OFFICER KOTECKI WAS DISPATCHED TO THE AREA OF 3245 CO RD 10 AND BRO VEH #1 WAS IN LEFT LANE LEGALLY STOPPED AT RED LIGHT SB BROOKLYN BL (BB) @ CO RD 10. VEH #2 WAS STO

V3, AND V2 WERE STOPPED IN THE CENTER LANE FOR SB BB TRAFFIC AT A RED LIGHT, WHEN V1 REAR ENDED V2

UNIT 1 TRAVELING NORTH ON BROOKLYN BLVD PAST 56TH AVE N. UNIT 1 DRIVER STATED REACHED TO PASSENGER VEHICLE #1 DRIVING NORTH ON BROOKLYN BLVD 5600 BLOCK IN THE LEFT LANE/INSIDE LANE. VEHICLE #2 NORTH VEH #1 SOUTHBOUND BKLYN BLVD MAKING A LEFT TURN ONTO 56TH AV N. VEH #2 NORTHBOUND BKLYN BLVD. VEH # UPON MY ARRIVAL PARTIES INVOLVED STATED THEY HAD ALREADY EXCHANGED INFORMATION AND DID NOT NEED POL ALL THREE VEHICLES WERE S/B BROOKLYN BLVD IN THE LEFT TURN LANE TO GO E/B 55TH AV N. VEH#1 THEN HIT #1 FAILED TO YIELD AND STRUCK #2 WHILE EXITING A COMMERCIAL AREA FRONTAGE RD. #1 WAS CITED FOR NO I #1 STRUCK #2 AFTER FAILING TO STOP FOR A TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICE (RED LIGHT) WHILE TRAVELING N/B ON UNIT 1 REAR ENDED BY UNIT 2. UNIT 2 REAR ENDED BY UNIT 3. UNIT 2 PUSHED INTO UNIT 1 BY UNIT 3. A

UNIT 1 WAS TRAVELING NORTHBOUND ON BROOKLYN BLVD WHEN IT COLLIDED WITH UNIT 2 WHICH WAS TRAVELING W OF THE CHEVY TRUCK AND THE CHEVY TRUCK HIT THE PONTIAC. SHE STATED THE PONTIAC WAS DRIVING WEST ON UNIT 1 WAS TRAVELLING SOUTHBOUND WHEN UNIT 2 REAR ENDED UNIT 1. UNIT WAS GAVE PLATE AND DESCRIPTION UNIT 1 STATES HE WAS TRAVELING SOUTHBOUND ON BROOKLYN BLVD MAKING LEFT TURN, ALSO EASTBOUND ONTO 55 UNIT ONE WAS TRYING TO PASS A METRO TRANSIT BUS. BUS WAS GOING AROUND ANOTHER VEHICLE, UNIT ONE TRI VEHICLE 1 WAS GOING NORTH ON BROOKLYN BLVD APPROACHING 55TH AVE. VEHICLE 2 WAS GOING WEST ON 55TH UNIT 1 TURNING WESTBOUND FROM BROOKLYN BLVD. UNIT 1 HAD GREEN ARROW TO TURN. UNIT 2 WENT THROUGH ALL VEHICLES WERE WB ON BROOKLYN BLVD. I MADE A TRAFFIC STOP AND THE VIOLATOR STOPPED IN THE LEF

UNIT 2 STARTING FROM DRIVEWAY IN PARKED POSITION FACING NORTH AT HOME ADDRESS OF 5323 BROOKLYN BLVD ON 10/17/13 AT 1707 HOURS I, OFFICER JOSH WHITTENBURG, WAS DISPATCHED FOR A PROPERTY DAMAGE ACCIDEN

V1 WAS FOLLOWING V2 ON ROADWAY TO CLOSE. V1 STATES V2 HAD SIGNAL ON TO TURN LEFT, BUT NEVER DID AND #1 STRUCK #2 CAUSING #2 TO STRIKE #3. #1 THEN FLED AND WAS NOT LOCATED. #1 WAS DESCRIBED AS A RED P

UNIT 1 NORTHBOUND ON BROOKLYN BLVD ON BRIDGE HIT UNIT 2 STOPPED FOR TRAFFIC LIGHT AT S HWY 100 RAMP ON 11/19/11 I, OFFICER JORDAN LUND, WAS DISPATCHED TO A PD ACCIDENT AT THE ENTRANCE RAMP OF NORTHBO VEHICLE 1 ON RAMP FROM SOUTH TH100, MAKING RIGHT TURN ONTO CR152 AT INTERSECTION. DRIVER 1 INDICATE UNKNOWN ACCIDENT. UNIT 1 DID NOT KNOW HOW ACCIDENT OCCURED...STATED RED PASSENGER CAR NO OTHER INFO ON 04/24/2012 AT AROUND 0330 HOURS, OFFICERS WERE DISPATCHED TO THE AREA OF BROOKLYN BLVD AND HWY 1 LEONHART STATED HE WAS SOUTH BOUND ON BROOKLY BLVD. HE APPROACHED THE INTERSECTION ON THE NORTH SID VEH 1 WAS MAKING A LEFT TURN FROM NORTH BROOKLYN BLVD TO GO SOUTH ONTO HWY 100. DRIVER 1 STATES HE

MOHAMED STATED HE HAD EXITED NORTH BOUND HWY 100 AND STOPPED AT THE STOP SIGN AT BROOKLYN BLVD. MOH V1 WAS WB ON BROOKLYN BLVD IN THE RIGHT LANE. V2 WAS EB BROOKLYN BLVD TURNING LEFT TO THE NB HWY 1 DRIVER OF V1 STATED HE WAS ENTERING NORTH HWY 100 FROM BROOKLYN BLVD AND LOST CONTROL AS HE CAME DO

ON 092613 AT 0745 I WAS SENT TO BROOKLYN BLVD/HWY 100 ON A PD ACCIDENT, THE VEHICLES HAD MOVED TO T V1 AND V2 TRAVELING WB ON BROOKLYN BLVD. V1 STOPPED IN TRAFFIC. V2 STRUCK V1. DRIVER OF V1 WANTED I, OFFICER POTTER, WAS SOUTH ON BROOKLYN BLVD AT HWY 100 WHEN I OBS A NORTH MEMORIAL AMBULANCE, #73 ON 11/15/2013 AT 2300 HOURS I, OFFICER IVERSON, WAS DISPATCHED TO A HIT AND RUN AT THE 5300 BLOCK O

METRO TRANSIT BUS WAS STOPPED AT THE BUS STOP IN THE RIGHT LANE FACING SOUTH BOUND. PEDESTRIAN EXIT

ON 12/07/2012 AT 2145 HOURS, OFFICERS WERE DISPATCHED TO THE LOCATION OF BROOKLYN BLVD AND 51ST AVE ON 04/27/13 AT 1740 HOURS. I RESPONDED TO A PROPERTY DAMAGE ACCIDENT AT THE INTERSECTION OF BROOKLY ON 8-10-13 AT 2151 HRS I, OFFICER IVERSON, WAS DISPATCHED TO A REPORT OF A HIT AND RUN. UPON ARRIV DRIVER OF UNIT 1 STATES HE WAS NORTHBOUND IN OUTMOST LANE OF BROOKLYN BLVD. UNIT 1 DRIVER STATES TH VEHICLE 1 SOUTH ON CR152 IN RIGHT LANE, ATTEMPTING TO AVOID VEHICLE THAT CHANGED LANES. VEHICLE 1 W UNIT 1 TRAVELING SOUTH BOUND BROOKLYN BLVD WAS REAR ENDED BY UNIT 2 TRAVELING BEHIND. FOR FURTHER FOR A MINUTE THEN NOTICED THE CAR STOPPED IN FRONT OF HIM. BRADY STATED HE WAS NOT TALKING ON A PH ON 3/28/13 AT 0906, THE DRIVER/OWNER OF UNIT 1 CALLED POLICE TO REPORT THAT AT APPROXIMATELY 0800 O

VEHICLE 1 - WAS TRAVELING NB ON OSSEO RD / ENTERED INTERSECTION ON A GREEN LIGHT - AT 49 AV N - STR UNIT 1 STOPPED FOR THE POSTED STOP SIGN AND CHECEKED BOTH LEFT AND RIGHT BEFORE PROCEEDING INTO THR ON 012412 AT 1330 HOURS I, OFFICER POTTER, MET WITH DRIVER 2 WHO STATED HE WAS SOUTH ON BROOKLYN BL UNIT 1 WAS SOUTHBOUND ON OSSEO RD AND BEGAN TO TURN LEFT ON TO 49 AVE N AND HIT UNIT 2 THAT WAS NOR #1 WHO WAS OPERATING HIS MOTORCYCLE TRAVELING S/B ON BROOKLYN BLVD WITHOUT CURRENT REGISTRATION, IN SIGN CAUSING DAMAGE TO THE SIGN. THE DRIVERS EXCHANGED SOME INFORMATION AND THEN THE DRIVER OF UNI VEHICLE 1 WAS TRAVELING NORTHBOUND (NB) XERXES AVE N APPROACHING 49TH AVE N ON A GREEN LIGHT. VEHIC NO DIAGRAM, VEHICLES MOVED PRIOR TO POLICE ARRIVAL. VEH 1 WAS TRAVELING S/B ON BROOKLYN BOULEVARD A

MV2 WAS TRAVELING SB ON OSSEO RD WAITING TO TURN EB ONTO 49TH AV WHEN MV1 HIT HER FROM BEHIND. MV2 #1 IS A METRO TRANSIT BUS WHICH WAS CHANGING LANES FROM THE RIGHT LANE TO THE LEFT WHEN ACCORDING T

СО	CITY	DOW	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
27	0460	6-Fri	1	7	2011
27	0460	3-Tue	4	12	2011
<del>27</del>	<del>0460</del>	<del>6-Fri</del>	6	<del>17</del>	<del>2011</del>
27	0460	2-Mon	10	3	2011
CAUSING UNIT#2 TO COLLIDED WITH UNIT#1'S REAR BUMPER.	27	4-Wed6-Fri0	3	1	17
27	0460	6-Fri	4	13	2012
27	0460	2-Mon	8	27	2012
27	0460	2-Mon	10	1	2012
27	0460	1-Sun	2	17	2013
27	0460	4-Wed	6	26	2013
27	0460	1-Sun	6	23	2013
27	0460	2-Mon	11	25	2013
27	0460	5-Thu	12	19	2013
27	0460	7-Sat	5	7	2011
27	0460	7-Sat	11	19	2011
27	0460	7-Sat	4	7	2012
27	0460	5-Thu	5	16	2013
27	0460	2-Mon	3	14	2011
27	0460	1-Sun	5	19	2013
27	0460	6-Fri	12	23	2011
<del>27</del>	0460	2-Mon	1	<del>31</del>	<del>2011</del>
27	0460	5-Thu	1	12	2012
27	0460	3-Tue	8	7	2012
27	0460	7-Sat	7	13	2013
27	0460	4-Wed	8	14	2013
27	0460	5-Thu	1	19	2012
27	0460	6-Fri	1	14	2011
27	0460	1-Sun	5	29	2011
27	0460	3-Tue	5	8	2012
27	0460	5-Thu	5	24	2012
27	0460	4-Wed	8	29	2012

27	0460	4-Wed	9	25	2013
27	0460	6-Fri	6	28	2013
27	0460	5-Thu	7	4	2013
27	0460	4-Wed	7	3	2013
<del>27</del>	0460	<del>5-Thu</del>	6	<del>1</del> 4	<u>2012</u>
27	0460	5-Thu	10	17	2013
27	0460	3-Tue	12	24	2013
27	0460	6-Fri	4	20	2012
27	0460	7-Sat	8	24	2013
27	0460	2-Mon	3	14	2011
27	0460	6-Fri	7	8	2011
27	0460	7-Sat	11	19	2011
27	0460	2-Mon	11	21	2011
27	0460	3-Tue	12	27	2011
27	0460	3-Tue	4	24	2012
27	0460	2-Mon	10	8	2012
27	0460	7-Sat	10	20	2012
27	0460	4-Wed	11	7	2012
27	0460	1-Sun	1	6	2013
27	0460	3-Tue	7	23	2013
27	0460	1-Sun	7	28	2013
27	0460	5-Thu	8	22	2013
27	0460	5-Thu	9	26	2013
27	0460	2-Mon	10	14	2013
27	0460	1-Sun	11	3	2013
27	0460	6-Fri	11	15	2013
27	0460	3-Tue	12	4	2012
27	0460	3-Tue	4	16	2013
27	0460	7-Sat	5	14	2011
27	0460	6-Fri	6	17	2011
27	0460	6-Fri	12	7	2012
27	0460	1-Sun	12	2	2012
27	0460	7-Sat	4	27	2013
27	0460	7-Sat	4	27	2013

27	0460	7-Sat	8	10	2013
27	0460	5-Thu	5	23	2013
27	0460	6-Fri	12	7	2012
27	0460	4-Wed	3	16	2011
27	0460	3-Tue	3	27	2012
27	0460	5-Thu	3	28	2013
27	2585	6-Fri	12	20	2013
27	2585	7-Sat	6	11	2011
27	2585	3-Tue	1	24	2012
27	2585	4-Wed	2	1	2012
27	2585	4-Wed	3	14	2012
27	2585	3-Tue	6	25	2013
27	2585	6-Fri	6	28	2013
27	0460	2-Mon	1	23	2012
27	0460	7-Sat	7	7	2012
27	2585	3-Tue	8	13	2013
27	0460	5-Thu	6	6	2013

TIME	SEV	NUM_KILLED	NUM_VEH	JUNC	SL	TYPE	DIAG	LOC1	TCD	LIT
1730	Ν	0	2	0	40	1	1	0	98	3
1557	Ν	0	2	4	40	1	2	1	1	1
<u>2217</u>	Ç	θ	<del>2</del>	1	<del>35</del>	1	1	1	<del>98</del>	4
1428	Ν	0	1	7	40	1	98	1	1	1
2012	1736	С	0	3	1	40	2	1	1	98
2132	Ν	0	2	4	35	1	1	1	1	4
1309	В	0	1	4	35	25	8	4	1	1
1100	Ν	0	2	7	40	1	1	1	1	1
1001	С	0	2	4	40	1	3	1	1	1
1345	С	0	3	5	40	1	5	1	1	1
1334	Ν	0	2	0	35	1	90	0	1	1
1711	С	0	2	4	40	1	1	1	1	4
1320	С	0	2	7	40	1	98	1	98	1
0908	Ν	0	3	4	40	1	3	1	1	1
1415	Ν	0	2	0	35	1	1	0	1	1
1603	Ν	0	3	4	40	1	1	1	1	1
1458	С	0	3	0	40	1	1	0	1	1
0252	С	0	1	1	40	26	8	1	98	4
1847	Ν	0	2	8	40	1	98	1	98	1
1520	С	0	2	7	40	1	5	1	5	1
<u>0932</u>	Ç	0	<mark>2</mark>	1	40	2	<del>99</del>	1	<del>98</del>	1
1531	Ν	0	3	4	40	1	1	1	1	1
1800	Ν	0	2	8	30	1	2	1	98	1
1358	С	0	2	4	30	1	5	1	1	1
1755	С	0	3	1	40	1	1	1	1	1
1605	С	0	2	0	40	1	8	0	1	1
1835	С	0	2	4	40	1	5	1	1	4
1823	С	0	2	4	30	1	3	1	1	1
1452	Ν	0	2	1	40	1	1	1	1	1
1857	С	0	1	4	40	6	8	1	1	1
1639	С	0	1	90	30	37	4	3	98	1

1557	Ν	0	2	4	40	1	90	1	1	1
1558	Ν	0	2	4	40	1	3	1	1	1
1255	Ν	0	2	4	40	1	1	1	1	1
0640	Ν	0	2	0	30	1	1	0	4	1
<del>1530</del>	N	0	<u>2</u>	1	<del>30</del>	1	8	1	<u>98</u>	1
1705	С	0	3	1	40	1	1	1	98	1
0905	В	0	2	0	30	1	1	0	1	1
0954	В	0	2	1	30	1	1	1	98	1
1744	Ν	0	3	4	35	1	1	1	1	1
0647	Ν	0	2	0	35	1	1	0	98	2
1315	С	0	2	7	35	1	1	1	1	1
1458	Ν	0	1	90	60	24	7	2	98	1
1330	С	0	1	4	40	6	5	1	1	1
1730	Ν	0	2	1	40	99	98	1	98	1
0300	В	0	2	4	30	1	5	1	1	4
1420	Ν	0	2	4	40	1	5	1	1	1
1645	Ν	0	2	7	35	1	5	1	1	1
1020	Ν	0	2	0	30	1	1	0	90	1
1154	В	0	2	2	40	1	5	1	4	1
1800	С	0	2	4	35	1	5	1	98	1
1653	Ν	0	1	20	55	51	4	1	98	1
1600	Ν	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
0740	С	0	2	7	35	1	1	1	1	1
1248	С	0	2	1	40	1	1	1	98	1
1136	Ν	0	2	7	35	1	1	1	98	1
2300	Ν	0	1	7	40	1	1	1	1	4
0705	Ν	0	2	0	35	1	1	0	1	1
1505	В	0	1	1	40	7	90	1	98	1
0230	Ν	0	2	0	35	1	5	0	0	1
0900	Ν	0	2	0	35	1	1	0	0	4
2145	В	0	2	2	35	1	3	1	98	4
1514	Ν	0	2	0	35	1	3	0	6	1
1745	Ν	0	2	7	30	1	3	1	98	1
1545	С	0	2	0	35	1	1	0	98	1

2151	Ν	0	1	7	30	1	3	1	98	4
1150	Ν	0	2	1	35	1	9	1	98	1
0725	В	0	1	1	35	25	7	4	98	2
0814	С	0	2	1	30	1	1	1	98	1
1546	Ν	0	2	2	35	1	1	1	4	1
0800	Ν	0	1	2	35	26	7	4	98	1
2144	К	1	1	7	35	7	2	1	1	4
2325	В	0	2	4	30	1	8	1	3	4
1300	Ν	0	2	7	35	1	1	1	1	1
0734	Ν	0	2	4	30	1	3	1	1	2
1315	В	0	2	1	35	1	3	1	98	1
1632	Ν	0	2	7	30	1	5	1	1	1
1654	Ν	0	2	4	30	1	3	1	1	1
0820	Ν	0	2	4	40	1	2	1	1	1
1830	Ν	0	2	0	30	1	9	0	98	1
1923	Ν	0	2	4	30	1	1	1	1	1
1522	Ν	0	2	1	35	1	2	1	98	1

						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness	5		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	<b>Crash Reduction</b>	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	туре	Severity		Ŭ		Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	Left-turn	All	Rural	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,100- 32,400	25- 11,800	21	23	60				EB Before- After
	Left-turn	All			No signal			15		55				
	Left-turn	All			No signal			15		55				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	All			No signal			28		68		50	86	
	Left-turn	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ine(Total)	15		24				Simple Before-After
Install left-turn lane	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	21	35	13				Yorked Comparison Before-After
(cont'd)	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	21	7	26				EB Before- After
	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal	4,600- 55,100	100- 26,000	21	35	24				Yorked Comparison Before-After
	Left-turn	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,520- 40,600	80-8,000	21	7	45				EB Before- After
	Night	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		28				Simple Before-After
	Overturn	All			Signal	>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		28				Simple Before-After
	Head-on	Fatal/Injury						15		75				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	Fatal/Injury						15		47				Simple Before-After
	Left-turn	PDO						15		71				Simple Before-After
install left-turn lane (double)	ROR	Fatal/Injury						15		8				Simple Before-After
	ROR	PDO						15		13				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	Fatal/Injury						15		29				Simple Before-After
	Rear-end	PDO						15		32				Simple Before-After

						Major	Minor			Effect	iveness	\$		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily <sup>-</sup>	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	Rar	nge	Study Type
	туре	Seventy				Volume (	veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	Right-	Eatal/Injury						15		20				Simple
	angle	Falai/IIIjuiy						15		20				Before-After
Install left-turn lane	Right-	PDO						15		8				Simple
(double) (cont'd)	angle													Before-After
	Sideswipe	Fatal/Injury						15		50				Simple
														Simplo
	All	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		50				Simple Refore-After
						5.000-								
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	3-Leg		15,000		13		22	14			Meta-analysis
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-l ea		5,000-		13		-28	27			Meta-analysis
	,	r atal, ngary		. 209		15,000				20				
	All	PDO	Rural	3-Leg		5,000-		13		20	19			Meta-analysis
						5 000-								
	All	PDO	Rural	4-Leg		15,000		13		26	12			Meta-analysis
	L oft turn	ΔIJ				<5.000/la	no(Total)	15		57				Simple
	Leit-tuitt	All				<3,000/la	ne(Total)	15		57				Before-After
Install left-turn lane	Left-turn	All				>5.000/la	ne(Total)	15		35				Simple
(painted separation)						,	( )							Before-After
	Overturn	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		54				Simple Refere-After
														Simple
	Overturn	All				>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		39				Before-After
	Deerend	A 11				-E 000/lo	no/Totol)	15		E A				Simple
	Rear-end	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		54				Before-After
	Rear-end	All				>5.000/la	ne(Total)	15		39				Simple
														Before-After
	Right-	All				<5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		62				Simple
	Dight-													Simplo
	angle	All				>5,000/la	ne(Total)	15		49				Before-After
Install left-turn lane	All	All	All		No signal			1		35				
(physical	All	All	All		Signal			1		25				
channelization)	All	All	Rural	3-Leg	No signal			28		44				

Countermeasure: Improve pavement friction (increase skid resistance)

	CMF	CRF(%)	) Quality	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Reference	Comments
	0.799	20.1	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.667	33.3	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.819	18.1 y	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.797	20.3	kakaka k	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	1.271	27.1	ininini i	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.426	57.4 ¶	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.372	62.8 Y	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud,	

		0.575	42.5	****	Rear end,Wet road	All		Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
	•									
		0.59	41	***	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
<		0.589	41.1	****	All	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		>
	÷,									
		0.361	63.9	****	Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
(		0.304	69.6	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	>	
	•									
		0.943	5.7	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
	•									
		0.504	49.6	****	Rear end	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008		
	_									

	0.221	77.9	****	Rear end,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
$\langle$	0.787	21.3	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
	0.828	17.2	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.898	10.2	****	Angle	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.799	20.1	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								
	0.47	53	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
	0.828	17.2	****	Angle,Wet road	All	All	Lyon and Persaud, 2008	
•								

	0					Major	Minor			Effecti	veness	3		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	туре	Severity		Ŭ		Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	
	All	All	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop			6		-88				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	3-Leg	Signal			6		-16				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal			6		-21				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal			6		-45				
Remove left-turn	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	3-Leg	Signal			6		-6				
lane (cont'd)	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	3-Leg	Stop			6		-53				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal			6		-10				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop			6		-41				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal			6		-21				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop			6		-98				
				RIGH	T-TURN CO	UNTERM	EASURE	S						
Increase length of right-turn lane	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All			58		15				
	All	All	All	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,200- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		4	2			EB Before- After
	All	All	All	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,100- 40,600	25- 11,800	22		14	5			EB Before- After
	All	All	All	4-Leg (2 app)	Signal	4,200- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		8	3			EB Before- After
Install right-turn lane	All	All	All	4-Leg (2 app)	Stop	1,100- 40,600	25- 11,800	22		26	7			EB Before- After
	All	All	All	All	All			58		35				
	All	All	All					1		25				
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	No signal			28		14				
	All	All	Rural	4-Leg (1 app)	No signal			28		21		14	27	

						Major	Minor			Effecti	veness	6		
Countermeasure(s)	Crash	Crash	Area Type	Config	Control	Daily	Traffic	Ref	Obs	Crash Reduction	Std	Ra	nge	Study Type
	Гуре	Severity	51	0		Volume	(veh/day)			Factor / Function	Error	Low	High	5 51
	All	All		All	No signal			28		27		24	30	
	All	All						15		25				
	All	All						15		25				Cross-section
	All	All						15		25				Simple Before-After
	All	All						15		25				Simple Before-After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	4-Leg (1 app)	Signal	4,200- 55,100	100- 26,000	22		9	3			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	4-Leg (1 app)	Stop	1,100- 40,600	25- 11,800	22		23	7			EB Before- After
	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	No signal			58		35				
Install right-turn lane	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	Signal			58		35				
(cont'd)	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All				51		40				
()	All	Fatal/Injury	Rural	All	All			58		35				
	All	Fatal/Injury	Urban	All	All			58		30				
	Rear-end	All						15		65				Simple Before-After
	Right- angle	All						15		50				Simple Before-After
	Right-turn	All						15		53				
	Right-turn	All						15		56				Simple Before-After
	Right-turn	All						15		50				Cross-section
	Sideswipe	All						15		20				Simple Before-After
Install right-turn lane (painted separation)	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All			58		30				
Install right-turn lane (physical channelization)	All	Fatal/Injury	All	All	All			58		35				

Countermeasure: Install a traffic signal Crash Crash Area **CMF** CRF(%) Quality Reference **Comments** Type Severity Type Countermeasure 0.56 [B] Harkey et name has been 44 \*\*\*\* All All Rural al., 2008 slightly ... [read more] Countermeasure Harkey 0.23 name changed to \*\*\*\* 77 Angle All Rural et al., [B] match ... [read 2008 more] Countermeasure Fatal, Serious **McGee** name has been 0.33 67 \*\*\*\* Angle Injury, Minor Urban et al., slightly ... [read Injury 2003 more] Countermeasure Harkey 0.4 [B] name changed to 60 \*\*\*\* Left turn All Rural et al., match ... [read 2008 more] Countermeasure Harkey 1.58 [I] name has been -58 \*\*\*\* Rear end All Rural et al., slightly ... [read 2008 more] Wang CMF applies to and Not intersections 0.656 34.4 \*\*\*\* All All Abdelspecified with ... [read Aty, more] 2014 \*\*\*\* All 1.119 \_ All Not Wang CMF applies to

		11.9				specified	and Abdel- Aty, 2014	intersections with [read more]
•								
$\langle$	0.76	24	****	All	All	Not specified	Wang and Abdel- Aty, 2014	CMF applies to intersections with [read more]
•								
	0.768	23.2	****	All	All	Not specified	Wang and Abdel- Aty, 2014	CMF applies to intersections with [ <i>read</i> <i>more</i> ]
	0.684	31.6	****	All	Fatal,Serious injury,Minor injury	Not specified	Wang and Abdel- Aty, 2014	CMF applies to intersections with [ <i>read</i> <i>more</i> ]
	0.86	14	***	All	Fatal,Serious Injury,Minor Injury	Urban	McGee et al., 2003	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]
•								
	0.77	23	****	All	Fatal,Serious Injury,Minor Injury	Urban	McGee et al., 2003	Countermeasure name has been slightly [read more]
•								
	0.604	39.6	***	All	Fatal,Serious injury,Minor injury	Not specified	Wang and Abdel- Aty,	CMF applies to intersections with [read more]

2014 . Wang CMF applies to and intersections Not 0.502 49.8 \*\*\*\* All All Abdelspecified with ... [read Aty, more] 2014 Wang CMF applies to Fatal,Serious and Not intersections 0.402 59.8 \*\*\* All injury,Minor Abdelspecified with ... [read injury Aty, more] 2014 . Wang CMF applies to Fatal,Serious and Not intersections \*\*\* All 1.184 injury,Minor Abdel-18.4 specified with ... [read injury Aty, more] 2014 Wang CMF applies to Fatal, Serious and Not intersections \*\*\* All 0.791 20.9 injury, Minor Abdelspecified with ... [read injury Aty, more] 2014 Countermeasure Fatal, Serious McGee name has been \*\*\*\* 0.66 34 All Injury, Minor Urban et al., slightly ... [read 2003 Injury more] Countermeasure Fatal,Serious **McGee** name has been 1.38 -38 \*\*\*\* Injury, Minor Urban Rear end et al., slightly ... [read 2003 Injury more]

•	Countermeasure: Converting four-lane roadways to three-lane roadways with center turn lane (road diet)							
	СМГ	CRF(%)	Quality	Crash Type	Crash Severity	Area Type	Reference	Comments
	0.47	53	****	All	All	Suburban	Persaud et. al, 2010	
•								
<	0.748	25.2	****	All	All	Urban	Pawlovich et al., 2006	CMF calculation is for reduction [read more]
•								
	0.812	18.8	****	All	All	Urban	Pawlovich et al., 2006	CMF calculation is for reduction [ <i>read more</i> ]

### Dual CRF for Brooklyn Blvd at Bass Lake Road

Improvements include the installation of a southbound dual left-turn lane and pavement improvement.

CR1=Install dual left-turn lanes CR2=Pavement improvement

CR=1-(1-CR1)\*(1-CR2)

Rear-End Property Damage Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.32)^*(1-.70) = .80$ Rear-End Injury Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.29)^*(1-.70) = .79$ Head-On Injury Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.75)^*(1-.41) = .85$ Left-Turn Property Damage Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.71)^*(1-.41) = .83$ Left-Turn Injury:  $CR=1 - (1-.47)^*(1-.41) = .69$ Right-Angle Injury Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.20)^*(1-.21) = .37$ 

### Dual CRF for Brooklyn Blvd at 55th Avenue

Improvements include the installation of a southbound right-turn lane and pavement improvement.

CR1=Install right-turn lane CR2=Pavement improvement

CR=1-(1-CR1)\*(1-CR2)

Rear-End Property Damage Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.04)^*(1-.70) = .71$ Rear-End Injury Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.09)^*(1-.70) = .73$ Head-On, Left-Turn and Ran Off Road Injury Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.09)^*(1-.41) = .46$ Right-Angle Injury Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.09)^*(1-.21) = .28$ Sideswipe and Other Property Damage Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.04)^*(1-.41) = .43$ 

### Dual CRF for Brooklyn Blvd at TH 100 North and South Ramps

Improvements include the installation of signals and pavement improvement.

CR1=Install signals CR2=Pavement improvement

 $CR=1-(1-CR1)^{*}(1-CR2)$ 

Rear-End Property Damage Crash: CR=1 - (1-.24)\*(1-.70) = .77Rear-End Injury Crash: CR=1 - (1+.38)\*(1-.70) = .59Right-Angle Property Damage Crash: CR=1 - (1-.24)\*(1-.21) = .40Right-Angle Injury Crash: CR=1 - (1-.67)\*(1-.21) = .74Ran Off Road Property Damage Crash: CR=1 - (1-.24)\*(1-.41) = .55Other Property Damage and Injury Crash: CR=1 - (1-.24)\*(1-.41) = .55

### Dual CRF for Brooklyn Blvd between 51st Avenue to 49th Avenue

Improvements include the reconstruction from a 4 to 3 lane facility and pavement improvement.

CR1=4 to 3 lane reconstruction CR2=Pavement improvement

CR=1-(1-CR1)\*(1-CR2)

Rear-End Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.25)^*(1-.70) = .78$ Right-Angle Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.25)^*(1-.21) = .41$ Left-Turn, Sideswipe, Ran Off Road and Head On Crash:  $CR=1 - (1-.25)^*(1-.41) = .56$ 

